



Hastings District Council

Civic Administration Building
Lyndon Road East, Hastings

Phone: (06) 871 5000

Fax: (06) 871 5100

WWW.hastingsdc.govt.nz

OPEN

A G E N D A

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting Date: **Friday, 25 May 2012**

Time: **9.00am**

Venue: **Council Chamber
Ground Floor
Civic Administration Building
Lyndon Road East
Hastings**

Committee Members	Chair: Councillor Bowers (HDC) Councillors Bradshaw and Kerr (HDC) Councillors Dalton, Jeffery and Furlong (NCC)
Officer Responsible	Waste Minimisation Officer – Mr Dominic Salmon
Committee Secretary	Carolyn Hunt (Ext 5634)

HASTINGS DISTRICT COUNCIL
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT JOINT COMMITTEE
MEETING

FRIDAY, 25 MAY 2012

VENUE: Council Chamber
Ground Floor
Civic Administration Building
Lyndon Road East
Hastings

TIME: 9.00am

A G E N D A

1. Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

2. Conflict of Interest

Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision-making when a conflict arises between their role as a Member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to Members to scan the agenda and assess their own private interests and identify where they may have a pecuniary or other conflict of interest, or where there may be perceptions of conflict of interest.

If a Member feels they do have a conflict of interest, they should publicly declare that at the start of the relevant item of business and withdraw from participating in the meeting. If a Member thinks they may have a conflict of interest, they can seek advice from the Chief Executive or Group Manager Corporate & Customer Services (preferably before the meeting).

It is noted that while Members can seek advice and discuss these matters, the final decision as to whether a conflict exists rests with the member.

3. Confirmation of Minutes

There were no confirmation of committee minutes.

4. Draft Waste Minimisation and Waste Management Submissions

1

5. Additional Business Items

6. Extraordinary Business Items

REPORT TO: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT JOINT COMMITTEE

MEETING DATE: FRIDAY 25 MAY 2012

**FROM: WASTE MINIMISATION PLANNER
ANGELA ATKINS
WASTE MINIMISATION OFFICER
DOMINIC SALMON**

**SUBJECT: DRAFT WASTE MINIMISATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT
SUBMISSIONS**

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to consider submissions received on the draft joint Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2012/18 (WMMP), and obtain a recommendation from the Committee on the solutions sought. These recommendations will be reported to each Council in late June for final approval and adoption of the WMMP.
- 1.2 This requirement comes from the obligation that both Hastings District and Napier City Councils have as Territorial Authorities under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008, to review and update (as required) all Waste Management Plans by 1 July 2012.
- 1.3 This report concludes by recommending that the committee adopt, in principle, the recommendations on submissions heard, to be taken to both Hastings District Council and Napier City Council for ratification.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 A draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan has been produced in accordance with the Waste Minimisation Act 2008. This document has been developed as a joint document between Hastings District Council and Napier City Council and was released for public consultation in conjunction with Councils' Long Term Plans.
- 2.2 Workshops were held with both Councils during 2011 to determine the direction to be taken for the WMMP.
- 2.3 The development of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan was overseen by the Joint Solid Waste Management Committee consisting of three Councillors from each Council.
- 2.4 To expedite the process for adoption of the WMMP, the Solid Waste Management Joint Committee was established "*giving the delegated authority to finalise the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan process.*"
- 2.5 It had been previously agreed that all submissions on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan be heard by the Solid Waste Management Joint Committee due to their understanding of the subject matter and the fact that the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan is a joint document.

- 2.6 A summary of the WMMP was condensed into an eight page brochure which formed the backbone to the consultation process in that it focused on key issues.
- 2.7 The consultation process utilised a number of media options. These included both an online survey and a paper survey that residents could complete should they not wish to make a formal submission. Also utilised were the 'MyVoiceMyChoice' online discussion forum, The Napier City Council Expo, the Hastings 'Consultainer', paper and radio advertising and hard copy distribution to relevant parties.

