

Hastings District Council

Civic Administration Building Lyndon Road East, Hastings Phone: (06) 871 5000 Fax: (06) 871 5100 WWW.hastingsdc.govt.nz

OPEN

AGENDA

COUNCIL - EXTRAORDINARY MEETING

Raureka Parks Submissions

Meeting Date:	Wednesday, 13 February 2019
Time:	9.00am
Venue:	Council Chamber Ground Floor Civic Administration Building Lyndon Road East Hastings

Council Members	Chair: Mayor Hazlehurst Councillors Barber, Dixon, Harvey, Heaps, Kerr, Lawson, Lyons, Nixon, O'Keefe, Poulain, Redstone, Schollum, Travers and Watkins
Officer Responsible	Chief Executive – Mr N Bickle
Council Secretary	Mrs C Hunt (Extn 5634)

HASTINGS DISTRICT COUNCIL

COUNCIL - EXTRAORDINARY MEETING

WEDNESDAY, 13 FEBRUARY 2019

VENUE:	Council Chamber Ground Floor Civic Administration Building Lyndon Road East Hastings
	Hastings

TIME: 9.00am

AGENDA

1. Apologies & Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

2. Hearing of Submissions to Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan

REPORT TO: COUNCIL - EXTRAORDINARY

MEETING DATE: WEDNESDAY 13 FEBRUARY 2019

FROM: PARKS ASSET PLANNER JENNIFER LEAF

SUBJECT: HEARING OF SUBMISSIONS TO RAUREKA PARKS RESERVE MANAGEMENT PLAN

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to consider submissions received on the Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan (the Plan), and obtain a recommendation from the Committee on the remedies sought. These recommendations will be reported to the Works and Services Committee on 28 February 2019 for final approval and adoption of the Plan.
- 1.2 This requirement comes from the obligation of the Hastings District Council, as an administering body under the Reserves Act 1977, to prepare Reserve Management Plans for the reserves under its management.
- 1.3 The Council is required to give effect to the purpose of local government as prescribed by Section 10 of the Local Government Act 2002. That purpose is to meet the current and future needs of communities for good quality local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions in a way that is most cost-effective for households and businesses. Good quality means infrastructure, services and performance that are efficient and effective and appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances.
- 1.4 The objective of this decision relevant to the purpose of Local Government is the delivery of good quality local infrastructure that creates places and spaces for recreation and arts and culture for the benefit of the whole community.
- 1.5 This report concludes by recommending that the Committee adopt, in principle, the recommendations on submissions heard, and to be taken to the Works and Services Committee for ratification.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 As an administering body under the Reserves Act 1977, the Hastings District Council is required to prepare Reserve Management Plans for the reserves under its management. The Plans identify issues, objectives and policies for the use, development, management and protection of the reserves within the District. Further, they seek to balance the protection of the natural resources on them against the recreational needs of the community.
- 2.2 The Reserves Act requires a set process to be followed in the preparation of a Reserve Management Plan. Council has adopted the following process based on these requirements.
 - a) Notification of intention to prepare Reserve Management Plan
 - b) Receive comments from public for inclusion in Draft Plan

- d) Workshop with Council to consider Draft Plan
- e) Council adopts Draft Plan for consultation purposes
- f) Public notification of Draft Plan (60 day submission process)
- g) Hearing of submissions and make any required amendments
- h) Obtain Minister of Conservation approval
- i) Adopt Final Reserve Management Plan
- j) Monitor and review Plan as required.
- 2.3 The preparation of this plan has involved substantial research and consultation with the community since 21 April 2018. The process is currently up to Step (g) identified above.

3.0 CURRENT SITUATION

3.1 The Raureka Parks cover a total area of 8.4 hectares. The breakdown of three individual parks is identified in Table 1 below:

PARK	LAND PARCEL	CERT OF TITLE	LAND STATUS	RESERVES ACT CLASS	AREA (HA)
	Lot 6 DP 4778				.0812
EBBETT PARK 3.4 HA	Lot 7 DP 4778	HB154/93	No Legal Reserve Status	N/A	2.5559
3.4 HA	Lot 9 DP 7352		Status		.7276
	Lot 1 DP 16239	HBH4/1204			.2826
ST	Lot 7 DP 20671	HBL2/1264	No Legal		.1964
PARK 4.9HA	Lot 9 DP 20671	HBL2/1268	Reserve Status	N/A	4.2562
TIZINA	Pt Heretaunga Block (SO 2568)	PROC 102442			.1396
WHENUA TAKOHA RESERVE 0.16HA	Lot 1 DP 10127	K1/1163	No Legal Reserve Status	N/A	.1553

- 3.2 The Draft Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan was prepared taking into account all the identified issues and opportunities raised during the initial consultation and from various research.
- 3.3 The proposed visions for the three Raureka Parks identified in the Plan are:

Ebbett Park remains true to the desires of the benefactors while meeting the needs of current and future users for a safe, attractive parkland that balances active with passive leisure use.

St. Leonards Park is an active and safe urban park where the community can come together to enjoy sport and a range of physical activity.

Whenua Takoha Reserve is the local park for getting together, community building, play and informal sport.

4.0 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT

4.1 Consultation with the community on the Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan has been extensive.

- 4.2 Public notice was given on 21 April 2018 under Section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977 of Council's intention prepare a Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan, along with an invitation to send written comments and attend Open Days at all three Parks on 26 May 2018. A letter was also sent to all adjoining residents, inviting their comments, and a link to a community user survey was publicised in the letter, on the public notice and on the Council website and Facebook page. The closing date for written submissions was 22 June 2018.
 - The submission process generated 96 responses via written, online and phone.
 - **Ebbett Park:** 38 responses identified the need for more picnic tables and seating but also concerns regarding personal security. Other areas of focus were the quality of the playground, the parks popularity for off-lead dog walking, and the use of the two buildings on the park.
 - **St. Leonards Park:** 36 responses focused on the poor condition and play value of the playground, lack of a public toilet and seating areas, lack of youth oriented facilities and poor accessibility through park.
 - Whenua Takoha Reserve: 20 responses identified that the park is well loved and looked after by the local community. The users are happy with the park but identified a lack of picnic tables and seating, a lack of youth orientated activities, lack of shade and a desire for a formal barbeque and shelter area.
- 4.3 A workshop was held on with Councillors on 7 August 2018, with all feedback incorporated into the Draft Plan. Feedback from the initial consultation was shared with the Disability Reference Group on 4 July and the Draft Plan shared with them on 7 November.
- 4.4 Officers held a meeting with descendants of the Ebbett family on 16 August. At that meeting officers shared that the Draft Plan was recommending to not vest a portion of the west end to allow for future choices. It was also shared that the Draft Plan was recommending that if, at some future date, a sale was recommended that the proceeds would be used to purchase more land to increase road frontage in other parts of the park. There was no issue with this and it was made clear, that if Council did want to sell the land in the future there was another consultation process required.
- 4.5 The Draft Plan was adopted by the Works and Services Committee for consultation purposes on 28 August 2018. The Works and Services Committee has authority to exercise all Council's powers in matters affecting reserves including the adoption of Draft Reserve Management Plans for consultation.
- 4.6 Public Notice was given under Section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977 of the availability of the Draft Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan for public feedback on 3 November 2018 with a closing date of submissions of 18 January 2019. Public Open Days were also held at each park where Officers were available with copies of the proposed plans, and to answer any questions. The dates for the open days were:
 - Ebbett Park 18 November

- St. Leonards Park 22 November
- Whenua Takoha Reserve 30 November

5.0 ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS (INCLUDING FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 Reserve Management Plans contain policies which regulate how a reserve is managed and identify key actions required in the future development of them. As such they are fundamental planning tools to ensure that reserves are developed in a planned and coordinated manner to achieve the best environmental and economic outcome. Without Reserve Management Plans, work undertaken is often in an ad hoc manner without taking into account the key objectives of the public or budget and environmental considerations.
- 5.2 Councillors will note that the Concept Plans for the Raureka Parks adopted by Council included an identified finite amount of estimated expenditure to be spent across the three parks: The Concept Plans included an amount of \$1,387,725. The proposed spend per park/reserve was:

Ebbett Park	\$488,725
St. Leonards Park	\$822,000
Whenua Takoha Reserve	\$77,000

- 5.3 Currently, \$955,000 is allocated over eight (8) years of the LTP (2018-2018). \$100,000/year the first three years, \$50,000/year during years four through to six, year seven \$150,000, and year eight \$350,000. The majority of works were recommended to be brought forward to the first four years of the LTP including a concerted fundraising effort. The shortfall from the Concept Plans and what was approved in the LTP in advance of the Draft Plan is \$432,725.
- 5.4 \$165,000 of the \$432,725 may be funded from a portion of the Playground Upgrade and Playground Renewal budgets. This leaves a remainder of unprogrammed capital expenditure of \$267,725.
- 5.5 This un-programmed capital expenditure of \$267,725 over a ten year period, equates to an additional rating requirement of approximately \$22,000 per annum. The allocation of funds to action this expenditure has been included in the forward budget for the Parks Asset Team, and will be subject to the LTP process.
- 5.6 Officers will work with the local community to fundraise a portion of the funds to offset the total contribution by the ratepayer.
- 5.7 As a result of submissions made, the programmed capital expenditure recommended has increased by \$67,000. This brings the un-programmed capital expenditure to \$834,725. (Attachment 1).
- 5.8 Adopting the Action Plan will indicate acceptance of this expenditure and work commitment when being considered and weighed against other competing priorities. If all recommendations of Officers are adopted by the Council, an additional \$67,000 (an additional rating requirement of \$7,000/annum, if loan funded) will be required to be added to this budget to provide an additional \$834,725. This equates to a rating requirement of \$67,000/annum (if loan funded).

