

# Hastings District Council

Civic Administration Building Lyndon Road East, Hastings

Phone: (06) 871 5000 Fax: (06) 871 5100 WWW.hastingsdc.govt.nz

# **OPEN ADDITIONAL ITEMS**

# AGENDA

# HDC - MĀORI JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019

Time: **1.00pm** 

Venue: Council Chamber

**Ground Floor** 

**Civic Administration Building** 

**Lyndon Road East** 

**Hastings** 

# HASTINGS DISTRICT COUNCIL HDC - MĀORI JOINT COMMITTEE MEETING WEDNESDAY, 6 MARCH 2019

**VENUE:** Council Chamber

Ground Floor

Civic Administration Building

Lyndon Road East

Hastings

TIME: 1.00pm

# AGENDA

# 12. Additional Business Items

12A.) Review of Māori Participation in Council Decision Making

3

REPORT TO: HDC - MĀORI JOINT COMMITTEE

MEETING DATE: WEDNESDAY 6 MARCH 2019

FROM: MANAGER: DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE SERVICES

**JACKIE EVANS** 

POU AHUREA MATUA - PRINCIPAL ADVISOR: RELATIONSHIPS. RESPONSIVENESS AND HERITAGE

DR JAMES GRAHAM

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF MĀORI PARTICIPATION IN COUNCIL

**DECISION MAKING** 

# 1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to update the Committee on the outcome of the workshop held with Council on arrangements for Māori participation in decision making.
- 1.2 The Council is required to give effect to the purpose of local government as prescribed by Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002. That purpose is to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions in a way that is most cost–effective for households and businesses. Good quality means infrastructure, services and performance that are efficient and effective and appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances.
- 1.3 The objective of this decision relevant to the purpose of Local Government is the inclusion of Māori in the decision making processes of Council.
- 1.4 This report concludes by recommending that the Council appoints tangata whenua to the Council's Standing Committees.

# 2.0 BACKGROUND

# **Development of the Māori Joint Committee since October 2005**

2.1 In 2005 the Committee was established. The terms of reference provided for six elected Councillors, and six Tangata whenua appointments made by Council.

# The context of claims settlement

- 2.2 The current appointments to the Committee reflect the context of the five claims' settlement entities in the district, without in anyway purporting to be representative of them.
- 2.3 Five post-settlement governance entities have settled with the Crown: Ngāti Pāhauwera, Maungaharuru Tangitū, Ngāti Hineuru, Mana Ahuriri Incorporated and Heretaunga Tamatea Settlement Trust.

Agenda Item: 12A

# 3.0 CURRENT SITUATION

- 3.1 Since 2017 The Committee has been researching and the nature and purpose of their role on the Committee and how Māori participation could be increased to be more effective in decision making.
- 3.2 Officers have undertaken site visits to other councils, and researched other models to gain an understanding of how Māori participation may be taken into account. There are many different models (see attachment 1). As can be seen a good number of Councils do have Māori appointments to standing committees with full voting rights.
- 3.3 Officers appreciate that the construction and inclusion of Māori participation in the decision making processes is a significant issue that needs to be taken into account, for example: unique local political conditions; resource allocation; mutually appropriate processes for appointment; alignment of values and aspirations between a council and mana whenua in a way that is mutually appropriate.
- 3.4 The appointed members expressed a preference to not be confined in their focus to Māori matters alone: but to be considered for a wider brief of engagement with all of the Council's activities. A Māori worldview will only add value to decision making.
- 3.5 Consideration has been given to how appointments to the Committee may be made to include constituents of post settlement governance entities (PSGEs). Although the Committee has worked well up until now, it now needs to evolve to fit the new world of the current iwi/ PSGEs
- 3.6 As a part of this review process it was considered that the membership and terms of reference for the HDC: Māori Joint Committee should be revised accordingly and refreshed for the 2019-22 triennium. This work is yet to be undertaken.

# 4.0 APPOINTMENTS OF NON-ELECTED MEMBERS TO COUNCIL COMMITTEES

4.1 The Local Government Act 2002 Part 6 provides for:

"Section 81 - Contributions to decision making processes by Māori:

- (1) A local authority must-
  - Establish and maintain processes to provide opportunities for Māori to contribute to the decision making processes of the local authority;
     and
  - Consider ways in which it may foster the development of Māori capacity to contribute to decision making processes of the local authority;
  - c. Provide relevant information to Māori for the purposes of (a) and (b)"
- 4.2 This is a specific requirement to address the treaty of Waitangi that does not exist for other ethnicities in local government

# Role of an elected member

- 4.3 The LGA 2002 provides guidance on the question about whether elected members act on behalf of their wards or on behalf of the district as a whole, by requiring all elected members to swear an oath before taking up their role
- 4.4 All elected members swear an oath at the inaugural meeting of the council, which states:-

I [name] declare that I will faithfully and impartially, and according to the best of my skill and judgement, execute and perform, in the best interests of [name of region district, city, local or community board], the powers, authorities and duties vested in, or imposed upon me as a member of the [name of local authority] by virtue of the Local Government Act 2002, the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, or any other Act"

- 4.1 The oath makes it clear that despite the fact that members may have been elected by a ward, they must make decisions in the interests of the district as a whole. Elected members are drawn from all sections of the community, the Council provides training and support to its governors throughout the political triennium to ensure that they have the skills, attributes and knowledge to participate in good decision making.
  - 4.2 LGA 2002 Schedule 7 Section 31 (3) states

The members of a committee or subcommittee may, but need not be, elected members of the local authority, and a local authority or committee may appoint to a committee or subcommittee a person who is not a member of the local authority or committee, if, in the opinion of the local authority, that person has the **skills**, **attributes**, **or knowledge** that will assist the work of a committee or subcommittee.

- 4.3 It will be important for the Council and appointees to ensure that decision making is free of any conflicts of interest that may arise between an appointee's relationship with their iwi authority and the Council's obligation to make decisions in the best interest of Hastings District as a whole. It is also essential to not "muddy the water" in terms of the Council's separate obligation to consult or otherwise work with Māori in particular circumstances.
- 4.4 There are four Standing Committees of Council:
  - Community Development
  - Finance and Risk
  - Strategy Planning and Partnerships
  - Works and Services
- 4.5 There are also Māori appointments to a number of other regulatory and statutory subcommittees.