3.0 OPTIONS

- 3.1 The WMMP contained 27 options for consultation. These ranged from varying collection methodologies for kerbside waste and recycling, to the type of waste facility operated and options for community engagement programmes.
- 3.2 The purpose of the summary document was to highlight areas that would potentially have the greatest impact on the community and provide this information in an easy to understand format.
- 3.3 Three options were included in the summary document that related to how waste is collected from the kerbside. These were:
1. Retain the status quo for both Councils.
 2. Councils to provide a 120 litre wheelie bin (the equivalent to two standard rubbish bags), serviced weekly.
 3. Councils to provide a user pays wheelie bin service. This option would utilise a 120 litre wheelie bin and radio frequency chip technology would ensure residents pay only for the number of empties.
- 3.4 Three options were included in the summary document that related to how recycling is collected from the kerbside. These were:
1. Retain the status quo for both Councils.
 2. Councils could provide a 240 litre wheelie bin for paper, cardboard, plastic and metal and a separate crate for glass bottles, to be collected on alternate weeks.
 3. Council could provide a crate for all types of recyclables.

Other options contained in the summary document for residents comments included:

- Councils involvement in addressing E-waste
- Councils involvement in addressing Hazardous waste
- How should Councils deal with illegal dumping
- The prohibition of green waste from general waste - bylaw change
- Should Councils provide public place recycling bins.

4.0 SIGNIFICANCE AND CONSULTATION

- 4.1 Consultation with interested and affected parties, user groups, environmental groups and the general public has been thorough.
- 4.2 Public notice was given on 4 April 2012 under section 83 (1) of the Local Government Act 2002 of Councils' intention to consult on the joint draft WMMP, inviting written submissions.
- 4.3 A meeting was also held with the Omarunui Landfill User Group to brief them on the draft document prior to its release.
- 4.4 Closing date for written submissions was 4 May 2012 for Hastings District Council and 18 May 2012 for Napier City Council to keep in-line with each Council's LTP consultation process.
- 4.5 Thirty Six formal written submissions were received in response to the public consultation. The responses include eight waste operators and one recycling company. Seven submissions were received from Napier residents while sixteen submissions were received from Hastings residents. Four submissions were at a regional level, covering both Hastings and Napier.
- 4.6 In addition to the formal submissions 127 questionnaires were completed on www.myvoicemychoice.co.nz (HDC's online consultation tool). These questionnaires showed that 54% of the respondents indicated their preference for the kerbside collections to remain unchanged.
- 4.7 Other responses from the survey included: 86% support for providing a Hazardous Waste collection, 79% support for subsidising E-Waste recycling, 94% support for a strong stance on illegal dumping and 88% support for more recycling bins in parks and the CBD.
- 4.8 As part of the questionnaire, respondents were also asked to give an indication to how they would manage their green waste if it couldn't be placed in a general rubbish collection. The results showed 35% wanted Council to provide a collection, 34% would compost it at home, 15% take it to the Refuse Transfer Station and 15% would engage a private operator.
- 4.9 The My Voice My Choice discussion forum received 43 unique comments supporting a range of options. Here again there was almost a 50/50 split between respondents wanting bags and those wanting wheelie bins for a kerbside waste collection. Ten people commented on wanting a receptacle for recycling. Other comments included weekly recycling collections for Napier, user pays rubbish bags for Napier and for Hastings to use same 'any bag' system as Napier.
- 4.10 The Voice Box 'Consultainer' reached 502 people during the tour of Hastings District. Hastings CBD, Haumoana, Farmers' Market, Flaxmere, Clive and Havelock North were visited in conjunction with the LTP consultation. A range of receptacles were available along with estimated costs during this process.
- 4.11 Napier held an LTP expo of which waste was one of the areas consulted upon.

Table of Formal Submission Responses:

	Option 1/Yes	Option 2/No	Option 3	No Comment
Kerbside Waste Collections	44%	5%	3%	48%
Kerbside Recycling Collections	22%	14%	5%	59%
Support HazMobile	17%	3%		80%
Support E-Waste	25%	5%		70%
Support Stronger stance on illegal dumping	14%			86%
Public Place Recycling Bins	11%			89%
Kerbside Recycling for education facilities	8%			92%