6.0 WHAT CHANGES ARE SOUGHT BY THE SUBMISSIONS

6.1 33 submissions have been received relating to the Draft Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan from the following submitters:

No.	Submitter	No.	Submitter
1	Westend Tennis Club (Ian Purdon)	18	GirlGuiding NZ (Carol McMillan)
2	Rosalind Moore	19	Mahmood Nasir
3	Phillipa Cook	20	Kath Purchas
4	David Renouf	21	Andrea Rooderkerk
5	Janet Wilson	22	Powerco
6	Wendy King	23	Petition of 252 submitters (Barry & Bernice Koenders)
7	Tania Mitchell	24	Neil Thorsen
8	Darbara Singh <i>et.al.</i>	25	Bernard (Barry) & Bernice Koenders
9	Vania Sillick	26	Ellar Trust (Linley-Richardson Family) Murray Richardson
10	Hamish Dufty	27	Peter Culloty
11	Zoe Libby	28	Alastair John Chalmers & Jean Pringle Chalmers
12	Gill Libby	29	Wayne Robert Taylor & Judith Yvonne Taylor
13	Denise Bromby	30	Jenny Ross
14	John Roberts	31	Joanna Linley-Richardson
15	Linda Johnson	32	Raureka Community Trust
16	Richard Karn	33	Ebbett Family (Ron Ebbett)
17	Kevin M Naylor		

6.2 All submissions received are included in full, and summarised in a table in **Attachment 2**.

Submissions in Support

6.3 Submissions have been received in support of the following sections of the Plan from the following submitters. Because no submissions were received in opposition to these policies, there is no requirement for any further discussion. These submissions are noted and appreciated, and the policies they relate to may remain in the Plan unchanged.

No.	Submitter	Policy	Supports
1	Westend Tennis Club	Whole plan	Supports the Raureka Parks RMP
7	Tania Mitchel	Whole Plan	Supports the overall Raureka Parks RMP
22	Powerco	Whole Plan & specifically 5.5.3	Support general intent of the Draft Plan and in particular Policy 5.5.3e
32	Raureka Community Trust	Whole Plan	Supports the goals and key actions and wish to reiterate their commitment and interest in Ebbett Park and Whenua Takoha Reserve
9	Vania Sillick	Action Plan	Supports the Action Plan except for #5 at Ebbett Park (otherwise mentioned below)
14	John Roberts	2.3	Absolutely supports policies to prohibit access of motorised vehicles into the park
33	Ebbett Family	2.3	Agrees that boundary fencing should be more amenable to connecting with Park
15	Linda Johnson	2.7	Supports provision of toilets
2	Rosalind Moore	2.7	Supports provision of toilets
8	Darbara Singh <i>et.al.</i>	2.7	Requests toilets at St. Leonards Park
14	John Roberts	2.7	Supports toilets within Ebbett and St. Leonards Parks
27	Peter Culloty	2.7	Requests toilets at a suitable site within Ebbett Park
32	Raureka Community Trust	2.7	Believes it is essential for toilets at Ebbett Park and that they are maintained and accessible.
6	Wendy King	2.12	Support a perimeter pathway around St. Leonards Park
15	Linda Johnson	2.12	Supports pathways at St. Leonards Park
5	Janet Wilson	5.9	Supports encouraging surveillance to protect the parks from unauthorised park use.

Submissions requesting Minor Amendments

6.4 A submission from the **Westend Tennis Club (WTC)** (Submission 1) requests that the Plan correctly states that their group still exists. The Club initiated incorporation in 2015 but were unsuccessful and therefore disaffiliated with Tennis New Zealand. The Club have also made minor improvements to the building and courts during this time. Officers received conflicting information during the preparation of the Draft Plan which has now been clarified.

Given this submission, the Officers recommend that page 18 of the Plan be amended by adding the following words shown in red and deleting those crossed out.

The Westend Tennis Club (WTC) building is located at the far northwest end of Ebbett Park. This building and courts were formerly have been managed by the WTC. Beginning in 2015 the Club renewed its efforts to increase numbers as well as seek incorporation. Two new posts were concreted in to make the courts playable for competition and coaching, a push-button lock was installed on the gate, and roof and

courts cleaned. The inability to get sustained membership and sufficient volunteer resources led to disaffiliation with Tennis New Zealand.

WTC initiated the Raureka community usage and agreed to a set of protocols by which the complex would be used and maintained by the two parties ensuring continued tennis access and focus on the grounds whilst allowing other extensions for a return to netball/basketball. Tennis coaching has been provided and the building used for rangatahi services.

With no current lease and a change in usage the site has returned to Council ownership. WTC would like to continue a foothold with tennis continuing to be a part of what is on offer at the west end.

6.5 A submission was received by **Vania Sillick** (Submission 9) and **Wayne & Judith Taylor** (Submission 29) relating to the use of the term 'landlocked.' Officers appreciate the concerns raised with regard to the use of this term and recommend that the following three section of the Plan be amended as follows:

Section 1.1 Park & Reserve Use

Ebbett Park is largely land-locked enclosed by residential housing with limited road frontage.

Section 2.3 Fences & Walls

The majority of Ebbett Park is landlocked <u>enclosed by residential housing with</u> <u>limited road frontage</u>

Section 5.8 Sale of Parkland

The northwest corner of Ebbett Park is landlocked <u>enclosed by residential housing</u> <u>with limited road frontage</u> which creates a number of safety and surveillance issues.

Submissions requiring further analysis

6.6 Submissions have been received that require further analysis of the following sections of the Plan:

SECTION	SECTION
1.3	Dogs
2.2	Buildings and Structures
2.5	Playgrounds
2.6	Informal Sport & Recreation Facilities
2.7	Toilets
2.8	Signs
2.9	Park Furniture
2.10	Vehicle Parking
3.0	Natural Values and Biodiversity
4.1	Cultural and Heritage Significance
5.6 & 5.8	Title and Reserve Classification and Sale of Parkland
5.7	Future Park Acquisition
5.11	Safety and Vandalism

7.0 ANALYSIS

7.1 The following sections are the subject of submissions that require further analysis.

REMEDY 1: SECTION 1.3 DOGS

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.2 Six submissions have been received in relation to Dogs at Ebbett Park and one submission in relation to Whenua Takoha Reserve. Rosalind Moore (Submission 2), John Roberts (Submission 14), Ellar Trust (Submission 26), Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21), and Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) are in support of the dog policies included in Section 1.3 relating to Ebbett Park.
- 7.3 **Phillipa Cook** (Submission 3) also supports the provision of off-lead policies at Ebbett Park, but requests the provision of a fenced dog off-lead area at the west end among the trees and grass field as a substitute to fencing the children's playground.
- 7.4 **Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) requests that consideration be given to the provision of a dog doo bin at Whenua Takoha Reserve.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.5 Officers consider that the provision of a fenced off-lead dog park at the western end of Ebbett Park could assist in the further activation of this space.
- 7.6 The current Council bylaws provide that dogs may be exercised off least at Ebbett Park during daylight saving hours between 7.30pm and 7.00am the following day; and between 6.00pm and 7.00am the following day during the remainder of the year.
- 7.7 In recognition of the popularity of this area for dog exercise, the Draft Plan identified support for the extension of these hours; however this would necessitate the fencing of the children's playground be fenced, which is included in the Action Plan.
- 7.8 Pakowhai Country Park and Duke Street Reserve are the closest official "dog off-lead" parks and are located on the east side of Hastings.
- 7.9 It would be possible to provide and designate an official permanent dog offlead area in Ebbett Park. This area would need to be fenced with gates and include the necessary signage, dog bag dispensers and bins. If this fenced off-lead area proved to be successful and if court space continued to be underutilised, the area could easily be expanded further to the west and the remainder of the park kept as an on-lead area.
- 7.10 The provision of a permanent dog off-lead area in the Park as requested would then remove the need to fence the children's playground enabling it to remain more open to the rest of the park.
- 7.11 Depending on the materials to be used it is estimated that this may cost approximately \$15,000 to implement, with ongoing costs of providing dog bag dispenser refills and bin emptying an additional estimated maintenance cost of approximately \$1,300/year.

Recommendation

- 7.12 The creation of a permanent and dedicated dog off-lead area in Ebbett Park would require full public consultation via an amendment to the bylaws. Officers therefore recommend that a new Policy 1.3.4 be added to Section 1.3 that states:
 - 1.3.4 Support an amendment to the Council bylaw regarding the provision of dog exercise areas within Ebbett Park; and consult the community on the provision of a permanent fenced dog off-lead in this park.

REMEDY 2: SECTION 2.2 BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.13 **Westend Tennis Club (WTC)** (Submission 1) request that the fences and gates that have been removed be replaced to keep balls leaving the park and to mitigate vandalism.
- 7.14 **Vania Sillick** (Submission 9) does not support the demolition of the former WTC building or tennis courts and requests that Council fund the repair and replacement to promote prosocial youth activity. Similarly **Raureka Community Trust (RCT)** (Submission 32) requests that the tennis courts be repaired. **John Roberts** (Submission 14) supports the Plan and encourages RCT to work with GirlGuiding NZ around the use of the Arahura Hall.
- 7.15 **Raureka Community Trust (RCT)** (Submission 32) have expressed interest in acquiring use of Arahura Hall as a Community Hub and to start discussion with GirlGuiding NZ. RCT still use the former WTC building as a Koha shed and foodbank for the community but note that it is 'dilapidated and without power and is subject to vandalism on a pretty regular basis'. RCT are happy to discuss with Council the ongoing use and requirements for this building and recognise 'the idea of the provision of a storage container may be more suitable for the site' as they do not have the resources to manage and maintain the west end tennis facilities as their focus is on developing a community hub (which had been planned at Raureka School).

<u>Analysis</u>

7.16 All submissions received above relate to the two buildings on Ebbett Park: (1) the Girlguiding New Zealand Arahura Hall; and (2) the former Westend Tennis Club Building and Courts.

Former Westend Tennis Club Building and Courts

7.17 Section 2.2 of the Plan states as follows:

The tennis club building is in poor condition and unless a group is found to undertake the necessary building improvement work it will be removed as per Council policy. Similarly, the courts need a lot of maintenance and an organisation to activate the space for wider community use.

7.18 There is currently no official lease or licence to occupy the tennis clubrooms or the courts. Both of these facilities have been operated by the WTC without any documented rights of occupation. The RCT began using the old tennis clubroom (a situation agreed to between RCT and WTC without Council's input).