Agenda Item: 12A

# 5.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR COUNCIL

- 5.1 Many Councils have Standing Committees with Māori appointments. Māori members can be full members with voting rights, or be observers, who generally have the right to speak but not vote. Although voting appointments can be made to committees and subcommittees, the legislation does not allow for non-elected members to have a vote at full Council. (Section 41 of theLocal Government Act 2002)
- 5.2 A critical point to be considered is the matter of accountability: to whom are appointed members accountable? If appointments are made to reflect sectors of the community, it is notable that once selected for appointment the focus of participation is to benefit the whole community, not just their interest group or be at risk of conflict of interest. So it is with elected members: once they are elected to Council they are there to benefit the community as a whole.
- 5.3 This does not detract or impede the purpose for the appointments; which is to provide for a Māori perspective into Council decision-making. It is this perspective, if not otherwise reliably present, which strengthens the make-up of the decision-making processes of the Council, and broadens the range of perspective being considered.

# **Appointment process**

- 5.4 Criteria and an appointment process will need to be agreed upon. Council could decide to appoint HDC: Māori Joint Committee members to the other Committees if they had the capacity to serve.
- 5.5 The HDC: Māori Joint Committee full Council workshop agreed in principle on the appointment of tangata whenua members to Council's standing committees. However, the process for selection and appointments needs to be developed and there were concerns expressed at the workshop about how appointments would be made including the appointment and selection process. There are a number of options for an application and selection process which could include expressions of interest and/or stakeholder referral. Likewise full consideration needs to be given to a selection process to appoint candidates with the appropriate skills, knowledge and attributes from either within or outside the HDC: Maori Joint Committee.
- 5.6 The HDC: Māori Joint Committee see a real benefit that these appointments will increase the voice, mana and resilience of the committee, and will strengthen and support the council to make more effective and inclusive decisions for the whole community.

# 6.0 OPTIONS

- 6.1 **Option One:** To confirm the principle of appointment of tangata whenua members to the council's standing committees from the date of the next council meeting and to recommend to council a proposal to appoint one named tangata whenua member of HDC: Māori Joint Committee to each of the Council's standing committees from the date of the next council meeting.
- 6.2 **Option Two:** To confirm the principle of appointment of tangata whenua members to the Council's standing committees, and to undertake a full review of the current terms of reference and selection process for tangata whenua

- members of the HDC: Māori Joint Committee to ensure transparency and accountability and future proof Council's relationships with mana whenua. Appointments to Council's standing committees would not take place until this work was done, which would potentially be after the 2019 triennial elections.
- 6.3 **Option Three:** To confirm in principle the appointment of tangata whenua members to the council's standing committees across a two stage process. That is adopt Option One as an interim measure whilst undertaking work to achieve Option Two to be implemented following the 2019 triennial elections subject to approval by the incoming Mayor and Council.
- 6.4 **Option Four:** To retain the status quo.

# 7.0 ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS (INCLUDING FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS)

- 7.1 The HDC: Māori Joint Committee tangata whenua members consider that the appointments should be made from members of the committee for the following reasons that they have:
  - A sound understanding of issues facing council;
  - Skills, experiences and knowledge of te ao Māori / a Māori worldview;
  - An understanding of the way council works; and,
  - Representation across the geographical and hapū boundaries of Council.
- 7.2 The advantage of making the appointments effective immediately would be to demonstrate the vision and commitment of Council to Māori engagement in decision-making. However, it is recognised that future appointment processes do need to be transparent and accountable to the community.
- 7.3 If the Committee decides to recommend Option 1 or Option 3 to Council, four names will be needed to make an appointment to each of the four Standing Committees, ideally with a summary of the skills and knowledge that the proposed appointees would bring to the table.
- 7.3 Consideration will need to be given to appropriate remuneration for appointed members of the committee. This has not been budgeted for, but is not likely to have a significant impact on existing budgets.

# 8.0 PREFERRED OPTIONS AND REASONS

8.1 **Option Three** is the preferred option as it enables the Council to move forward in its stated aim to engage more effectively with Māori, and future proof Council's relationships with mana whenua and tangata whenua.

# 9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND REASONS

- A) That the report of the Manager: Democracy and Governance Services titled "Review of Māori Participation in Council Decision Making" dated 6/03/2019 be received.
- B) To confirm in principle the appointment of tangata whenua members to the Council's Standing Committees across a two-stage process. That is, adopt Option One outlined in the report as an interim measure whilst undertaking work to achieve Option Two to be implemented following the 2019 triennial elections, and subject to approval by the incoming Mayor and Council.
- C) That Council be recommended to appoint the following tangata whenua members to the following Standing Committees:
  - Community Development
  - Finance and Risk
  - Strategy Planning and Partnerships
  - Works and Services

With the reasons for this decision being that the objective of the decision will contribute to meeting the current and future needs of communities for local public services in a way that is most cost-effective for households and business by improving Māori engagement in the Council's governance and decision making processes.

# **Attachments:**

1 Local Government New Zealand -Council-Maori-Participation- Information published June-2017 CG-14-14-00022

# Council-Māori Participation Arrangements

Information for councils and Māori when considering their arrangements to engage and work with each other

June 2017



We are. LGNZ.

# Foreword p3

# **Executive summary** p6

- 1> Introduction p8
- 2> Engagement and consultation processes p10
- 3> Relationship agreements/memoranda of understanding p12
- 4> Representation and advisory structures p14
- 5> Formal agreements and joint entities p17
- 6> Council tools and practices to improve engagement p21
- 7> Discussion p24

We are.

# Foreword

# **Foreword**



An opportunity exists for all local authorities to establish meaningful and ongoing relationships with Māori organisations within their cities, districts and regions.

The importance of the relationship between local government and Maōri is reflected at a national level in the Memorandum of Understanding that Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ)

signed with the Iwi Chairs Forum in 2015.

Councils operate under a number of statutory regimes that require interaction and a relationship with Māori. Underpinning this is the assumption that dialogue should occur in order to understand the values, aspirations and interest of Māori organisations. The two dominant frameworks are the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA), but provisions are also found in a range of other legislation including that governing reserves, coastal management, flood management and transport.

Yet building relationships with Māori is not simply a matter of complying with legislation. Councils need to recognise, and be responsive to, the nature and diversity of their communities. In particular, they should recognise the mandate and structures established by Māori within their communities. How this occurs, in addition to requirements set out in legislation, should be a matter of mutual negotiation that suits the particular context.

Recent Treaty of Waitangi settlements have introduced new arrangements involving local authorities and Māori working together on strategy, policy and governance. Some local authorities have also moved to a new level of governance arrangement independently of Treaty settlement outcomes. This report summarises the arrangements in place across New Zealand and will give councils and Māori examples as they consider what will work best for their own

LGNZ is helping to build stronger relationships between councils and Māori groups to support community wellbeing, by preparing a number of resources to assist councils.