Item 4

5.0 ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS (INCLUDING FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 Submissions and feedback from the community indicate that the current rubbish collection methodology is meeting the needs of residents. The recommendation is to continue with the waste bag collection systems in Hastings and Napier with the intent to move to a joint contract in 2013.
- 5.2 Submissions and feedback from the community indicate that the current recycling system is meeting the needs of residents. The recommendation is to continue with the current collection systems in Hastings and Napier with the intent to move to a joint contract in 2013.
- 5.3 The current waste and recycling systems are both deemed low tech and low cost when compared to other Councils nationally. Options other than the status quo would result in greater cost associated with service provision.
- 5.4 Hastings District Council consider the extension of its collection zone as part of the waste/recycling contract renewal process to include semi rural areas. These options would lead to both greater diversion and capture of materials.
- 5.5 Both councils consider the inclusion of educational facilities as part of the next kerbside recycling contract and that the individual property be charged for this service on their rates demand.
- 5.6 Both Councils will consider installing recycling bins in parks and CBD's as part of upgrades and renewal processes.
- 5.7 Hastings District Council to investigate options for the upgrade of recycling facilities in Havelock North.
- 5.8 Both Councils will investigate services to address issues around e-waste disposal.
- 5.9 Both Councils continue to provide the HazMobile hazardous waste collections for the duration of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.
- 5.10 Both Councils take a strong stance on illegal dumping to reduce instances and raise the profile of this unacceptable behaviour.
- 5.11 Both Councils stay removed from the kerbside green waste collection servicing but continue to provide diversion opportunities at the Refuse Transfer Stations. This area is adequately serviced by private waste operators in Hawke's Bay.

There is the opportunity for Councils to work with the waste industry to promote and grow this diversion opportunity.

- 5.12 Both Councils to investigate the possibility of providing a food waste collection service as highlighted by submission #17. Results of the 2012 SWAP survey indicate food waste accounts for approximately 50% of each Council domestic waste collection.
- 5.13 Both Councils consider a bylaw change to prohibit the mixing of greenwaste with general waste and allow for the licencing of the berm and greater control. Prohibition of green waste will lessen council ETS obligations. Napier City Council is currently assessing this option as part of the LTP process.

6.0 PREFERRED OPTION/S AND REASONS

- 6.1 That both Councils continue with both the current waste and recycling collection methodology with the intent to move to a joint contract in 2013. There has not been sufficient support to change from the existing systems and there is no justification for adopting a more technologically advanced and costly system.
- 6.2 That Hastings District Council look to extend its waste and recycling collection zone to include close outlying settlements as part of the next contract. This option has the potential to offer services to an additional 1200 residential properties.
- 6.3 Both councils consider the inclusion of educational facilities as part of the next kerbside recycling contract. The majority of schools are already recycling paper and cardboard through existing contracts. Access to kerbside recycling service will provide schools with the opportunity to reduce waste further.
- 6.4 Both Councils will consider providing public place recycling bins in key tourist destinations and areas of heavy foot traffic. This move would support the 'Love NZ' brand and help create national/regional uniformity.
- 6.5 Both Councils will continue to support the Hazmobile model and investigate options for services to address E-waste disposal. Councils will also take a stronger regional stance on illegal dumping. These three actions will help Council meet the goal of the New Zealand Waste Strategy 2010; to reduce harm and improve efficiency.
- 6.6 That neither Council provide kerbside green waste collection services but continue to provide diversion opportunities at the Refuse Transfer Stations. It is recognised that this area is adequately serviced by private waste operators in Hawke's Bay.
- 6.7 Both Councils to investigate the possibility of providing a food waste collection service as highlighted by submission #17. Results of the 2012 SWAP survey indicate food waste accounts for approximately 50% of each Council domestic waste collection which could have financial implications under the ETS.
- 6.8 That both Councils consider a bylaw change prohibiting the mixing of green waste with general waste and allow for the licencing of the berm. Prohibition of green waste may serve to lessen council ETS obligations

7.0 RECOMMENDATION

- A) That the report of the Waste Minimisation Planner titled “Draft Waste Minimisation and Waste Management Submissions” dated be received.**
- B) That the Joint Solid Waste Management Committee adopt, in principle, the recommendations on submissions heard (6.1 through to 6.8), to be taken to both Hastings District Council and Napier City Council for ratification and inclusion in the WMMP.**