- 7.19 A condition assessment carried out during the preparation of this Plan rated the condition of the clubrooms as poor to adequate. The assessment identified a number of requirements that were required to bring it up to an acceptable building code standard. Council immediately addressed those safety issues to enable current community activities to continue in the short term while RCT was working on their plans for a Community Hub at Raureka School.
- 7.20 In relation to the tennis courts, last October Council removed the razor wire on the top of the tennis courts as this was considered a safety hazard and contrary to Council's bylaws. Council then removed a few of the fences and opened up the gates to make the courts more accessible to the public. A basketball hoop is being erected in the volley area. It is also the intention to attend to some limited general maintenance.
- 7.21 Given that the building and tennis courts are now officially under Council ownership the options are available to Council (1) Lease the building and courts to an organisation who have the ability to take on the lease and make improvements; (2) Take on the improvements of the facilities at a cost to the ratepayer, 3) Remove the facilities. 4). A combination of any of the above (e.g. demolish building and repair courts).
- 7.22 Officers commend Westend Tennis on trying different ways to engage the community in tennis. In addition to tennis being a declining sport (according to Sport NZ), volunteers are also in short supply.
- 7.23 The Plan identifies the need for pro-social youth activity, but has found that many youth prefer to participate in activities where they can be seen such as the success of the skate areas at William Nelson Park and Havelock North Village Green. Spending large sums of money to resurface courts and adding additional sporting equipment to the west end area that has had declining activity over time and no club to drive activation and fundraising is not a particularly compelling argument to encourage ratepayer investment.
- 7.24 It is therefore recommended that the Plan remains unchanged, in that Council try to find a club or organisation to lease the building for community use, otherwise it will be removed as consistent with Council policy.

GirlGuiding New Zealand Arahura Hall

- 7.25 The RCT note in their submission that the Raureka School Trustees and RCT *'have made a mutual and amenable decision to withdraw the idea of a Community Hub being based at the Raureka School.'* This opens up potential opportunities for RCT and the funds they are raising to consider Ebbett Park as a potential site.
- 7.26 GirlGuiding NZ wish to continue their activities at the Arahura Hall, but no longer wish to own the building. This provides opportunities for both GirlGuiding and RCT.
- 7.27 Officers recommend that Council work alongside community groups such as (but not limited to) RCT and GirlGuiding NZ to achieve the best outcome for Ebbett Park and the community and the long term future use of both of these buildings.

7.28 Council had allocated \$100,000 toward the planned community hub at Raureka School. As Raureka School is no longer the preferred option for RCT, the funds could be reallocated by Council resolution. This funding could allow for Council or RCT to purchase the GirlGuiding building for an alternative use. Council Officers will facilitate workshops with these groups and report back to Council.

Recommendation

7.29 It is therefore recommended that no change be made to Section 2.2 of the Plan.

REMEDY 3: SECTION 2.5 PLAYGROUNDS

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.30 **Wendy King** (Submission 6) requests that there are more play items for children under 6 years of age. **Hamish Dufty** (Submission 10) reports that a large number of children play in the Ebbett Park School grounds since there is limited play equipment at Ebbett Park. He was also concerned with the perceived lack of consultation with the school.
- 7.31 **Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) would like to see the provision of an upgraded playground at Ebbett Park, noting that the facilities at Havelock North are extremely attractive and good design will bring more people to the park.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.32 With regard to the submission of Hamish Dufty, Officers note that Ebbett Park School was provided information during the Raureka Parks Consultation.
- 7.33 With regard to the submissions of Wendy King and RCT, the design and development of new playground takes into consideration the types of equipment at nearby schools as well as the local age demographic to increase play opportunity and to minimise duplication. A range of ages can be supported within a playground, but given the proximity to two primary schools it would serve that there is an opportunity to provide more play opportunities for those under 5.
- 7.34 Ebbett Park playground is due for renewal. It is classified as a 'local' level playground (Frimley is a 'key urban' level playground and Havelock North Village Green is a 'destination' level playground) in the Playground Strategy. St. Leonards Park is scheduled to be upgraded to a 'key urban' playground. It is expected that the renewed Ebbett Park playground will be much improved over its current status, but will still 'be considered a 'local' level playground.
- 7.35 If Council did choose to markedly increase the level of service of the Ebbett Park playground as requested, an additional \$200,000 would need to be added to the budget. Given the finite amount of available budgets and that the Play Strategy identifies the spatial distribution of key urban and local playgrounds, this is not recommended.
- 7.36 As highlighted in Remedy 1: Section 1.3 Dogs, Phillipa Cook's submission to fence the off-lead dogs rather than the children has merit. If Council resolves to support this initiative and the bylaw is amended following further

consultation, then there may not be a requirement to fence the children's playground.

Recommendation

7.37 It is therefore recommended that no change is made to Section 2.5 of the Plan.

REMEDY 4: SECTION 2.6 INFORMAL SPORT AND RECREATION FACILTIIES

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.38 5 submissions were received relating to Section 2.6 of the Plan. **Darbara Singh et.al.** (Submission 8) requests that gym exercise equipment be provided at St. Leonards Park.
- 7.39 **Rosalind Moore** (Submission 2) supports petanque at Ebbett Park in that it might bring more people into the park.
- 7.40 Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) supports a long-term development plan for the Ebbett Park court space and recommends a number of options such as a junior bike track for children to learn basic road rules in a safe and fun environment, a water park similar to those in Flaxmere and Cornwall Park, and an all-weather basketball court.
- 7.41 **Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) endorse the plans for a half court for basketball at Whenua Takoha Reserve with a request for a surface that minimises ball bouncing noise. They also reiterate that they do not have the resources to maintain the tennis facilities at Ebbett Park.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.42 With regard to the submission of Mr Singh et al, the Draft Plan also includes the provision of a perimeter fitness trail at St. Leonards Park with equipment, which would be usable by a wide range of ages and abilities in the community.
- 7.43 With regard to the submission of Rosalind Moore, a petanque court is also already included in the Action Plan for Ebbett Park which is supported by current informal use of the Park by seasonal employees playing the game.
- 7.44 It is not however recommended that Council provide a full basketball court in Ebbett Park. If there was a local club that would champion and raise funds for this it may garner more support, but that still does not address that youth like to be seen and the court area is far from any road frontage limiting visibility. At minimal expense Council is putting up a basketball hoop and goal on the volley wall.
- 7.45 With regard to the submissions requesting a Splash Pad and junior bike track: splashpads are very expensive capital items, and more appropriately installed in destination parks. The perimeter path planned for a portion of Ebbett Park is multi-use and could still be used for cycling and scootering by young people.

Recommendation

7.46 Officers recommend that sufficient funds are included in the Plan to provide adequate new and renewed playgrounds in all of these parks, consistent with

their size, location, and classification. No change is therefore recommended to be made to the Plan.

REMEDY 5: SECTION 2.7 TOILETS

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.47 Seven submissions were received supporting the provision of toilets in Ebbett and St. Leonards Parks. These are submissions in support and therefore no further assessment is required.
- 7.48 **Denise Bromby** (Submission 13) requests the inclusion of one unisex toilet for Whenua Takoha Reserve.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.49 Whenua Takoha Reserve is a local park situated in and amongst residential housing. Many of the children who use this park come without adult supervision as it is in close walking distance of their homes. This is true of many local neighbourhood parks within the District and it is therefore not recommended that a toilet is necessary.
- 7.50 Adding one unisex toilet would require an additional \$140,000 to be included in the budget. Ongoing cleaning and opening and closing would also increase costs to the ratepayer.

Recommendation

7.51 It is therefore recommended that no change is made to Section 2.7 of the Plan, insofar as toilets be provided in Ebbett and St. Leonards Parks but not for Whenua Takoha Reserve.

REMEDY 6: SECTION 2.8 SIGNS

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.52 Three submissions have been received in regards to signage at Ebbett Park. **David Renouf** (Submission 4) supports the intention to provide a history sign at Ebbett Park but requests that Council also tell the story of the Makirikiri Stream and the Ebbett two-story woolshed. **Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) encourages Council to follow-through on the policies around signage at Ebbett Park.
- 7.53 **The Ebbett Family** (Submission 33) request a sign at entrance as a priority acknowledging the gift form the Ebbett family and placed on a vandal proof post.

<u>Analysis</u>

Ebbett Park has a unique history based on its gift from Eliza and George Ebbett in 1927. An interpretive sign similar to those in Frimley Park is planned to tell the history of the Park. Council recognises the importance of the Makirikiri Stream to the history of Hastings and particularly the public spaces the stream meandered through including Ebbett Park and St. Leonards Park. It is therefore agreed that this be identified on history signs as requested by the submitter.

7.54 The interpretive signs in Council Parks are printed on replaceable graphics to facilitate replacement in the event of vandalism.

7.55 Council is already in the process of erecting a commemorative photo and frame to sit in the council foyer together with the Ebbetts' gift of Maori artefacts. In addition to this Officers are supportive of the request to install a plaque at the entrance gate to recognise the generous gift. This will require an additional budget item of \$2,000 to be added to the Action Plan.

Recommendation

- 7.56 It is therefore recommended that Section 4.0 Action Plan be amended to update Item 7 (Ebbett Park), and that an additional \$2,000 be added to the budget as follows:
 - 7 Historical and Interpretive Signage and Commemorative Plaque

REMEDY 7: SECTION 2.9 PARK FURNITURE

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.57 Wendy King (Submission 6) and Linda Johnson (Submission 15) request a barbeque at St. Leonards Park.
- 7.58 **Darbara Singh et.al.** (Submission 8) requests a seven-seater covered bench to provide shelter from cool breeze and rain (similar to a bus shelter).
- 7.59 Kath Purchas (Submission 20) and Neil Thorsen (Submission 24) have both requested drinking fountains at all of the parks for both humans to stay and play longer and for animals to have access to water. Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) also request drinking fountains at Whenua Takoha Reserve and Ebbett Park as well as well sited furniture for health and well-being.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.60 The Plan already includes provision for drinking fountains in all the parks with the 'doggy bowls' on the bottom. In other parks where these water bowls exist the bird life often drops in for a drink.
- 7.61 St. Leonards Park is often a social gathering place for small groups throughout the year. Picnic tables are planned throughout the park and these are usually of a consistent park style. When a fundraising plan was mentioned at one of the consultations, the Woodturners Guild mentioned that building a seat would be a way that they could contribute. This may be an option to enable the provision of this unique seat.
- 7.62 Electric barbeques have been increasingly requested in our parks and are currently available in some of the urban parks such as Flaxmere Park, Windsor Park, and Kirkpatrick Park. The upgrades to St. Leonards Park would be conducive to having a barbeque and shelter and requires an addition of \$30,000 to the budget.