I am pleased that LGNZ has worked with Te Puni Kökiri (TPK) and the Ministry for the Environment (MfE) to bring this information together to assist Māori and local authorities.

Lawrence Yule President

Local Government New Zealand

We are.

# **Foreword**



Tēnā koutou katoa, ngā piringa karanga maha o ngā kaunihera o te motu, ki runga i ngā tini ahuatanga o te ao hurihuri nei. No reira, rau rangatira ma, tēnā koutou katoa.

When I look at the cover of this document and see that beautiful taonga pounamu, I don't just see a piece of jewellery. I see a representation of a toki (adze) and think of the past, present and future

of creativity, of things hewn from our natural resources which may just have the most menial function ... or possibly the most sacred.

I see the pounamu and think about the waterway it came from, the people who lived, live and will live there and their relationships to the water and each other. I think of our southern iwi, the rightful assertion of their mana over the taonga and their restrictions on its unfettered exploitation.

I see the colours, the inclusions and seams within the stone, the nuances of light and dark which will perform differently when held up to sunlight as opposed to sitting on the wearer's skin or the beneath the bright lights of a souvenir shop. I wonder who will wear it, what the occasions will be and where in the world it might travel to.

I recognise the skill of the artisan who saw the potential in the raw material, who understood the inherent beauty just waiting to be uncovered, who cut and shaped and polished the stone for many hours to get it to its present state. I think about who taught them and the whakapapa of that knowledge. I admire the dexterity needed to do the binding and plaiting of the chord, the patience, the practice and the honing of skills over years to finish the piece so beautifully.

I acknowledge its absolute individuality, its oneness, its autonomy and its ability to stand apart from the rest as recognisable within its own right, while still being connected to and part of the wider cultural narrative spanning millenia and generations. And finally, I appreciate its declaration of power and productivity, of unlimited potential and its promise of even more.

So what does all this have to do with the local government sector and relationships with Māori? Everything actually. I've just outlined the difference between just looking at something at face value versus actually seeing it, engaging with it, questioning it, reflecting on it, trusting it and believing in it.

Such is the potential for local and territorial authorities to create deeper, more meaningful interaction if they understand and appreciate that Te Ao Māori is all about interconnectedness. Nothing can be regarded in isolation. Just like the image on the cover of this document representing more than a piece of jewellery, everything for Māori is more than just a submission on a resource consent or a random comment or an autonomous decision at a hui.

Everything needs wider consideration because for Māori there are far-reaching implications across the the many realms which have become siloed portfolios within the Western management model. In Te Ao Māori, there is no separation, no divorce of accountability and responsibility between air, earth, water, flora, fauna and people. Different, but connected, just like councils' inter-related plans and policies and schedules and understanding of inter-generational equity.

There are many varied and nuanced ways in which local government can work with Māori, as outlined in this document. There is no singular answer, there is no sole correct structure. There is no one definitive response to the oft-proposed questions "what do Māori want?"

This document outlines some of those responses, which may or may not suit your people and your circumstances. The purpose of this document is not to tell you how to do things, but is instead to merely highlight that things can - and should - be done according to the needs within your communities.

Like the taonga gracing the cover of this resource, there are many stories, people, places, history, the present and the future all entwined in Te Ao Māori that affect us all, regardless of our whakapapa, how long we've been here and what our belief systems are. What's good for Māori is good for Aotearoa and what's good for Aotearoa benefits us in the here and now – and the generations to

Nou te rourou, naku te rourou, ka ora tonu te iwi – by the contributions from your food basket and mine, the people will flourish

No reira, huri noa te motu, tēnā koutou katoa.

Bonita Bigham Chairperson – Te Maruata Councillor – South Taranki District Council

# **Executive** summary

Page 14

In 2015, TPK, with support from MfE, developed a stocktake of council-iwi participation arrangements ("the stocktake"). The stocktake was based on a review of readily available public information, and included both voluntary arrangements, and arrangements developed under the RMA and LGA, as well as those delivered thorugh Treaty of Waitangi settlements.

This report reflects some of the information gathered in the stocktake and provides examples of the different types of arrangements currently used by Māori and councils across New Zealand. There is a spectrum of arrangements from informal processes through to formal joint decision-making entities. For convenience, this report groups the arrangements under five broad categories as follows:

- engagement and consultation processes;
- 2. **relationship agreements/memoranda of understanding** that set out how council and Māori will work together;
- 3. representation and advisory structures;
- 4. formal agreements and joint entities; and
- 5. local authority tools and practices.

This review highlighted that the arrangements are highly variable and tend to evolve over time. One key consideration on the adequacy of the current arrangement is related to the capacity of each party to engage at all levels, with a clear understanding of their mutual and individual goals, and transparent decision-making/engagement processes.

The scope of the review did not extend to assessing the success, limitations, advantages or disadvantages of the arrangements. Opportunities for further work include assessing the strengths of the different arrangements and relationships, and further understanding their effectiveness and limitations in order to determine what arrangements help facilitate a successful relationship and why.

This report does not cover the recent Mana Whakahono ā Rohe agreements that have recently been provided for through the RMA reforms. However, it will be useful as Māori and councils consider what type of arrangement they want to put in place, to respond to the expectations created by Mana Whakahono ā Rohe agreements.



# 1 Introduction

The relationships between local authorities and Maöri are strategically important for both and are based on a range of statutory and non-statutory instruments.

Although the Treaty of Waitangi is between Māori and the Crown, the LGA imposes certain obligations on local government to reflect those Treaty obligations. One of those is an obligation to provide an opportunity for Māori to contribute to the decision-making processes of a local authority. There is a range of other legislation that also imposes obligations on local government in relation to both the Treaty and Māori interests and values more generally.

Compliance with legislation is important for local authorities, but it should not be the sole basis for building relationships. There has been significant process in the building of relationships between local government and Māori. While those relationships have not always been strong or prominent, many local authorities now have developing and strong relationships with Māori in their district or region. In some cases, Treaty settlements have been the catalyst for this, and in many cases there has been a significant improvement in the knowledge and understanding of tikanga Māori and of the role of local government. There are many opportunities for mutual benefit and advancement that are now being realised.