Attachments:

1	Appendix 1 - Summary of Officer Comments on Submissions	PRJ12-7-0070	
2	Submission No. 1 - Denis Hancock	PRJ12-7-0033	Under Separate Cover
3	Submission No. 2 - David Renouf	PRJ12-7-0034	Under Separate Cover
4	Submission No. 3 - Emma Koch	PRJ12-7-0035	Under Separate Cover
5	Submission No. 5 - Edward Hamilton	PRJ12-7-0037	Under Separate Cover
6	Submission No. 6 - Robin Thomas	PRJ12-7-0038	Under Separate Cover
7	Submission No. 7 - Cherie Flintoff	PRJ12-7-0039	Under Separate Cover
8	Submission No. 8 - Sandra Jordan	PRJ12-7-0040	Under Separate Cover
9	Submission No. 9 - Bruce Ireland	PRJ12-7-0041	Under Separate Cover
10	Submission No. 10 - Daniel Montaperto	PRJ12-7-0042	Under Separate Cover
11	Submission No. 11 - Edward Visser	PRJ12-7-0043	Under Separate Cover
12	Submission No. 12 - Yvonne Forrest	PRJ12-7-0044	Under Separate Cover
13	Submission No. 13 - Full Circle Recycling	PRJ12-7-0045	Under Separate Cover
14	Submission No. 14 - Patrick Maloney	PRJ12-7-0046	Under Separate Cover
15	Submission No. 15 - Green Sky Waste Solutions	PRJ12-7-0047	Under Separate Cover
16	Submission No. 16 - Energy Options	PRJ12-7-0048	Under Separate Cover
17	Submission No. 17 - Darryn McNeilly and Mike Jones	PRJ12-7-0049	Under Separate Cover
18	Submission No. 18 - Sustaining Hawke's Bay Trust	PRJ12-7-0050	Under Separate Cover
19	Submission No. 19 - Jenny Baker and Judy Mills	PRJ12-7-0051	Under Separate Cover
20	Submission No. 20 - Clean Earth	PRJ12-7-0052	Under Separate Cover
21	Submission 21 - Hawke's Bay Waste Association	PRJ12-7-0053	Under Separate Cover
22	Submission No. 22 - Sharon Tillard - Wheelie Tidy	PRJ12-7-0054	Under Separate Cover
23	Submission No. 23 - Mark Tillard	PRJ12-7-0055	Under Separate Cover
24	Submission 24 - Karen Toulmin	PRJ12-7-0056	Under Separate Cover
25	Submission No. 25 - Craig France	PRJ12-7-0057	Under Separate Cover
26	Submission No. 26 - Linda Ward	PRJ12-7-0058	Under Separate Cover
27	Submission No. 27 - Linda and John Hogan	PRJ12-7-0059	Under Separate Cover
28	Submission No. 28 - Peter Brown	PRJ12-7-0060	Under Separate Cover
29	Submission No. 20 - M Kirkwood	PRJ12-7-0061	Under Separate Cover
30	Submission No. 30 - Chrissie Gray (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0062	Under Separate Cover
31	Submission No. 31 - Emma Larsen (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0064	Under Separate Cover
32	Submission No. 32 - Margaret Gwynn (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0065	Under Separate Cover
33	Submission No. 33 - Mary Ellen Warren (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0066	Under Separate Cover
34	Submission No. 34 - Ms Sandy Anderson, Arthur Miller School (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0067	Under Separate Cover
35	Submission No. 35 - Glenys Offergeld (NCC)	PRJ12-7-0068	Under Separate Cover
36	Submission No. 36 - Lawrence Zwimpfer e-Day New Zealand Trust	PRJ12-7-0069	Under Separate Cover

Summary of Officer Comments on the draft joint Waste Management and Minimisation Plan Submissions