Recommendation

7.63 It is therefore recommended that Section 2.9.2 of the Plan be amended to include the word barbeque to the list of furniture and that \$30,000 be added to the Action Plan for St. Leonards Park.

REMEDY 8: SECTION 2.10 VEHICLE PARKING

Submissions and Reasons

- Six submissions have been received relating to car parking at Ebbett Park. 7.64 Rosalind Moore (Submission 2), GirlGuiding NZ (Submission 18), and Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) all support additional car parking at Ebbett Park. For GirlGuiding it is an issue of safety and girls walking out to the road after meetings and leaders have to go out in pairs. The lack of car parks close to Arahura Hall has also limited the ability to hire out the hall for community uses. RCT believe car parks could prevent the misuse of Ebbett Park by vehicles and encourage the installation of bollards to keep vehicles from entering the Park through the car park. They also feel that a car park adjacent to Arahura Hall accessed from Gordon Road would significantly help visitors gain access to the park and enable the increased use of Arahura Hall. The Ebbett Family (Submission # 33) support the initiative but request that any vehicle entrance be lockable. Vania Sillick (Submission #9) and David Renouf (Submission #4) oppose a car park at Ebbett Park. David Renouf does not want to use existing park land but rather requests that Council buy new land for a car park. Vania Sillick is concerned with people using the car park to 'sit in their cars and drink and make a mess with food'. She is also against the removal of any trees and believes that there is sufficient parking in the surrounding streets.
- 7.65 **Linda Johnson (Submission # 15)** is in favour of the car parks at St. Leonards and recommends the one in front of the Woodturner's Guild be water permeable.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.66 The key rationale behind the proposed car park at Ebbett Park is to activate the park and enhance access to the Arahura Hall. The hall is not visible from the road, and the lack of parking limits the ability to hire the building out. It also impacts on the safety of hall users leaving the building after hours.
- 7.67 Council currently provides a number of carparks on parks and reserves, including Keirunga Gardens, Roys Hill, and Te Mata Park. All of these carparks have locking gates that are opened and closed daily.
- 7.68 If land was available adjacent to the Gordon Road entrance, it would be a great opportunity to purchase more land for the park to open up the road frontage and increase space for a parking lot. However, this approach needs to recognise that there will be an additional cost in the vicinity of \$400,000.
- 7.69 It is Council's intention to remove as few trees as possible. The design in the Concept Plan ensures retention of the mature trees with three more recently planted trees requiring removal.
- 7.70 In the decision to lease the building to the Woodturner's Guild it was resolved that the Woodturner's would be responsible for constructing a carpark. Given that it is the responsibility of the Woodturner's Guild to construct the carpark this submission relating to a permeable construction will be passed on to them to action.

Recommendation

- 7.71 Officers recommend that the intention to provide a carpark in Ebbett Park be retained and that no change is made to Section 2.10.5 of the Plan.
- 7.72 Officers will forward the submission regarding permeable construction of a carpark at St. Leonards Park to the Woodturner's Guild.

REMEDY 9: SECTION 4.0 NATURAL VALUES & BIODIVERSITY

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.73 Nine submissions have been received relating to native trees and biodiversity.
- 7.74 **Wendy King** (Submission 6) and **Linda Johnson** (Submission 5) request that native trees be planted at St. Leonards Park, reminding Council that it has signed up to the Biodiversity Strategy.
- 7.75 **Rosalind Moore** (Submission 2) appreciates the natural open spaces and shady trees with lots of bird life at Ebbett Park. **Kath Purchas** (Submission 20) seeks a cohesive strategy both within the parks and region as a whole. She recommends planting a range of indigenous shrubs that can feed our native wildlife and offer protections from predators and does not support the mass planting of exotic species. This submission is supported by **Neil Thorsen** (Submission 24).
- 7.76 Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) supports border planting that enhances passive surveillance and park landscaping. Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) also supports planting of natives that are more adapted to the New Zealand environment and climate.
- 7.77 **The Ellar Trust** (Submission 26) is concerned about the destruction and removal of trees if the northwest end of Ebbett Park were to be sold and developed.
- 7.78 **The Ebbett Family** (Submission 33) would like to see the removal of larger older trees and have them replace by smaller and more attractive specimens.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.79 A tree report that was commissioned for Ebbett Park indicated that almost entirely all the trees in Ebbett are mature exotics, which is different from early pictures of the park where cabbage trees could be seen.
- 7.80 The majority of submissions received request native vegetation be planted for biodiversity benefits. This is consistent with the intention of the Plan. The Plan, however, does not come with any funding for a succession planting plan for the Park
- 7.81 Similarly, St. Leonards has a number of mature trees and should be included in a succession planting plan for the park.
- 7.82 Natural plantings to enhance biodiversity must be balanced with the nature of a community park, its passive surveillance for safety, and cost to maintain a park-like environment.

Recommendation

- 7.83 It is recommended that an additional \$5,000 be included for a succession planting plan that is appropriate for the Raureka Parks and adds to the long-term biodiversity of the Park.
- 7.84 It is also recommended that \$5,000 be included in the plan per annum to carry out the succession planting.

REMEDY 10: SECTION 4.0 SOCIAL & CULTURAL VALUES

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.85 **The Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) have requested the installation of carvings at the entrance to Ebbett Park in recognition of what was originally there. They state that this would make a significant difference to tangata whenua to have a pou or carved entrance and it is likely that the Park would become more respected.
- 7.86 **The Ebbett Family** (Submission 33) suggest an improved entrance (such as a wrought iron fence) on Gordon Road noting that the removal of the original carvings has left an abysmal approach to the Park.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.87 Officers recognise that there has been a 'hole' left by the carvings that originally were located at the entrance of Ebbett Park. In one article it stated that Eliza Ebbett had gifted the Park in her husband's name so he would have a place to display the Maori carvings. The carvings were removed in the 1970's by the then Council as they were deteriorating in the outdoor environment. After many years they were refurbished and installed in the Council foyer and Hastings Library. While the removal was needed, many considered that it removed some of the 'soul' from the Park.
- 7.88 Officers consider that the provision of new entrance gates that are unique to Ebbett Park and capture the rich cultural heritage of the community could be a renewal point for Ebbett Park. It is recommended that a community-led design exercise to develop a theme for the entrance gates be carried through to decorative elements throughout the Park.
- 7.89 The playground will have a woodland theme and it is recommended that there is scope that a pou could stand guardian over the playground. With tangata whenua input this could potentially be a part of the design exercise discussed above.
- 7.90 A befitting entrance and design elements through a park community-led design process to determine design elements that address and enhance Ebbett Park and capture its social and cultural heritage for future generations would support the objectives and policies identified in Section 4.0: Social and Cultural Values.

Recommendation

7.91 It is recommended that \$10,000 be included in the Plan to instigate a community-led design process for Ebbett Park and that \$20,000 be set aside towards the creation of the final product(s) and fundraising to supplement this.

REMEDY 11: SECTIONS 5.6 TITLE & RESERVE CLASSIFICATION & 5.8 SALE OF PARKLAND

Submissions and Reasons

- 7.92 17 individual submissions were received, plus a petition signed by 252 people, were received relating to this Section of the Plan, with the focus on Ebbett Park.
- 7.93 The **Petition** (Submission 23) was formally received by Council at its meeting on 31 January 2019. In its prayer it states that the home owners surrounding Ebbett Park and users of the Park, strongly object to the proposal by Hastings District Council to create a fee simple title from the land at the Western end of the park with the view to sell such a portion of Ebbett Park in the future for the reason being that the Ebbetts gave the park to the public 'forever and hereafter'. The petitioners also request that all of Ebbett Park be vested as a Reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977.
- 7.94 **David Renouf** (Submission 4), **Bernard & Bernice Koenders** (Submission 25), **Alistair & Jean Chalmers** (Submission 28), **Wayne & Judith Taylor (Submission 29), and Jenny Ross** (Submission 30) also request that Ebbett Park be vested and cite the gift by the Eliza and George Ebbett. The Ross submission also gives reason to the low provision of park land in Hastings City.
- 7.95 Janet Wilson (Submission 5), Vania Sillick (Submission 8), Zoe Libby (Submission 11), Gill Libby (Submission 12), Mahmood Nasir (Submission 19) and Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) submit about the importance of the park to them and their family as it adjoins their property boundaries about their property bordering the park.
- 7.96 **Kevin Naylor** (Submission 17) is concerned Council is confiscating the land for property development and **Peter Culloty** (Submission 27) wonders if the old tennis courts could be used for a pre-school or low-cost housing.
- 7.97 **Ellar Trust** (Submission 26) does not want to lose the mature trees to development if sold as this would affect the bird life.
- 7.98 **Joanna Lynley-Richardson** (Submission 31) does not want to see Ebbett Park diminish in size or lose any of the access points to the Park.
- 7.99 **The Raureka Community Trust** (Submission 32) encourages Council to vest all the Raureka Parks under the Reserves Act so that they are not subject to housing pressure in the future.
- 7.100 The **Ebbett Family** (Submission 33) commend Council on the Management Plan however suggest that the gift from the late Eliza and George Ebbett be adhered to "as close as possible for this day and age."

<u>Analysis</u>

7.101 Section 5.8 of the Plan states that the northwest corner of Ebbett Park is landlocked which creates a number of safety and surveillance issues. If more beneficial community uses are considered appropriate in the future, Council will follow the relevant consultative process. If thorough consideration determines the sale of this land appropriate, any funds from the sale of land

should be redirected into increasing and opening up the more popular southern end of the park.