Since 2002, LGNZ has been active in helping to build stronger relationships between local authorities and Māori to support community wellbeing, by preparing a number of resources to assist councils. Te Maruata, a sub-committee of the National Council of LGNZ, assists through promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, enhancing Māori participation in local government processes, providing the necessary support to councils, and providing Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. These resources can be found on LGNZ's website under the online library on Local Authority-Māori arrangements.¹

This report, commissioned by LGNZ, is a review and analysis of the information contained within the stocktake. The kaupapa (purpose /agreed principles of the work) was to group the participation arrangements according to what underpins them and describe the various practices, tools and processes used by councils and iwi to engage and enhance their relationships. It is designed to provide high-level guidance for Māori and local authorities wishing to strengthen their level of engagement. The various forms of engagement are collectively referred to as council-Māori participation arrangements.

This report adds to LGNZ's library on Local Authority-Māori arrangements. LGNZ's first report on this subject was prepared in 2007 and can be downloaded from the LGNZ website. Previous work by LGNZ in this area includes the 2011 paper "Council Māori Engagement: The ongoing story" and "Frequently asked questions on Council—Māori engagement" (2007).

Input and comment has not been sought from the Māori within these arrangements and the report does not seek to assess or evaluate the effectiveness of these arrangements. In addition, the report does not take into account the geographic, environmental, demographic or financial variances between councils, or the willingness of Māori to be involved in council activities and processes. These factors can all have differing impacts on the ability of councils to establish and maintain effective relationships.

The report groups participation arrangements under five broad headings (with subheadings), as outlined below. These groupings are intended to help provide a better understanding of the different arrangements that exist, how they function, and to provide some examples within each group. It is important to note there can be considerable overlap between the different groupings and individual arrangements may comprise elements from a number of groupings. In many cases, a suite of arrangements, council structures and tools are used to deliver on the broader council – Māori relationships.

As noted above, this report groups the arrangements under five broad categories as follows:

- engagement and consultation processes;
- relationship agreements/memoranda of understanding that set out how council and Māori will work together;
- 3. representation and advisory structures;
- 4. formal agreements and joint entities; and
- 5. local authority tools and practices.



http://www.lgnz.co.nz/home/nzs-local-government/council-ma/

2

# Engagement and consultation processes

Agenda Item: 14

Engagement and consultation processes are key mechanisms to support the relationship between Māori and local authorities and are used extensively across the country. These processes not only recognise the mana of Māori in their local area, but also provide an important tool for information gathering and the exchange of ideas.

Engagement and consultation processes are not only seen as good practice and supporting working relationships, but are also required by legislation in a number of cases. For example:

- under the LGA, local authorities are required to have consultation processes in place with Māori;
- under the RMA, local authorities are required to consult with M\u00e4ori early in the statutory planning processes;
- under the Land Transport Management Act 2003 there are specific requirements on local authorities to consult with Māori; and
- a number of Treaty settlement statutes require local authorities to engage with Māori in relation to certain areas and processes.

Even if there are no specific obligations to consult with Māori, often this is appropriate and necessary to ensure that local authorities can make informed decisions in relation to Māori values and interests.

The processes for engagement and consultation are often captured in relationship agreements, which are discussed further below.

The manner of engagement/consultation needs to be appropriate for the particular circumstances. Section 82 of the LGA sets out the following general principles of consultation:

 that persons who will or may be affected by, or have an interest in, the decision or matter should be provided by the local authority with reasonable access to relevant information in a manner and format that is appropriate to the preferences and needs of those persons;

- that persons who will or may be affected by, or have an interest in, the decision or matter should be encouraged by the local authority to present their views to the local authority;
- that persons who are invited or encouraged to present their views to the local authority should be given clear information by the local authority concerning the purpose of the consultation and the scope of the decisions to be taken following the consideration of views presented;
- that persons who wish to have their views on the decision or matter considered by the local authority should be provided by the local authority with a reasonable opportunity to present those views to the local authority in a manner and format that is appropriate to the preferences and needs of those persons;
- that the views presented to the local authority should be received by the local authority with an open mind and should be given by the local authority, in making a decision, due consideration; and
- that persons who present views to the local authority should have access to a clear record or description of relevant decisions made by the local authority and explanatory material relating to the decisions, which may include, for example, reports relating to the matter that were considered before the decisions were made.

A local authority is required under the LGA to have in place processes for consulting with Māori in accordance with these principles.

One challenge faced by local authorities and Māori across the country is to co-ordinate and integrate the many streams of engagement within even one local authority and a Māori group.

While engagement and consultation will always be important tools, relationships between Māori and local government are evolving and include joint entities and decision-making and joint venture or partnering on commercial and other projects.



# Relationship agreements/memoranda of understanding

Many local authorities have and continue to use relationship agreements as a mechanism to record and build relationships with Māori

Memoranda of understanding (MoU); memoranda of partnership; charters; and protocols are types of relationship agreements commonly used to provide a platform for a relationship between a local authority and a Māori group. The stocktake found that MoU and relationship agreements are in common use across councils nationwide.

The nature and purpose of the arrangements varies, from simply establishing a joint intent to work together (requiring the parties to act in good faith, agreeing to acknowledge the Māori world view, terms around processing resource consents and plan making), to addressing resource management issues in a particular area (for example a harbour, river or lake).

In most cases the agreements include a commitment to regular meetings for both parties to provide the necessary resources to work together, along with various council structures and tools to help implement the relationship (covered in later sections of the report). Examples include:

- The MoU between Waimakariri District Council and Te Ngãi
  Tüāhuriri Rūnanga provides for input to council projects and
  processes facilitated by monthly meetings with representatives
  of the Rūnanga Executive Management Committee. Forum
  meetings also provide for regular updates to the Rūnanga about
  council projects and activities, and give a chance for the Rūnanga
  to provide feedback.
- Wellington City Council has a MoU with two mandated iwi
  Post-Settlement Governance Entities (PSGEs): Te Rünanga o Toa
  Rangatira Incorporated and Port Nicholson Block Settlement
  Trust. New draft MoU include Capacity Funding Agreements
  (CFAs) that acknowledge and support the capacity contribution
  by the PSGEs to the MoU.
- Tararua District Council has an MoU with Rangitane and Ngati Kahungunu which outlines a detailed policy for the development of Maori capacity to participate in council decision-making as required by the LGA.