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
1	Denis Hancock	1	This submission supports E-Waste recycling, the continuation of the HazMobile service and a hardline approach to illegal dumping. The preferred collection methodology is a 120 litre wheelie bin for waste for all properties. The government is working toward addressing e-waste through Product Stewardship schemes and is monitoring the impact of guidelines put in place in Australia. Product Stewardship sees the disposal cost built into the purchase price of items. The WMMP provides Councils' with the ability to lobby government on this issue.
2	David Renouf	3	This submission supports the use of landfill gas in its general comments and submits only on the issue of illegal dumping. Hastings District Council has hardened its approach to dealing with illegal dumping which is having a positive affect. This approach may be discussed with the Joint Solid Waste Management Committee.
3	Emma Koch	7	This submission supports the provision of green waste, e-waste and hazardous waste services and requested targeted business education. Individual household can engage a green waste service provider. RCN E-Cycle have E-Waste recycling facilities in both Hastings and Napier operating on a user pays basis. Council will continue to provide the annual hazardous waste collection which is funded through rates.
4			Superseded by a new submission
5	Edward Hamilton	9	This submission requested free refuse disposal at both Refuse Transfer Stations and Omarunui Landfill sites and supports a stronger stance in addressing illegal dumping through the provision of rewards. Removal of gate fees is not an option as the system is both fair and transparent being based on weight. The more waste you produce the more you pay. The gate fee also includes the \$10 per tonne waste levy which is paid to Central Government. Should gate fees be removed, operational costs would still need to be recovered, most likely from general rates.
6	Robin Thomas, Arindee Holdings Ltd	45	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and not the provision of a uniformed waste receptacle. This submission highlights the resource invested by the waste industry in growing green waste recycling. It is recognised that provision of Council waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators.

Item 4

Attachment 1

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
7	Cherie Flintoff	11	This submission supports the current kerbside recycling collection due to affordability and the use of one rubbish bag per property per week to reduce a number of social issues. It is unclear whether this would be on a user pays basis or funded through rates. The submitter suggests a number of options for dealing with green waste. The waste industry already provides tailor made solutions to address the issues raised.
8	Sandra Jordan	13	This submission requests a chipping service for large green waste items, the provision of an inorganic collection and free dumping voucher for low socio-economic households. Green waste of all shapes and sizes is currently catered for by the waste industry. Inorganic collections are being phased out nationally. Some charity shops provide a collection for resaleable goods, NCC operates its annual recycling day and HDC provides the Henderson Road Reuse Shop to address this issue. The cost of providing perceived 'free' disposal vouchers would need to be recovered and this would mostly likely come from the general rate which contradicts the 'user pays' philosophy.
9	Bruce Ireland	15	This submission is in support of the options contained within the summary document. The Councils acknowledge this support and no further comment is required.
10	Daniel Monteperto	47	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and not the provision of a uniformed waste receptacle. It is recognised that provision of waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators.
11	Edward Visser	19	This submission covers two points; the provision of recycling facilities in supermarket car parks and the reduction of Refuse Transfer Station gate fees. While the supermarket recycling facilities are the norm in Europe it is unlikely supermarkets would welcome the loss of valuable parking space. Councils already provide free, easily accessible recycling options. Removal of gate fees is not an option as the system is both fair and transparent being based on weight. The more waste you produce the more you pay. The gate fee also includes the \$10 per tonne waste levy which is paid to Central Government. Should gate fees be removed, operational costs would still need to be recovered, most likely from general rates.
12	Yvonne Forrest	21	This submission supports the continuation of kerbside recycling. The second point of the submission is taken by officers to mean the submitter would like the use of subsidy on recycling to encourage greater participation. Officers do not believe this to be relevant due to the affordability and accessibility of kerbside recycling (HDC \$36pa, NCC \$24pa)