- 7.102 The following policies were therefore included in the Plan:
 - 5.6.2 Declare the Raureka Parks: Whenua Takoha Park, St. Leonards Park, and Ebbett Park (with the exception of the northwest end of Ebbett Park) as Reserves under the Reserves Act 1977.
 - 5.8.2 To consider the disposal of a part of the landlocked west end of Ebbett Park in the future.
 - 5.8.4 Meet the provisions of the relevant legislation and District Wide Reserve Management Plan prior to the revocation or sale of any part of the Raureka Parks (non-vested parks require that Council have 75% majority support)
 - 5.8.5 Require any funds from a future sale to be redirected into increasing and opening up the south end of Ebbett Park.
- 7.103 Some submitters have queried why Council had not vested these Parks previously. Council adopted the District Wide Reserves Management Plan in 2008 that identifies the overarching objectives and policies for the management of all parks and reserves in the District, including Ebbett Park. In the preparation of District Wide Plan, Council recognised that some of the parks under its ownership were not vested or held subject to the Reserves Act 1977. In recognition of this, Policy 5.7.3 was adopted that states that Council will 'assess all existing unclassified reserves through the development of Individual Reserve Management Plans which will determine the use and appropriate classification if required'. The preparation of the Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan was therefore the time to address the classification of Ebbett Park.
- 7.104 The decision to include the objectives and policies in the Draft Plan (as in 7.102 above) was due to the concerns regarding reports of vandalism and anti-social behaviour along with safety concerns identified in the Crime Prevention Report. These came from a combination of Council reports, surveys administered in the park, and verbal report during the first phase of the consultation and focused more on the west end of Ebbett Park.
- 7.105 Officers considered that opening up the park by increasing the road frontage could improve the passive surveillance of the park. This is most easily achieved at the South end of the park where fewer lots have been subdivided. It was recommended that any potential sale of the west end could provide the funds to purchase more road frontage at the opposite end of the park
- 7.106 Officers were aware of the Deed of Trust signed on 24 June 1927 in the formulation of the above policies, with reference to the Deed included in Page 10 of the Plan. With relation to the above policies that Deed states as follows:

'that the Corporation shall for ever hereafter hold the said piece of land as a Borough Reserve upon trust that same may be used as a Public Park, Children's Playground and Public Recreation Group and also as a Public Park ...'

7.107 Officers would like to reiterate that there was no intent to mislead or hide information from the community. The plan specifically states that in the first instance it is the desire to see this end of the park activated and more intensively used by the community. However, it also provided the ability that if

a future Council wished to sell land, then this portion may be one most appropriate for the above reason. Any legal requirements related to the Deed of Gift would need to be explored at that time, and a full public consultative process would ensue. The Deed of Trust states in article 9:

'...may from time to time be enlarged extended altered or varied by any Deed or ... Declaration of Trust executed by the Corporation and the Donors or the Survivor of them.'

- 7.108 The preparation of Reserve Management Plan provides all members of the community the ability to be involved during two separate submission stages, as to how they wish Council to manage, protect and develop parks over the ten year plan period. Officers are heartened to receive robust responses and the clear desire to see this park vested in perpetuity.
- 7.109 With Hastings City having a low provision of parks (4.13ha/1000 population) compared to the District and very low compared nationally, Officers agree with the community in that Ebbett Park should be protected in perpetuity, as specified in the Deed of Trust, and reiterated by the family in their submissions as the intent of the gift.

Recommendation

- 7.110 The strong community commitment to Ebbett Park and its protection and ongoing management is acknowledged.
- 7.111 Officers recommend that the submissions be allowed, and that the whole park be vested under the Reserves Act 1977. This will ensure the intent of the gift of land is upheld and parkland is retained for future generations to enjoy.
- 7.112 It is recommended that the following amendments be made to the Plan by deleting the words shown as struck out and adding the words shown in red:
 - 5.6 Title and Reserve Classification

Council will vest the southern and main portion of Ebbett Park leaving the west end as fee simple. This allows for flexibility in the future use of this park all of Ebbett Park under the Reserves Act 1977 to recognise the intent of the Deed of Trust 1927 and generous gift of land from George Ebbett and Eliza Maud Ebbett to the borough of Hastings.

5.6.2 Declare the Raureka Parks: Whenua Takoha Park, St. Leonards Park, and Ebbett Park (with the exception of the northwest end of Ebbett Park) as Reserves under the Reserves Act 1977.

5.8 Sale of Park Land

The northwest corner of Ebbett Park is landlocked which creates a number of safety and surveillance issues. If more beneficial community uses are considered appropriate in the future, Council will follow the relevant consultative process. If thorough consideration determines the sale of this land appropriate, any funds from the sale of land should be redirected into increasing and opening up the more popular southern end of the park.

5.8.2 To consider the disposal of a part of the landlocked west end of Ebbett Park in the future.

5.8.4 Meet the provisions of the relevant legislation and District Wide Reserve Management Plan prior to the revocation or sale of any part of the Raureka Parks (non-vested parks require that Council have 75% majority support) 5.8.5 Require any funds from a future sale to be redirected into increasing and opening up the south end of Ebbett Park.

REMEDY 12: SECTION 5.7 FUTURE RESERVE ACQUISITION

Submissions and Reasons

7.113 Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) requests that residential properties bordering the west end be considered as potential land acquisition sites to potentially open up Ebbett Park to the Florence Street road frontage.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.114 Policy 5.72 states: Pursue the acquisition of land where it will provide for linkages, or additional open space land for recreation and sport, or improve visibility, sightlines or accessibility for park users.
- 7.115 While this would be desirable, many of these properties have already been subdivided with two properties or more between the Park and Florence Street. This would make acquisition difficult. The policy does not however restrict this should the opportunity arise in the future.
- 7.116 Officers recommend that the current wording in 5.7 is sufficient as it is not specific to any part of the park.
- 7.117 Officers also note that there are no funds set aside for park acquisition at Ebbett Park or the other Raureka Parks, and that an allocation of \$500,000 is needed if Council wishes to pursue this.

Recommendation

7.118 It is recommended that no change be made to Policy 5.7 but that Council consider the inclusion of a fund for future acquisition of \$500,000.

REMEDY 13: SECTION 5.11 SAFETY & VANDALISM

Submissions and Reasons

7.119 Three submissions have been received that relate to safety and vandalism. Rosalind Moore (Submission 2) submitted her concerns about vagrants, consumption of alcohol in park and a person that kicked her dog. David Renouf (Submission 4) requests no bikes, skate boards, scooters and motor scooters because of safety issues. The Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) states that provision is made for both walking and cycling in Ebbett Park. RCT states that is a thoroughfare, but it can be appropriately managed.

<u>Analysis</u>

- 7.120 One of the goals of the Plan is to activate the Park in order to reduce antisocial behaviour.
- 7.121 The Plan includes increasing the width of the pathways through Ebbett Park which is currently used is a major cycle and pedestrian connector between Gordon and Oliphant Roads.

7.122 Active transport should be encouraged for the health and well-being or our community. Parks are places where a range of activities should occur. If it falls under 1.7 restricted activities Council should be notified.

Recommendation

7.123 Officers recommend that no changes be made to Plan.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND REASONS

- A) That the report of the Parks Asset Planner titled "Hearing of Submissions to Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan" dated 13/02/2019 be received.
- B) That the allocation of funds required to complete the un-programmed capital works programme identified in the Action Plan form part of the 2019/20 Annual Plan priority considerations; and review of the 2018/28 Long Term Plan.

REMEDY 0: MINOR AMMENDMENT STATUS OF WESTEND TENNIS

C) That the submission of Westend Tennis Club (Submission #1) be allowed insofar as the wording on page 18 of the Plan is amended to that suggested in 6.5 of this report and red italics below.

The Westend Tennis Club (WTC) building is located at the far northwest end of Ebbett Park. This building and courts have been managed by the WTC. Beginning in 2015 the Club renewed its efforts to increase numbers as well as seek incorporation. Two new posts were concreted in to make the courts playable for competition and coaching, a push-button lock was installed on the gate, and roof and courts cleaned. The inability to get sustained membership and sufficient volunteer resources led to disaffiliation with Tennis New Zealand.

WTC initiated the Raureka community usage and agreed to a set of protocols by which the complex would be used and maintained by the two parties ensuring continued tennis access and focus on the grounds whilst allowing other extensions for a return to netball/basketball. Tennis coaching has been provided and the building used for rangatahi services.

With no current lease and a change in usage the site has returned to Council ownership. WTC would like to continue a foothold with tennis continuing to be a part of what is on offer at the west end.

REMEDY 0: MINOR AMMENDMENT USE OF WORD 'LANDLOCKED'

D) That the submission of Vania Sillick (Submission #9) and Wayne & Judith Taylor (Submission #29) be allowed insofar as the following sections be amended by deleting the words shown as struck out and added words shown in red italics:

Section 1.1 Park & Reserve Use

Ebbett Park is largely land-locked enclosed by residential housing with limited road frontage.

Section 2.3 Fences & Walls

The majority of Ebbett Park is landlocked <u>enclosed by residential housing with limited road</u> <u>frontage</u>

Section 5.8 Sale of Parkland

The northwest corner of Ebbett Park is landlocked <u>enclosed by residential housing with</u> <u>limited road frontage</u> which creates a number of safety and surveillance issues.

REMEDY 1: SECTION 1.3 Dogs

- E) That the submission of Phillipa Cook (Submission 3) be allowed insofar as the following new policy is included in the Plan:
 - 1.3.4 Support an amendment to the Council bylaw regarding the provision of dog exercise areas within Ebbett Park; and consult the community on the provision of a permanent fenced dog off-lead in this park.
- F) That the submission of Rosalind Moore (Submission 2), John Roberts (Submission 14), Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission #21), the Ellar Trust (Submission 26), and Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) be allowed insofar that Section 1.3 is retained.
- G) That the submission of Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) requesting a dog doo bin at Whenua Takoha Reserve be disallowed with the reason being that a rubbish bin is already provided.