- Te Uru Taumatua (post Treaty settlement entity for Ngãi Tūhoe) and its neighbouring councils entered into two protocol agreements in 2013;
  - Relationship Protocol between Te Uru Taumatua and the Bay
    of Plenty Regional Council. The purpose of the protocol is
    to increase the opportunity for engagement and input over
    shared goals, projects and initiatives. This protocol has set
    a clear platform to ensure councils interact in a timely and
    appropriate manner.
  - Integrated Planning Protocol between Te Uru Taumatua, the Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Hawke's Bay Regional Council, Wairoa District Council and the Whakatāne District Council. This protocol seeks to promote effective engagement and prevent misunderstandings around respective roles and statutory obligations. Given the Tühoe rohe is intersected by multiple councils, this protocol is a useful mechanism.
- The Auckland Council has a Mana Whenua relationship agreement template for the purposes of entering into relationship agreements with mana whenua.
- Since 1994, the K\u00e4piti Coast District Council has had a
   Memorandum of Partnership in place with each of the three iwi.
   The goal of the partnership is to forge a relationship of mutual
   benefit between the K\u00e4piti Coast District Council and the tangata
   whenua that will develop into an effective and meaningful
   partnership. The partnership is managed by the council
   partnership committee, Te Whakaminenga o K\u00e4piti.
- A Charter of Understanding was established between seven councils (Environment Southland, Invercargill City Council, Gore District Council, Southland District Council, Queenstown Lakes District Council, Clutha District Council, and Otago Regional Council) and Ngãi Tahu ki Murihiku, in 1997. Te Aō Marama Inc represents the four Murihiku Rūnanga and is the point of contact for resource consent applicants in Murihiku.



4

# Representation and advisory structures

A number of local authorities provide for Māori representation on committees while some local authorities have formal Māori constituencies. In other cases, local authorities have in place Māori advisory committees or structures. Committees can exist of both elected and appointed members.

# Membership on Committees

Many councils have standing committees with Māori representation. Māori members can be full members with voting rights, or be observers, who generally have the right to speak but not vote.

Examples of standing committees with Māori appointed members with voting rights include:

- The Te Arawa partnership provides for Māori appointees with voting rights on two of the committees of the Rotorua Lakes Council
- Napier City Council's resource consent hearing committee, which has one Māori appointee with full voting rights.
- In Clutha, Māori representatives are nominated to all committees when deemed appropriate. For example, there are two representatives from Hokonui and Otakou rūnanga who have voting rights on council's wastewater and solid waste working parties.
- In Kāpiti, Māori have representation on the standing committees and tangata whenua working parties which contribute to significant council work programmes. Candidates apply to sit on these committees, they are interviewed by Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti and appointed by Council. The Chair of the partnership committee also has the ability to attend full meetings of council.
- Marlborough District Council has an iwi representative on each of its standing committees. These appointed representatives have full speaking and voting rights as accorded to elected members.
- In Auckland, the Independent Māori Statutory Board (IMSB)
  is represented on 16 Auckland Council committees. The IMSB
  promotes the advancement of issues for mana whenua and
  mātāwaka (Māori living in the Auckland region whose traditional
  rohe is not Auckland). In addition, they are represented on
  working parties and hearings panels and various fora.
- The Chair of the Māori Committee for Hawke's Bay Regional Council is an ex-officio member at council meetings with speaking rights only. There are two Māori appointees with full voting rights on the Environmental Management Committee, the Asset Management and Biosecurity Committee, and the Strategic Planning and Finance Committee. There is also one Māori

- appointee with full voting rights on the Hearings Committee and one to the full Council.
- Ngãi Tahu's Te Rūnanga o Moeraki has representation on a number of Waitaki District Council committees including the Harbour and Heritage Sub-Committee, the Physical Activity and Walking and Cycling Strategy Implementation Group, the North Otago Museum Advisory Group, the Grants and Awards Sub-Committee, and the Culture and Heritage Group.

Examples of committees where iwi representatives have observer status include:

- Central Hawke's Bay District Council representatives of Te
  Taiwhenua o Tamatea (all nine marae in Central Hawke's Bay) are
  invited to every scheduled ordinary, special, and extraordinary
  meeting of full council.
- Timaru City Council there is Māori representation on the Safer Communities Committee and Local Arts Assessment Committee.
- Wellington City Council the Strategy and Planning Committee has two non-elected members appointed to it, a representative from Port Nicholson Block Settlement Trust and a representative from Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira.
- West Coast Regional Council has no formal arrangements with iwi, however they invite representation on its Resource Management Committee from local runanga, Te Runaka o Ngāti Waewae, and Te Runanga o Makaawhio.

# Maōri wards or constituencies

The Local Electoral Act 2001 allows a local authority to establish Maōri wards (in the case of a territorial authority) or constituencies (in the case of a regional council) for which eligible voters must be enrolled on the Maōri roll. A council can establish a ward or constituency by passing a resolution to that effect, as in the case of Waikato Regional Council, or as a result of a binding poll. Polls can also reverse a council decision. Examples include:

- Bay of Plenty Regional Council has three M\u00e4ori constituencies (seats on council). These were established under the Bay of Plenty (M\u00e4ori Constituency Empowering) Act 2001; this was the first regional council to have M\u00e4ori constituencies.
- In 2012, Waikato Regional Council created two Māori constituencies. As a result, two of the fourteen councillors specifically represent Māori.
- Territorial authorities can have Ma
   öri wards, the equivalent of the regional council constituency. There are none in place at this time.

Council-Māori Participation Arrangements

15

 For Kāpiti, council's partnership committee (Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti) reviews the proposal for Māori wards as part of the regular representation reviews. To date, the committee has elected not to pursue a Māori ward preferring to appoint iwi representatives to the standing committees of council.

# Māori advisory entities

A Māori standing committee is a formal council committee, set up to represent Māori interests within a district or region. The committee reports to council, and can have delegated power. Many provide input into council decision-making processes. Māori committees are also often formed to enable a council to meet its obligations under legislation such as the LGA (eg section 81) and the RMA (eg sections 6(e), 7(a) and 8).

# Examples include:

- The IMSB was created through statute and has a purpose of assisting the Auckland Council to make decisions, perform functions, and exercise powers by promoting cultural, economic, environmental, and social issues of significance for mana whenua groups and mataawaka, and ensuring that the Council acts in accordance with statutory provisions referring to the Treaty of Waitangi.
- The Te Arawa Board sits outside of the Rotorua Lakes Council, to represent iwi interests, with board members elected by the Te Arawa community. The Te Arawa Board forwards nominations for appointment to certain council committees, RMA consent hearing panels and strategic working groups. Nominations are considered and appointments made by the full council and there are voting rights for appointed representatives on two of the committees.
- Central Hawke's Bay District Council, Hastings District Council and Hawke's Bay Regional Council each have a Māori Committee to provide policy advice to the councils.