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
13	Mark Bolton, Full Circle Recycling	49	The submitter supports kerbside recycling and any plans to increase diversion of recyclable material. The submitter does not support a co-mingled, "one bin recycling system" due to glass shards contaminating paper products. This is an opinion shared by most recycling operators nationally and evidence exists to show co-mingled collections can lessen the value of product, resulting in increased material to landfill. The submitter believes a 15 year term recycling contract would justify investment in new regional processing facilities. The submitter clearly identifies a 'vested interest' in recycling in the last point of their submission. HDC will assess the viability of extending the kerbside recycling collection service to an additional 1200 properties.
14	Patrick Maloney	23	This submitter supports the status quo, no further comment is required.
15	GreenSky Waste Solutions	53	The HDC Henderson Road Refuse Transfer Station and the NCC Redclyffe contracts expire in 2013 with a right of renewal for a further two years. The comments contained within this submission will be considered when compiling the next tender. Council is committed to increasing diversion of waste from landfill and providing efficient and fit for purpose facilities.
16	Energy Options	133	This submission focuses on the provision of long term sustainable solution for e-waste. RCN E-Cycle have e-waste recycling facilities in both Hastings and Napier operation on a user pays basis. Central Government is working toward addressing the issue of E-waste through product stewardship and is monitoring the impact of guidelines put in place in Australia. Council will continue to lobby Central Government on Product Stewardship and priority products in order to develop a national solution. It is acknowledged that further work is required to develop a regional solution especially with 'Going Digital' occurring as of 30/9/12. HDC has committed to assist with a Hastings solution for E-waste for the next 12 months as part of the RCN E-Cycle programme.
17	Bay Environmental Bins & EarthCare Environmental	55	This fifty page submission may be seen as both a submission on the WMMP and a business proposal to assist Councils to divert putrescible waste from landfill. A Summary and Summary Recommendations can be found on pages 4 -7 of the submission. Warranted responses are as follows: Summary Item 1,2,3,17,18 - Councils recognise the range of waste and green waste services currently available to the consumers across both cities and the likely impact that an introduced council rubbish wheelie bin

Item 4

Attachment 1

Attachment 1

Item 4

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
			<p>service would have on these operators.</p> <p>4 – The WMMP vision is clearly stated page 14 of the document. The existing vision does not commit Councils to what may be considered an ambitious target.</p> <p>5 - Occupational Health and Safety will be addressed through the relevant contract processes.</p> <p>6 - Councils have undertaken an assessment of cost associated with delivering a number of waste/recycling activities prior to embarking on the tender process.</p> <p>8 - Climate change is one of the more significant challenges facing the local community. The impacts of climate change on the longer term economic prospects for the District and how the community can deal with them as effectively as possible is an area of focus that will continue to evolve and develop over time. Work has been under taken by Dr Gavin Kenny for Hastings District Council.</p> <p>9,10,11 - The Councils are aware of the potential impact of the ETS and the associated cost implications. The immediate and future costs in meeting ETS obligations are not exactly known as parts of the ETS are still to be confirmed by central government i.e. a three step transition period and the proposed amendment to the global warming potential for methane gas from 21 to 25. The cost of an NZU has also moved dramatically and is now in the order of \$7 per unit (down from \$20 as originally anticipated by Council). It is very difficult to anticipate what the NZU will do price wise, however the Councils will do their best to manage the purchasing of NZU's to obtain the best financial outcome for the region. The outcome of the governments April 2012 ETS consultation documents is not known and therefore some of the points raised by the submitter can not be quantified or answered with more accuracy. The Omarunui Landfill (owned by the HDC and NCC) believes that it is in a very good position to minimise its ETS obligations (to the benefit of the whole region) by the efficient management and extension of the gas capture and destruction system already established at the site. In due course the Omarunui Landfill will apply for a unique emission factor (UEF) for gas collection/destruction. This UEF will result in significant savings in ETS obligations. The landfill will be monitoring waste more closely in the future with a view to improving the waste composition profile so that a UEF for waste composition would be worth applying for. Until that time the default emission factor for composition will be used.</p>