REMEDY 2: SECTION 2.2 BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES

- H) That the submission of Westend Tennis Club (Submission 1) requesting the fences and gates at the tennis courts be replaced be disallowed.
- That the submission from Vania Sillick (Submission 9) be disallowed and the submissions of John Roberts (Submission 14) and the Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) be allowed insofar as the policies in Section 2.2 are retained in the Plan.

REMEDY 3: SECTION 2.5 PLAYGROUNDS

- J) That the submissions of Wendy King (Submission 6) and Hamish Dufty (Submission 10) be allowed insofar as that a local level playground as proposed in the plan is an upgrade of what currently exists.
- K) That the submission of Raureka Community Trust (Submission 3) be disallowed insofar that the Ebbett Park playground upgrade is not planned on being a key urban or destination level playground.

REMEDY 4: SECTION 2.6 INFORMAL SPORT AND RECREATION FACILITIES

Council - Extraordinary 13/02/2019

- L) That the submission of Darbara Singh et.al. (Submission 8) be allowed insofar that fitness equipment in Policy 2.6 is retained in the Plan.
- M) That the submission of Rosalind Moore (Submission 2) be allowed insofar that the petanque court remains in the Ebbett Park Action Plan.
- N) That the submission of Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) be allowed insofar as Policy 2.6.8 be retained.
- O) That the submission of Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) requesting a surface treatment that minimises ball bounce noise on the planned Whenua Takoha half-court be included in the design be allowed.

REMEDY 5: Section 2.7 Toilets

P) That the submission of Denise Bromby (Submission 13) requesting a toilet at Whenua Takoha Reserve be disallowed.

REMEDY 6: Section 2.8 Signs

- Q) That the submission of David Renouf (Submission 4) and the Ebbett Family (Submission 33) be allowed insofar as Policy 2.8.4 is retained and that Section 4.0 Action Plan be amended as follows:
 - 7 Historical and Interpretive Signage *and Commemorative Plaque*

REMEDY 7: SECTION 2.9 PARK FURNITURE

- R) That the submission form Wendy King (Submission 6) and Linda Johnson (Submission 15) be allowed insofar that a barbeque is added to the St. Leonards' Concept and Action Plans.
- S) That the submission of Darbara Singh et.al. (Submission 8) be allowed insofar as the sheltered seating be included in the Concept Plan.
- T) That the submissions from Kath Purchas (Submission 20, Neil Thorsen (Submission #24), and Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) be allowed insofar as the Policy 2.9.2 is retained in the Plan and that drinking fountains with dog drinking attachment be included in the Concept Plans.

REMEDY 8: SECTION 2.10 VEHICLE PARKING

- U) That the submission of Rosalind Moor (Submission 2), GirlGuiding NZ (Submission 18), and Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) be allowed insofar as Policy 2.10.5 is retained in the Plan.
- V) That the submission of the Ebbett Family (Submission 33) be allowed insofar as lockable gates to Ebbett Park carpark be included in the

Concept Plan.

- W) That the submission of David Renouf (Submission 4) and Vania Sillick (Submission 9) be disallowed.
- X) That the submission of Linda Johnson (Submission 15) be allowed insofar that her submission regarding permeable construction of a carpark be given to the Woodturner's Guild.

REMEDY 9: SECTION 3.0 NATURAL VALUES

Y) That the submissions of Wendy King (Submission 6), Rosalind Moore (Submission 2), Kath Purchas (Submission 30), Neil Thorsen (Submission 24), Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21), Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32), the Ellar Trust (Submission 26), and the Ebbett Family (Submission 33) be allowed insofar as a staged succession planting plan be developed to ensure that the Raureka Parks contribute to Hastings biodiversity while maintaining the character of each park.

REMEDY 10: SECTION 4.0 SOCIAL AND CULTURAL VALUES

Z) That the submission of Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) and the Ebbett Family (Submission 33) be allowed in so far as gates at the entrance on Gordon Road and design elements within the park should be progressed via a community-led design process.

REMEDY 11: SECTION 5.6 TITILE AND RESERVE CLASSIFICATION AND 5.8 SALE OF PARKLAND

- AA) That the submission of Kevin Naylor (Submission 17) be disallowed insofar that Council is not confiscating land.
- BB) That the submission of Peter Culloty (Submission 27) be disallowed insofar that there are changes to Policies 5.6 and 5.8 as identified below
- CC) That the submission by petition of 252 signatories (Submission 23) and the submissions of David Renouf (Submission 4), Bernard & Bernice Koenders (Submission 23), Alistair & Jean Chalmers (Submission 28), Wayne & Judith Taylor (Submission 29), and Jenny Ross (Submission 30), Janet Wilson (Submission 5), Vania Sillick (Submission 8), Zoe Libby (Submission 11), Gill Libby (Submission 12), Mahmood Nasir (Submission 19) and Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21), Ellar Trust (Submission 26), Joanna Lynley-Richardson (Submission 31), The Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32), and the Ebbett Family (Submission 33) be allowed insofar that Section 5.6 and 5.8 be amended by deleting the words shown as struck out and added as shown in red italics:
 - 5.6 Title and Reserve Classification

Council will vest the southern and main portion of Ebbett Park leaving the west end as fee simple. This allows for flexibility in the future use of this park all of Ebbett Park under

the Reserves Act 1977 to recognise the intent of the Deed of Trust 1927 and generous gift of land from George Ebbett and Eliza Maud Ebbett to the borough of Hastings.

- 5.6.2 Declare the Raureka Parks: Whenua Takoha Park, St. Leonards Park, and Ebbett Park (with the exception of the northwest end of Ebbett Park) as Reserves under the Reserves Act 1977.
- 5.8 Sale of Park Land

The northwest corner of Ebbett Park is landlocked which creates a number of safety and surveillance issues. If more beneficial community uses are considered appropriate in the future, Council will follow the relevant consultative process. If thorough consideration determines the sale of this land appropriate, any funds from the sale of land should be redirected into increasing and opening up the more popular southern end of the park.

- 5.8.2 To consider the disposal of a part of the landlocked west end of Ebbett Park in the future.
- 5.8.4 Meet the provisions of the relevant legislation and District Wide Reserve Management Plan prior to the revocation or sale of any part of the Raureka Parks (non-vested parks require that Council have 75% majority support)
- 5.8.5 Require any funds from a future sale to be redirected into increasing and opening up the south end of Ebbett Park.

REMEDY 12: SECTION 5.7 FUTURE RESERVE ACQUISITION

DD) That submission Andrea Rooderkerk (Submission 21) be allowed insofar that Council will consider opportunities for reserve acquisition when they arise.

REMEDY 13: SECTION 5.11 SAFETY & VANDALISM

EE) That the submission of David Renouf (Submission 4) be disallowed and the submission of Raureka Community Trust (Submission 32) be allowed in that Policy 1.5.4 is retained.

With the reasons for these decisions being that the objective of the decision will contribute to meeting the current and future needs of communities for good quality local infrastructure in a way that is most cost-effective for households and business by:

The provision of good quality recreation open spaces

Attachments:

2 Summary of Submission Submission Submission Submission Submission Submission Submission	d capital expendture Submissions #1 - Westend Tennis (Ian Purdon) #2 - Rosalind Moore #3 - Phillipa Cook #4 - David Renouf #5 - Janet Wilson #6 - Wendy King #7 - Tania Mitchell #8 - Darbara Dingh #9 - Vania Sillick	CFM-17-66-19-176 CFM-17-66-19-177 CFM-17-66-19-143 CFM-17-66-19-145 CFM-17-66-19-146 CFM-17-66-19-147 CFM-17-66-19-148 CFM-17-66-19-149 CFM-17-66-19-150 CFM-17-66-19-151	Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc Separate Doc
--	--	--	--

Submission #10 - Hamish Dufty Submission #11 - Zoe Libby Submission #12 - Gill Libby Submission #13 - Denise Bromby Submission #13 - Denise Bromby Submission #14 - John Roberts Submission #15 - Linda Johnson Submission #15 - Linda Johnson Submission #16 - Richard Karn Submission #16 - Richard Karn Submission #17 - Kevin M Naylor Submission #17 - Kevin M Naylor Submission #18 - Carol McMillan - Girl Guiding NZ Submission #18 - Carol McMillan - Girl Guiding NZ Submission #19 - Mahmood Nasir Submission #20 - Kath Purchas Submission #21 - Andrea Rooderkerk Submission #22 - Powerco Submission #23 - Petitioner Bernice Koenders notes	CFM-17-66-19-153 CFM-17-66-19-154 CFM-17-66-19-155 CFM-17-66-19-156 CFM-17-66-19-157 CFM-17-66-19-158 CFM-17-66-19-160 CFM-17-66-19-161 CFM-17-66-19-162 CFM-17-66-19-164 CFM-17-66-19-165 CFM-17-66-19-143 CG-14-1-01153	Separate Doc Separate Doc
and Deed of Trust presented at Council 31 January 2019 Submission #24 - Neil Thorsen Submission #25 - Bernard (Barry) & Bernice Koenders Submission #26 - Ellar Trust (Linley-Richardson Family) Murray Richardson Submission #27 - Peter Culloty Submission #28 Alistair John and Jean Pringle Chalmers Submission #29 Wayne Robert and Judith Yvonne Taylor Submission #30 - Jenny Ross Submission #31 - Joanna Linley-Richardson Submission #32 Raureka Community Trust Submission #33 - Ebbett Family (Ron Ebbett)	CFM-17-66-19-166 CFM-17-66-19-167 CFM-17-66-19-174 CFM-17-66-19-173 CFM-17-66-19-172 CFM-17-66-19-171 CFM-17-66-19-170 CFM-17-66-19-169 CFM-17-66-19-168 CFM-17-66-19-175	Separate Doc Separate Doc