- For Käpiti District Council, the partnership committee, Te
  Whakaminenga o Käpiti, drives the strategic direction for iwi and
  council relationships. This group also oversees an annual work
  plan and keeps an oversight of all service level activity across
  the organisation that addresses and enhances the values and
  aspirations of tängata whenua.
- The Northland Regional Council had (during the previous triennium) a Māori Advisory Committee consisting of iwi and hapū representatives from across Te Tai Tokerau and four regional councillors. While the Committee had no delegated authority to make council decisions, the Committee provided policy advice to help improve council's engagement with Maōri and inform the Council of Maōri views on key topics. A decision has yet to be made regarding re-establishing this Committee for the current term.
- A River and Freshwater Advisory Committee has been provided for through the Te Tau Ihu (top of the South Island) Treaty settlements – this Committee provides formal advice to Marlborough District Council, Nelson City Council and Tasman District Council.
- Dunedin City Council's M\u00e4ori Participation Working Party was
  established in 2005 as an informal advisory group to foster M\u00e4ori
  participation. Like many arrangements, this has evolved from an
  informal agreement up until 2006 when a MoU between Council
  and Te R\u00fcnanga o Ng\u00e4i Tahu and Kati Huriapa ki Puketeraki
  R\u00fcnaka as mana whenua was entered into.



We are. 16 LGNZ.

# Formal agreements and joint entities

In more recent times there has been a movement towards more formalised agreements and entities which provide for local authorities and Māori to work together in decision-making and other processes. Treaty settlements have been a major driver for these new arrangements, but some local authorities have entered into them independently of Treaty settlements.

# Formal agreements

These agreements tend to move beyond the relationship type agreement/MoU and into firmer commitments relating to specific statutory processes and decision-making.

One example is the joint management agreements (JMA) that are provided for under section 36B of the RMA. Until the Waikato River settlements provided for river iwi and local authorities to enter into JMAs, there had been minimal use of this mechanism.

## Examples include:

- The JMAs between the Waikato River Iwi and local authorities that provide specifically for the Iwi and local authorities to work together on RMA and other processes.
- The JMA between Taupō District Council and Ngāti Tūwharetoa (2009) provides for councillors and accredited iwi appointees to make joint decisions on resource consents and private plan changes where they apply to Māori multiple owned freehold land.
   Two commissioners are chosen each by the Council and the Tūwharetoa Māori Trust Board.
- The recent JMA between Gisborne District Council and Te Runanganui o Ngāti Porou (2015) provides a mechanism for Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Porou to share in RMA decision-making within the Waiapu Catchment. The JMA provides for joint decision-making on notified resource consent applications, plan changes and private plan changes. The JMA provides for the Council and Te Runanganui to select hearing panel members, at least one member is appointed by each party.
- Environment Canterbury has a relationship agreement (Tuia)
  with the 10 Papatipu Rünanga of the region, aimed at achieving
  sustainable environmental outcomes and effective iwi and
  rünanga participation. Work includes building rünanga capacity,
  actioning local joint projects with Papatipu Rünanga, and building
  council staff capability and understanding of Ngãi Tahu values
  and tikanga.

- Te Waihora Co-governance Agreement (for Te Waihora/Lake Ellesmere, Canterbury), signed between Te Rünanga o Ngāi Tahu, Canterbury Regional Council, Selwyn District Council and Christchurch City Council, is an agreement to share responsibility for Te Kete Ika a Rākaihautū and the wider Te Waihora catchment. The parties collaboratively exercise functions, duties and powers in the Te Waihora catchment. Te Waihora Cogovernance Agreement is not a JMA under the RMA.
- Waimakariri District Council and Te Rünanga o Ngãi Tahu
  through the Te Köhaka o Tühaitara Trust have a co-management
  agreement (formalised through a JMA). The Trust administers
  and manages reserves in coastal areas of the district. The Council
  vested 593 hectares of reserves in the Trust. The Council and Te
  Rünanga o Ngãi Tahu work together under the agreement for
  the on-going management of these coastal lands. The Council
  appoints 50 per cent of the trustees with the balance appointed
  by Ngãi Tahu.

### Joint entities

Treaty settlements have also driven the establishment of new entities that provide for local authorities and Māori to work together in statutory and decision-making processes, often in relation to a particular area or natural resource. Many of these entities provide for a "co-governance" type approach where local authorities and Māori representatives work together on the entity, although that is not always the case.

One recent example is the Tupuna Maunga Authority that was created through the Tamaki Collective settlement. That Authority comprises six Auckland Council and six Tāmaki Iwi Collective members, and provides governance for a number of significant maunga (volcanic cones) in Auckland.

Other examples of joint entities include:

In the Wellington region, Te Upoko Taiao - Natural Resource Management Committee comprises seven elected regional councillors and seven appointed members from the region's mana whenua, and is co-chaired by a representative of the council and mana whenua. This Committee is underpinned by a Charter of Understanding, and the Committee is responsible for overseeing the development of the new regional plan. In addition, there are mana whenua representatives on all regional council committees.

We are.
18 LGNZ.

- The Bay of Plenty Regional Council's Komiti Māori comprises three Māori elected members, three general elected members and an ex-officio (BOPRC Chair). Its function is to set operational direction for Council's legislative obligations to Māori and monitor how these obligations are implemented. The committee can approve actions to enhance Māori capacity, recommend Māori engagement mechanisms, facilitate Māori input into community outcomes, formally receive iwi resource management plans, make submissions on Māori related matters and establish subcommittees and/or advisory committees. Komiti Māori rotates around the region and holds its meeting on Marae to enable and promote effective engagement with local Māori communities.
- Te Oneroa a Tohe Board (the joint local authority/Māori board for Ninety Mile Beach) which has a number of statutory functions including to prepare a strategic plan for Ninety Mile Beach, was delivered through the Te Hiku Treaty settlements.
- The Hawke's Bay Regional Planning Committee was established in April 2011 by Hawke's Bay Regional Council as Treaty of Waitangi redress for tangata whenua (and subsequently provided for through legislation). Its role is to oversee the review and development of the regional policy statement and regional plans under the RMA. With an equal number of regional councillors and Maori representatives, this Committee is the co-governance group for the management of natural and physical resources in Hawke's Bay. All Committee members have full speaking and voting rights.
- The Waikato River Authority (WRA) was established through the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, the Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Raukawa, and Te Arawa River Iwi Waikato River Act 2010, and with additional responsibilities arising from the Ngā Wai o Maniapoto (Waipā River) Act 2012. The WRA is required to set the vision and strategy to achieve the restoration of the health and wellbeing of the Waikato River. The WRA is comprised of five Crown-appointed members and five from each river iwi. One Crown member is nominated by Waikato Regional Council with a second nominated by territorial authorities.