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
			<p>16 – The WMMP provides council with the ability to lobby Central Government on Product Stewardship. The wording could be amended to reflect the submitter’s recommendation.</p> <p>17,24,25 – Councils bylaw does not currently prohibit the mixing of green waste with general waste. This may be undertaken as a bylaw review and is a separate process to the WMMP. The licencing of the berm would form part of this process.</p> <p>19 – Councils are willing to work with the waste industry on regional educational initiatives that will facilitate positive behavioural change and lead to increased diversion from landfill.</p> <p>20,21 – Further attention will be given to raising the profile of green waste recycling options. The type of media to be utilised is yet to be determined.</p> <p>26 – The preferred methodology of both kerbside refuse and recycling will be one outcome of the WMMP process. Any proposed change to the status quo must be financially justifiable and meet the needs of the community.</p> <p>26-29 – Forms the basis for a business proposal to extract food waste from the waste stream for the purpose of composting (47.6% Napier City Council, 51.3% Hastings District Council – composition of domestic rubbish bag – SWAP 2012). Both Councils have established relationships with BioRich Composting Ltd for the processing of green waste.</p> <p>The submitter supports the provision of a 240 litre wheelie bin for recyclable plastic, metal and paper and the provision of a crate for glass bottles collected fortnightly. The submitter also supports a user pays rubbish bag, with the bag being compostable and see through. Projected pricing models for these options are contained on page 8 of the submission.</p>
18	Sustaining Hawke's Bay Trust	137	<p>This submission warrants a number of responses on issues raised. These are summarised here; Council is committed to providing education to enable positive behavioural change. Public place recycling bins already in place have yielded positive results which will enable council to confidently increase the number of bins in public arena. The waste industry is being pro-active and providing tailor made solutions to individual households. There are no immediate plans for Council to provide a</p>

Item 4

Attachment 1

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
			specific food waste collection though further work is likely in this area. HDC has committed to assist with a Hastings solution for E-waste for the next 12 months as part of the RCN E-Cycle programme. The government is working toward addressing the issue of E-waste through product stewardship and is monitoring the impact of guidelines put in place in Australia. Council will continue to lobby central government on product stewardship and priority products in order to develop a national solution. A Solid Waste Analysis Protocol survey was conducted in March 2012. The findings of which will present a clear understanding of any issues presented by construction and demolition materials. Council acknowledges the concern relating to the document layout and appendices.
19	Jenny Baker & Judy Mills	143	This submission has provided a line by line response and commented on a number of policies contained within the WMMP. Key aspects are the continued investment into education initiatives and access to services. Concerns are also raised regarding the collection methodology. The type of receptacle and the frequency of collection for both the kerbside waste and recycling contracts will be finalised when compiling the relevant tender documentation. Councils are committed to providing education to enable positive behavioural change and is continually looking at ways to increase both the longevity and impact of key messages.
20	Clean Earth	107	This submission supports the current status quo for waste and questions the current recycling model. It also raises concerns about the impact on the local waste industry of Council provided wheelie bin services. The WMMP process has provided the opportunity for Council to both assess current methodologies and meet legislative requirements. Any changes to the current methodology would need to be in the interest of the local community. The type of receptacle, the frequency of collection for both the kerbside waste and recycling contracts will be established when compiling the relevant tender documentation. Any mechanism that may help reduce waste to landfill over the next six year period was included for consultation as part of the WMMP process. Regulation of the 'berm' and prohibition of green waste through a bylaw change will need to be considered as a separate process to the WMMP. A bylaw may be reviewed at anytime. The comments regarding public holidays will be considered when compiling the next tender. Councils' acknowledge the work undertaken by the local waste operators in diverting green waste and does not intend to provide a council funded collection.
21	HB Waste Association	121	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and the flexibility allowed to provide tailor made waste solutions between contractor and customer. This submission opposes the move to prohibit green waste from being mixed with general waste through a bylaw change. It is

Sub No.	Name	Page No.	Officer Comments
			recognised that provision of Council waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators. Councils are investigating a number of options to reduce obligation under the ETS. These include change the bylaw to "licence the berm" and prohibiting the mixing of green was with general waste. NCC is considering having this enforceable from February 2014. Any change to existing bylaws will be undertaken externally to the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan process.
22	Sharon Tillard, Wheelie Tidy	127	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and not the provision of a uniformed waste receptacle. It is recognised that provision of waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators.
23	Mark Tillard	129	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and not the provision of a uniformed waste receptacle. It is recognised that provision of waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators.
24	Karen Toulmin	25	This submitter is supported by 46 additional properties requesting waste and recycling services along part of the Taihape Road. HDC has recently installed recycling facilities at both Maraekakaho and Tutira (no rubbish provision). The results will be used to determine the cost effectiveness of such operations and whether Hastings District Council will install further rural recycling centres. There is no intent to provide waste services (kerbside or collection points) to the rural community at this stage however this will be investigated as part of the contract renewal process this year.
25	Craig France	29	This submission support the provision of 120 litre wheelie bins, the provision of a 240 litre wheelie bin for recycling on a fortnightly basis, subsidising compost bins and supporting E-Waste recycling. Any changes to the current methodology would need to be in the interest of the local community. Council is committed to encouraging positive behaviour change through the provision of education to both community and business. Hastings District Council has committed funding for the development of a local e-waste solution under the RCN E-Cycle banner.
26	Linda Ward	31	This submitter supports the current status quo for both rubbish and recycling and would not like to see local industry impacted by Council decision.