Ota Image	Budget Plan - Raureka Parks RMP	s RMP	THIS YEAR	Short Term 2-3 Years	Term 4-7 years	Long Term 10 years	8- PARK TOTAL
effection 5 3.0000 5 <th< td=""><td>WHENUA TAKOHA</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	WHENUA TAKOHA						
interfunction interfunction interfunction interfunction interfunction interfunction interfunction interfanction interfa			I				
n s		icnic tables, seats,					
court 5 30,000 5 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>							
0 0	Rackethall half						
Subtact 5 7,000 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 <t< td=""><td>Trees</td><td></td><td>Ϊ.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Trees		Ϊ.				
und intermediation intermediation <td></td> <td>Subtotal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$ 77,000</td>		Subtotal					\$ 77,000
net s 3.750 s<	EBBETT PARK						
(picnic tables, setst, and bins) 5 19,500 M and into more central location and the more central location and the field hours and dog bag dispenser > 2 110,000 > <		Gordon Road to					
und into more certral location and fielead hours and dog bag dispenser. 5 1,000 1 1 K 5 1,200 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,500 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,500 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,000 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,000 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,000 5 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,000 5 1 1 1 1 K 5 1,000 5 1 1 1 1 K	Park furniture (picnic tables, seats,	d bins)		[
fielad hours and dog bag dispenser 5 1,000 5 1,000 5 1,000 1 k \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times king fountain \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times infamily plaque \times </td <td><u> </u></td> <td>location and</td> <td></td> <td> -</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	<u> </u>	location and		-			
k k <td></td> <td>bag dispenser</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		bag dispenser					
King fountain 5 165,000 5 15,000 5 15,000 5 15,000 5 1 ial family plaque S 1,500 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S				12			
Interpretive signage j< j j j j j j j j j j j< j< j< j j j j< j< j<	_			1			
ial family plaque s 2,000 s <td>Historical and</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Historical and						
& succession planting plan FOR ALL3 $$$ 5,000$ $$$ 10,500$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Scape planting S 10,500 S 10,500 S <ths< th=""> S S S<!--</td--><td></td><td>olan FOR ALL 3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ths<>		olan FOR ALL 3					
d design process for Ebbett entrance S 10,000 S 20,000 S I port fundraising for Ebbett artworks 20,000 <							
port fundraising for Ebbett artworks pot for pot	-	obett entrance					
eter footpath & improve existing		obett artworks					
que court > 3.0000 > 3.0000 > 1 quisition Subtation $< 431,125$ $< 74,000$ < 1 > 1 quisition Subtation $< 431,125$ $< 74,600$ < 5 $< 500,000$ < 1 K $< 731,000$ $< 131,000$ < 1 $> 100,000$ < 1 $> 100,000$ > 1000		ve existing			1		
que court > 3 $4,000$ 5 1 quisition Subtotal > 4 5 $500,000$ 5 1 k Subtotal > 5 $318,000$ 5 5 $500,000$ 5 1 k round with saleyard theme and fencing > $318,000$ 5 1 2 $500,000$ 5 1 k round with saleyard theme and fencing > $318,000$ 5 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
quantum Subtotal > 45,1,125 \$ 74,600 \$ 500,000 \$ 1 round with saleyard theme and fencing 5 $318,000$ 5 $74,600$ 5 $500,000$ 5 round with saleyard theme and fencing 5 $318,000$ 5 $74,600$ 5 $500,000$ 5 k 5 $515,725$ $200,000$ 5 $74,600$ 5 $70,000$ 7 k 5 $30,000$ 5 $30,000$ 5 $70,000$ 7 7 k 5 $30,000$ 5 $317,250$ 70 7 7 7 k 5 $317,250$ 5 $317,000$ 5 7 7 7 7 k 5 $14,000$ 5 $32,000$ 5 1 7 7 k 5 $14,000$ 5 $33,000$ 5 1 1 1 k 10							
K F	_	Subtotal					0 \$ 1,025,725
Upgrade playground with saleyard theme and fencing $$$ $$$ $318,000$ $>$ $>$ $>$ Intermal carpark $>$ <t< td=""><td>T LEONARDS PARK</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	T LEONARDS PARK						
Internal carpark i		eme and fencing					
Park furniture (picnic tables, seats, and bins) 5 17,250 •							
Barbeque & shelter \$ 30,000 > > > > Interpretive Signage $1 \times 1,500$ $1 \times 1,5000$ $1 \times 1,5000$	Park furniture	d bins)					
Interpretive Signage i	_			^m			
Toilet and drinking fountainj165,000jjjPathway network form Southampton & Townsend to 2 2 3 3 3 3 Francis Hicks 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Light pathway from Southampton to Francis Hicks 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 Light pathway from Southampton to Francis Hicks 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Light pathway from Southampton to Francis Hicks 2 2 3	_						
Francis Hicks </td <td></td> <td>& Townsend to</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		& Townsend to					
Light pathway from Southampton to Francis Hicks 5 15,000 1 1 Landscape planting 5 14,000 5 1 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 1 1 1 1 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 1 1 2 23,000 5 1 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 1 2 77,000 5 127,600 5 200,000 5 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 5 77,000 5 127,600 5 127,600 5 1 5 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 5 77,000 5 127,600 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_						
Landscape planting \$ 14,000 \$ 53,000 \$ 6 \$ 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment \$ 1 \$ 799,000 \$ 53,000 \$ 1 \$ 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment \$ 77,000 \$ 1,250,125 \$ 127,600 \$ 50,000 \$ 1 \$ 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment \$ 77,000 \$ 1,250,125 \$ 127,600 \$ 10 \$ 1 \$ 1 Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment \$ 77,000 \$ 1,250,125 \$ 127,600 \$ 200,000 \$ 1	Light pathway	Francis Hicks					
Perimeter Fitness Trail plus equipment 1 5 53,000 5 5 Image: Control Problem Image: Control Problem Image: Control Problem 5 77,000 5 127,600 5 5 500,000 5 1 Image: Control Problem Image: Control Problem Image: Control Problem 1							
F T99,000 5 53,000 5 5 Total 77,000 5 1,250,125 5 127,600 5 1 established in 2018-2018 Long Term Plan 5 105,000 5 1,250,125 5 127,600 5 1 BALANCE* 5 205,000 5 172,000 5 172,400 5 350,000 5		nt					
Total \$ 77,000 \$ 1,250,125 \$ 127,600 \$ 500,000 \$ 1 established in 2018-2018 Long Term Plan \$ 105,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 350,000 \$ 350,000 \$ 4 BALANCE* \$ 28,000 \$ 1,050,125 \$ 172,400 \$ 150,000 \$ 5 \$ 50,000 \$ 5							- 1
established in 2018-2018 Long Term Plan \$ 105,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 350,000 \$ BALANCE* \$ 28,000 \$ 1,050,125 \$ 172,400 \$ 150,000 \$		Total	Ş	· ·			\rightarrow
established in 2018-2018 Long Term Plan \$ 105,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 350,000 \$ BALANCE* \$ 28,000 -\$ 1,050,125 \$ 172,400 -\$ 150,000 -\$							
\$ 28,000 <mark>-\$ 1,050,125</mark> \$ 172,400 -\$ 150,000 <mark>-\$</mark>		118 Long Term Plan	ŝ		\$ 300,000		
		BALANCE *		-\$ 1,050,125			

Item 2

Attachment 1

Parks RMP St
Parks

_	NAME	POLICY	COMMENTS	REMEDY	RECOMMENDATION	REC NO.
1	Westend Tennis	2.2	We also want to point out that your fencing contractors have been a little over-zealous in opening up access to the courts, and have removed a part of the angled fence near the main gate which actually prevents tennis balls from bouncing off into the neighbours - this should be reinstated if possible), and that perhaps the small gates on the long-sides should have also been left in-situ for the same reason. Also that the building is now at desperate risk of increased vandalism, or worse, with no protection from passers by. I have been very conscious, grateful, and pleasantly surprised, by the neighbours' community spirit in protecting our asset, to the extent that one neighbour used to paint over the graffiti on the volleywall on a regular basis, before his	2	Disallow	т
1	Westend Tennis	The Plan	Email reports that Plan is "very exciting for the community, and the council are doing a great job reconnecting with the community throught this renewal plan" "anyway, all good, and good luck with the re-development, an than you for keeping us informed.	supports	No Change	
1	Westend Tennis	History of Westend Tennis Club Page 18	discusses some corrections and record disappointment regarding the Westend Tennis Club. Namely, the club still exists but has deincorporated from Tennis NZ.	minor ammendmen t	Allow	υ
2	Rosalind Moore	1.3 Ebbett	Park seems to mostly appeal to dog walkers, great!	-	Allow	Ŀ
2	Rosalind Moore Rosalind Moore	2.6 Ebbett 2.7 Ehhett	Petanque may bring more people in Human waste a nrohlem nleased about the toilet	4	Allow	Σ
2	Rosalind Moore	2.10 Ebbett	Love the car parking spaces	n ∞	Allow	n
2	Rosalind Moore	3.1 Ebbett	Granddaughter loves the freedom of this park as do my dogs - natural open spaces and shady trees with lots of bird life	6	Allow	٨
2	Rosalind Moore	5.11 Ebbett	Vagrants unpleasant and booze. one kicked my 4kg dog!	13	Allow	ΕF
æ	Phillipa Cook	1.3 Ebbett	Fence the dog area, for off-lead, down by the tennis courts.	1	Allow	Е
4	David Renouf	2.8 Ebbett	Signs 2.8.5 'Yes' put up history of Ebbett Park e.g. Channels Channels (map of Makirikiri Stream) Ebbett two story woolshed	ę	Allow	σ
4	David Renouf	2.10 Ebbett	Vehicle Parking - NO vehicle parking on park land - because the lack of park land Buy new land for vehicle parks	80	Disallow	W
4	David Renouf	5.6 & 5.8	Title and Reserve Classification Request that Ebbett Park, St Leonards Park, Whenua Takoha Reserve are placed under the Reserve Act 1977 Sale of Park Land NO SALE OF ANY PARK LAND DELETE 5.8.3 To consider the disposal of a part of the land locked west end of Ebbett Park in the future Unless 'all' the money from the sale of this land locked west end of Ebbett Park is used to purchase new more suitable land alongside Ebbett Park	11	Allow	CC
4	David Renouf	5.1	NO bikes, skate boards, scooters and motor scooters because of safety issues	13	Disallow	EE
Ś	Janet Wilson	5.8 Ebbett	I purchased my home because of it's boundary to the park so would be very disappointed if this was no longer. I fear also that it would detract from it's value but that I figure would not be of a concern to you. Like I said above I am willing to offer my services because of my home being multi storied for the purposes of security of the west end of the park if this is the reason for the possible sale proposal	11	Allow	CC
'n	Janet Wilson	5.9 Ebbett	I live in one of the adjoining properties and because my home is multi storied with my bedroom looking out over the west end of the park. I often look out and observe those who are using the park for dog walking and recreational purposes such as local children playing seasonal friendly sports kicking a rugby ball around or playing cricket. I also use this opportunity to check for anyone who is not using the park with good intentions. I am happy to be apart of keeping our park safe for our local children to enjoy as well as my grandchildren when they visit. As a nurse I also work late hours coming home 12 am + I always look out over the park and even during dark hours I can see quite a bit so check for movement and noise before going to bed	supports	No Change	
9	Wendy King	2.5 St Leonards	More items for kids to play on especially for under 6 years.	e	Allow	ſ