- The Rotorua Te Arawa Lakes Strategy Group was established to provide leadership for putting into effect the strategy for the Rotorua lakes and their catchments. As the governance group, it provides the direction, vision, and strategic oversight for the lakes programme. The Strategy Group approves funding decisions under the Rotorua Te Arawa Lakes Programme. The Group began as a joint committee under the LGA as a way of working together to preserve and protect the Rotorua lakes and their catchments. It was then formally mandated (as a permanent joint committee) under the Te Arawa Lakes Settlement Act 2006.
- Tararua District Council supports joint decision-making on the Dannevirke Domain through a joint committee - the joint committee comprises two representatives from each iwi and four council members.
- Te Maru o Kaituna (TMoK) the Kaituna River Authority is a co-governance entity that was created through the Tapuika Settlement. The membership is Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Western Bay of Plenty District Council, Rotorua Lakes Council, Tauranga City Council and representatives from Tapuika Iwi Authority, Te Tāhuhu o Tawakeheimoa Trust (Ngāti Rangiwewehi), Te Kapu o Waitaha (Waitaha) and Te Pumautanga o Te Arawa Trust (Te Arawa Affiliates). Ngāti Whakaue currently holds an observer status until such time as their Treaty legislation is enacted.
- The Ngāti Whare Claims Settlement Act 2012 and the Ngāti Manawa Claims Settlement Act 2012 established the Rangitāiki River Forum involving representatives from Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Whakatāne District Council, Taupo District Council, Te Runanga o Ngāti Whare, Te Runanga o Ngāti Manawa, Te Runanga o Ngāti Awa, Tūwharetoa (Bay of Plenty) Settlement Trust, Te Uru Taumatua (Ngati Tuhoe) and Te Kopere o Te Iwi o Hineuru Trust (Ngati Hineuru). The purpose of the forum is the protection and enhancement of the Rangitāiki River.
- Wellington City Council has three key joint decision-making arrangements with PSGEs in relation to co-management and partnership principles:
  - Öruaiti Reserve (Point Dorset) Management Plan with Port Nicholson Block Settlement Trust;
  - Taputeranga Island Historic Reserve Planting and Restoration Plan with Te Rünanga o Toa Rangatira Incorporated; and
  - Wellington Town Belt Management Plan and Wellington Town Belt Act 2016 with both PSGEs.

- The Canterbury Water Management Regional Committees comprise 10 water management zone committees with local territorial authorities, local rūnanga and community representatives.
- Te Roopu Taiao is made up of councillor and officer representatives from seven councils across Southland and western Otago: Environment Southland, Southland District Council, Gore District Council, Invercargill City Council, Queenstown Lakes District Council, Clutha District Council, and Otago Regional Council with representatives from the four Papatipu Rūnanga in Murihiku. Te Roopu Taiao deals with the higher-level political interface, budget setting, accessing resources, and commitments for members. Its area of interest covers the traditional area of Murihiku, which extends outside of the regional council boundaries, which is why councils from two regions are involved.



We are.

# 6

# Council tools and practices to improve engagement

There are a number of more informal practices, tools and processes that are also used by councils to provide for Māori participation in local government. Policies and practices within councils have been developed to meet the statutory (including LGA and RMA) obligations, and deliver on the various arrangements outlined above.

While some councils have formalised these in relationship agreements or consultation policies, there are also non-formal consultation or communication initiatives that councils use.

These include information-sharing hui, open door policies, regular newsletters, information mail outs, iwi liaison staff and Māori policy units

There are also iwi capability building initiatives and arrangements including capacity building, training and relationship monitoring tools, funding and strategic development.

### Fora and hui

Many councils use fora and hui for the purposes of open discussion and to provide regular updates to Māori about council projects and activities with an opportunity to provide feedback. Unlike an official council committee, they are often open to anyone who wishes to attend and discuss matters. Many councils reported that they use fora and advisory boards, having no decision making arrangements. These mechanisms provide for discussion and learning, for example:

- Whakatāne District Council uses a number of formal and informal fora in their MoU between Council and the Iwi Liaison Committee (a standing committee).
- The Watercare Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum holds regular hui to provide for an exchange of views on particular issues. The Forum, made up of a number of iwi representatives, discusses a range of matters, usually to promote partnership and seek feedback on organisational and operational matters.

There are a number of Mana Whenua and Tāngata Whenua Fora:

- Auckland Council, Hauraki District Council and Horowhenua District Council use these for resource management discussions.
- Palmerston North City Council has a regular bi-monthly hui with Rangitäne.
- · Tauranga City Council has a Kaumātua Forum.
- Whangarei District Council uses its Te K\u00e4rearea Strategic Partnership Forum to address and resolve issues of significance to hap\u00fc and work towards an agreed vision for the district.

## Iwi management plans

An iwi management plan (IMP) is a formal planning document that is provided for under the RMA. IMPs can be developed by iwi, whānau or hapū. They provide a statement on the position of the tangata whenua on a range of issues and must be taken into account when preparing or changing regional policy statements and regional and district plans.

# Iwi liaison and Māori policy units

Some councils have a department dedicated to Māori interests, facilitating consultation, and/or plan and policy development. This includes:

- Auckland Council has Te Waka Angamua the Māori Strategy and Relations Unit. The role of Te Waka Angamua is to drive Auckland Council's responsiveness to Māori and better enable it to contribute to Māori well-being. The department is responsible for providing advice on all Māori-specific policy, planning, research and evaluation, stakeholder engagement, relationship management, bicultural development and training, and Māori protocol.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council has a Māori Policy Unit consisting
  of a manager, team leader, two senior treaty advisors, three
  māori policy advisors, a Pou Ngaiao position (Resource
  Consent Technical/Cultural Specialist) and a co-ordinator.
  The team provides strategic Treaty advice and is involved in
  implementation of Treaty mechanisms; leads/advises on Māori
  engagement, has input into Māori policy and planning issues and
  assists with Māori capacity building initiatives and projects.
- Palmerston North City Council has a principal advisor from Rangitane to advise on all key matters.
- Wellington City Council has a dedicated business unit for maintaining relationships with Wellington Māori. The Treaty Relations Unit has a manager, senior advisor and cultural advisor. The manager is responsible for liaising between officers and iwi representatives and monitoring activity. The Unit issues a monthly eNewsletter called Nona te Ao to subscribers.
- Tauranga City Council has had since 2000 the Takawaenga Maōri Unit. There are three full time positions that support Council and its relationship with tangata whenua and understanding of Maōri issues. The three key functions of the Unit are: relationship management, support and advice, training and education.
- The lwi Consultative Group was formed in 2000 for the purpose of reviewing and providing input into consent applications being

We are.

processed by Rotorua Lakes Council. The Committee now reviews council matters that affect the resources of Te Arawa tribes, sub-tribes, hapū and whānau. It does not, however, assume tino rangatiratanga (sovereignty) of any Te Arawa iwi or hapū.