Attachment 1

Item 4

27	Linda & John Hogan	131	This submission supports the current status quo for kerbside collections and not the provision of a uniformed waste receptacle. This submission highlights the resource invested by the waste industry in growing green waste recycling. It is recognised that provision of waste wheelie bins would have a detrimental impact on the local waste operators. The submitter believes Council should not subsidise an e-waste or hazardous waste service. Under the NZ Waste Strategy 2010, Councils should be working toward reducing harm and improving efficiency relating to waste. The HazMobile is an effective vehicle for reducing harm. HDC has seven public place recycling in place which are being monitored. Results will provide justification for expansion of this scheme to other high foot traffic areas.
28	Peter Brown	37	This submission is more a business case for diversion of putrescible material and adheres to the vision of zero waste. The business case lacks scale to be considered for the locality. All diverted green waste is commercially composted through BioRich or sent to PanPac as a fuel source.
29	M Kirkwood	43	This submission supports the status quo for both waste disposal and recycling due to the affordability, flexibility and choice the current system provides. An upgrade of the Martin Place recycling depot is also support with the additional request to provide transfer station facilities to reduce need for across town travel. This option has not been included in the draft WMMP and further work would be required on the development of this option external to the WMMP process.
30	Chrissie Gray	149	This submission supports low tech/low cost option for waste disposal and voices concern over the use of plastic bags. Corn starch bags lack the integrity of the existing bag and are weakened by ultra violet light, heat and moisture content, all of which would affect suitability as a suitable rubbish bag at this stage. Councils are committed to providing ongoing waste education. The submitter has enclosed an article relating to the Christchurch City Council 'I love Organics' campaign which see both food waste and green waste diverted to composting.
31	Emma Larsen	157	This submission supports a three bin system for waste and recycling. Provision of a three bin system would significantly increase the cost of service delivery per rateable property. A co-mingled wheelie bin for recycling would lead to product contamination and a possible increase in material destined for landfill.
32	Margaret Gwynn	159	This submission opposes the provision of wheelie bins and supports the status quo for both waste and recycling collections. The submitter also supports public place recycling bins, e-waste service and the continuation of the HazMobile. Concerns are expressed about the disposal of flax and fibrous green waste.

Attachment 1

Item 4

33	Mary Ellen Warren		The tenure of the WMMP is for a six year period under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008. The submitter supports the provision of a green waste collection and taking steps to reduce the number of waste trucks servicing each street weekly. This submission supports prohibiting green waste being mixed with general waste. The provision of a green waste service would add 2% to Napier rates. NCC is investigating a bylaw change that would prohibit the mixing of green waste with general waste from February 2014.
34	Sandy Anderson, Arthur Miller School		Arthur Miller School would like to access kerbside recycling collection and supports local e-waste recycling options.
35	Glenys Offergeld		NCC provides annual recycling day for items too large for kerbside collection as well as annual Hazardous collection. NCC supports energy options in Onekawa who recycle e-waste (not collected at the kerbside) on a user pays basis. The WMMP has an option to provide further assistance to e-waste collection sites. The provision of drop off sites for e-waste and hazardous materials near retail areas and car parks will be unmanageable without a full time staff member at each to monitor goods.
36	Lawrence Zwimpfer		This submission requests Council take action on the subject of E-waste. The WMMP provides Council with the ability to lobby Central Government on the issue of product stewardship. E-Waste is one product that Product Stewardship is being considered by Ministry for the Environment. No further action required.
37	Toni Jane White		This submission requested access to green waste services on a fortnightly basis. The submitter will be informed of options available to them across the commercial sector.