- Gisborne District Council has a M\u00e3ori Liaison Group to assist with consultation and protocols.
- The K\(\text{a}\)pitic Coast District Council has an Iwi Relationships Team which is responsible for the management of the relationship between Council and iwi.
- New Plymouth District Council has an Iwi Relationship Team.
- In Grey District Council the councillors have portfolios, one is "Māori Affairs" with monthly meetings between the portfolio councillor and an iwi representative.

## Internal staff and councillor training

There are various training programmes in place across councils.

- Hastings District Council runs staff and elected member training.
- Tasman District Council uses a local kaumātua who provides elected members with support around tikanga Māori at civic events.
- Tauranga City Council's Takawaenga Maôri Unit provides cultural training to elected members, senior management and city partners.
- Käpiti Coast District Council provides cultural training programmes for staff and elected members, ensures that staff can access classes in te reo Māori, provides wāhi tapu tours led by iwi; and provides support around tikanga Māori at civic events.
- Whakatāne District Council runs Tumuaki Ki Te Tumuaki, which comprises Cultural Training Wānanga (workshops) to assist the organisation with Māori cultural ceremonies, engagement with Māori and Māori translation of corporate documents and promotional material. They also employ a part-time Māori Policy Analyst.

# Projects and funding

Many councils include provision of funding for capacity building or

towards their iwi relationships portfolio.

- Auckland Council provides funding to support iwi and hapū to complete Hapū/Iwi Environmental Resource Management Plans.
- In New Plymouth, Ngå Kaitiaki has mandated iwi and hapū representatives to review the District Plan. New Plymouth District Council resources an independent planner to work with Ngå Kaitiaki to undertake this review.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council's Te Komiti M\u00e4ori Forum approves funding for M\u00e4ori capacity building initiatives such as funds for the development of hap\u00fc and iwi management plans, sponsoring iwi representatives to undertake hearing commissioner training, holding regional M\u00e4ori conferences, providing financial support for wananga and hui.
- Environment Canterbury, Christchurch City Council, Selwyn
  District Council and Waimakariri District Council all have service
  level agreements with Mahaanui Kurataiao Ltd (MKT). MKT is a
  tangata whenua advisory service owned and mandated by the six
  Papatipu Rūnanga of mid-Canterbury. It provides advice to the
  councils on consents, planning, compliance and/or operational
  functions and activities.
- Tauranga City Council remunerates tangata whenua representatives participation on all its committees and project groups.
- Northland Regional Council has a fund which aims to provide Māori with the opportunity to undertake monitoring projects within Northland. This contestable fund has an annual allocation of \$15,000 (as reported in 2015). In the past this council has funded projects such as macroinvertebrate, water quality, kokako, and shellfish monitoring.
- Käpiti Coast District Council supports an annual programme of work which includes Māori economic development, marae grants, IMPs, wähi tapu research, urupä grants, event funding for Waitangi Day, and heritage funding for iwi sites of significance.

# 7 Discussion



Prior to the stocktake, the last national survey of council-Māori engagement was undertaken in 2004 and is outlined in the 2011 paper "Council-Māori Engagement: The ongoing story". There were 84 councils at that time and 69 councils were reported as having a formal process for consulting with Māori. Seventy nine councils also had informal processes for consultation and information sharing.

In comparison, the current stocktake recorded that there are 78 councils, 81 per cent of which have structured arrangements with iwi (using the term iwi and Māori interchangeably). Of these, the "decision-making" arrangements represented 56 per cent of councils' arrangements.

This review found that the nature of arrangements varies greatly.

The most common grouping is a relationship agreement such as MoUs (used by 40 per cent of councils). The purpose of these varies, from simply establishing an intent to work together, requiring the parties to act in good faith, to decision-making in resource consents and plan making or over specific projects.

In most cases, the agreements set out the ground rules for working together and include a commitment to regular meetings, for both parties to provide the necessary resources for working together, along with various council structures and tools to help implement the relationship.

Co-governance or joint management agreements are used by 23 per cent of councils. They are often established as an outcome of a Treaty settlement and also voluntarily to encourage involvement in decision-making and to help fulfil responsibilities under the RMA and LGA. These agreements are in place both at a governance and operational level. Some agreements are between a number of iwi organisations and span multiple councils as they concern a resource within a rohe that crosses council boundaries.

Councils have developed a number of different structures, mainly various types of committees (both formal and informal) for engaging iwi in decision-making and seeking their views. These were found to be both independent of, or alongside, other relationship or cogovernance agreements.

A number of complimentary tools, practices, and policies are being used by councils to help deliver on the various iwi participation arrangements, such as non-formal consultation, use of iwi management plans, communication initiatives, capacity building, training, funding and strategic development. Most councils utilise some form of these as a minimum.

There are limitations to the information in this document and an assessment of the effectiveness of the various arrangements would be the most useful next step. It would appear from the statistics, that over the past 10 years there has not been any remarkable or significant change in the number of councils engaged in relationships with iwi. However, the nature of those relationships and frequency of engagement and interaction is likely to have changed and strengthened.

Attachment 1

We are.



# We are. LGNZ.

Bay of Plenty. Buller. Canterbury. Carterton, Central Hawke's Bay, Central Otago, Chatham Islands. Christchurch. Gore. Greater Wellington. Grey. Hamilton. Hastings. Hauraki. Hawke's Bay

Mackenzie. Manawatu. Marlborough. Masterton. Napier. Nelson. New Plymouth. Northland. Opotiki. Otago. Southland
Otorohanga. Stratford.
Palmerston North. Taranaki.
Porirua. Tararua.
Queenstown- Tasman.
Lakes. Taupo.
Rangitikei. Tauranga.
Rotorua Lakes. ThamesRuanehu. Coromano

Lakes. Taupo.
Rangitikei. Tauranga.
Rotorua Lakes. ThamesRuapehu. Coromandel.
Selwyn. Timaru.
South Taranaki. Upper Hutt.
South Waikato. Waikato District.
South Wairarapa.
Southland District. Waimakariri.