Item 2

Attachment 2

1 of 9

of Submissions
Summary
RMP
Parks
Draft Raureka

RECINO		~				s	_	8	۵	٩
RECOMMENDATION	Allow	Allow	No Change	No Change	Allow	Allow	Disallow	Disallow	Allow	Allowed
REMEDY	6	6	supports	supports	4	7	7	00	11	minor
COMMENTS	I would like to have a BBQ and seating included at St Leonards Park. My children and grandchildren utilize the park. Seating for sports supporters around the large area of the park.	Supports native trees	A walkway around the park would be useful for when it rains to be able to still use the park to exercise.	Overall the proposed draft plan is very good. It is clear to see the Council put in a lot of effort and research as well as taking on consultation comments to implement in the plan. Well Done !	Gym exercise	Seven seated bench provide shelter from cool breeze and rain (like bus stop shed)	Page 18 Tennis Courts/Building: I do not support the demolition of the Westend tennis building and tennis courts. There is talk about the existing tennis building and courts being in disrepair and lack of funds to repair or replace these facilities. Again, it is interesting in that there is no interest from the council to fund repair and replacement of this service. By supporting the youth and community to use fresh facilities, would this not help with the lack of active security that you talk of and enhance "prosocial youth activity"? I propose that the Council consider the actual cost of repair of the tennis courts/tennis building and seek to actively promote the use of this facility. Perhaps with the addition of the toilets and an upgrade to the playground facility, more use of the tennis court would be adopted.	Page 34 Vehicle Parking: I do not support the addition of a formal carpark adjacent to Arahura Hall. My views on this is that people could use this park to sit in their cars and drink and make a mess with food. There is plenty of access to the park and the removal of some very established trees to make way for car parking in my opinion is unjustified. I do not see how adding a car park would increase "passive surveillance". I propose no car park is added to Ebbett Park on the basis that there is more than enough parking in the surrounding streets. The walk between Gordon Road and the entrance to the Scout Hall is less than 100metres. Why remove the well established trees? I believe this option is not only unreasonable, it is lacking forethought for the future of the park.	Page 42: Council will vest the southern and main portion of Ebbert Park leaving the west end as fee simple. This allows for flexibility in the future use of this park. This concerns me to no end and I am against this action. Not only is there no further information about what this means for the residents at that end of the park, but I have also heard rumours that the Council are selling that end of the park for addition of residential housing. Kia Ora I am a resident in Raureka; one of the suburbs directly affected by the Raureka Parks Reserve Management Plan proposal. Our home backs directly on to the West End of Ebbett Park near the tennis courts. I am also a rate payer concerned about the potential "disposal of part of the landlocked west end of Ebbett Park in the future". I suggest removal of this section "Sale of Parkland" 5.8 from page 42 and 5.8.3 in the same section in the proposal as it has nothing to do with the current plans for the park and in fact, lead the reader to believe that sale of that end of the park has been 'hidden' from view.	Page 28: It interests me in that in this consultation, it is noted that the "Northwest corner of Ebbett park is landlocked"There is an active entry from Oliphant Road. If that is the reason that turning this space into "fee simple" has been suggested, then isn't the space directly behind the scout hall also landlocked?
POLICY	2.9 St Leonards	3.1 St Leonards	2.12 St Leonards	Whole Plan	2.6 St Leonards	2.9 St Leonards	2.2.5 Ebbett	2.10 Ebbett	5.6.2 & 5.8 Ebbett	1.1 Ebbett
NAMF	Wendy King	Wendy King	Wendy King	Tania Mitchell	Darbara Singh <i>et.al.</i>	Darbara Singh et.al.	Vania Sillick	Vania Sillick	Vania Sillick	Vania Sillick
-		9	9	~	80	80	σ	σι	σ	σı

Item 2

Attachment 2

of Submissions	
ž	
na	
Ē	
Su	
RMP	
S	
arl	
aF	
ž	
au	
t B	
raf	
ā	

_	NAME	POLICY	COMMENTS	REMEDY	REMEDY RECOMMENDATION	REC NO.
σ	Vania Sillick	Action Plan	Page 46 Action Plan: I am well in support of the action plan noted on page 46 with the exception of Number 5 indicated in the reasons above. I look forward to being able to continue to use this facility with my family as the location of this park was a key factor in us buying the property.	supports	No Change	
10	Hamish Dufty	2.5 Ebbett	I would like to know why Ebbett Park School and it's community have not be activity involved in this process? Having a child attending Ebbett Park School I only know of the draft plan due to a sign going up outside the park. No one has spoken to the staff at Ebbett Park School or our parents. We have a large number of students who come and play in our school grounds because there isn't the facilities available in the park. As it is pointed out in the draft consultation Ebbett Park has significant historic and cultural importance to Heretaunga. While other parks have had a significant amount of rate payers money placed into them (i.e. Frimley and Windsor Park), Ebbett Park as missed out.	m	Allow	~
Ħ	Zoe Libby	5.6 & 5.8 Ebbett	5.6.1, 5.6.2 to protect where I live and the beauty of Ebbett Park - Sent email for clarification and she responded: Sorry about misunderstanding I was submitting to ensure the NW end is not sold.	11	Allow	CC
12	Gill Libby	5.6 & 5.8 Ebbett	5.6.1, 5.6.2 the people that walk through the park 5.8.1,5.8.2,5.8.3 This community is respectful of each other. Any riff-raff we can deal with that as a neighbourhood, in fact since things have changes at the neighbourhood tennis court recently, things have got worse Sent email for clarification - Zoe Libby responded as above	11	Allow	CC
13	Denise Bromby	2.7 Whenua Takoha	I wish to make a submission that Whenua Takoha Park also receive at least one Unisex toiletBut hopefully twollMy reasoning being that: 1 with the construction of the half court Basketball Court there will be more people young and not so young using the court and they will come from a wider areaas this will be the only outside court in the whole of Raurekaso they will stay longer and come from further awayso will require at least onebut 2 toilets would be better. 2If you do not provide the toilets it will lead to anti- social behaviour and bad hygiene and then Council Workers would have to clean up some mess from time to timeyou get the picture!! 3The idea that this is a Community Park so Families would be there togetherbondingplayingso when you have little ones they need the whare paku regularlythis would be distressing to parents or older siblings to have to deal with this if the facilities are not providedand could cause embarrassmentcaught short!! 4The other Parks are all getting Toilets so why leave this one out??Everyone has the same bodily functions and it would be in the Councils best interests to provide the Facilities 5Also in time this Basketball Court could be used for Community Tournamentsso there will always be a need for toilets and	n	Dissallow	۵.
14	John Roberts	1.3	Fully supports the objectives and policies		Allow	ш
14	John Roberts	2.2.5, 2.2.6, & 2.2.7 Ebbett Park	2.2.5 Fully supports keeping it local (building and structures) and that it meets the needs of the local community. 2.2.6 As a member of the RCT we would like the opportunity to have the use and access to the Girl Guides Hab. Negotiate leases with Girl Guides NZ and Raureka Community Trust for use of facilities within Ebbett Park. 2.2.7 Maintain and upgrade existing buildings and structures when diractical and feasible to meet the current national building standards including criteria for access for people with limited mobility.	7	Allow	_
14	John Roberts	2.2.8	Definitely well overdue. Provide public toilets within Ebbett and St. Leonards Parks.	supports	No Change	
14			Absolutely support these policies to prohibit access into the park for vehicles, such as motorbikes, go carts, quad bikes that sometimes use Ebbett Park as a race track.	supports	No Change	
15	Linda Johnson	St. Leonards	A barbeque by the playground would be a good addition.	7	Allow	æ

Item 2

Attachment 2

Draft Raureka Parks RMP Summary of Submissions

ö							_
REC NO.	>	Ľ	z	~	CC	Q	
REMEDY RECOMMENDATION	Allow	Allow in part	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	
KEMEUY	σ	1	4	6	11	12	
COMIMENIS	CONTINUED FROM ABOVE: If the council is serious about attracting wildlife to the park and encouraging greater biodiversity it needs to provide food, water, shelter and proximity to other similar spaces. It also needs to remove those things that impede and damage a healthy ecosystem. Shrubs and trees that can feed our native wildlife and also offer protection from predators (e.g. to fledgling birds, native lizards, insects etc) need to be identified and planted. Within Raureka's parks this planting could be around the perimeters and within 'the long corridor' in Ebbett Park. This will also serve to beautify the parks and encourage people to visit and stay longer, which in turn will make the parks safer places to be. Currently there is almost no shelter for fledgling birds, so they are easy prey for neighborhood cats and other predators. Water sources for the birds to drink and bathe in need to be provided. We can't expect our native birds to return without this fundamental requirement.	Ebbett Park is one of very few off-lead dog walking areas in Hastings City. The park is big enough that multiple dog walkers can be using the park without impinging on the enjoyment of others in the park. The park is well utilised for this purpose and I support this wholeheartedly. I suggest fencing of the playground to ensure that animals are not able to foul in the playground area and children feel safe when dogs are exercising off-lead. This would support objective 2.3.4	I support the plan to develop a long-term plan for the courtspace at Ebbett Park (2.6.8). There are a number of options including: 1. a junior bike track similar to the one at the HB Sports Park and in Napier on Marine Parade where children can learn basic road rules in a safe and fun environment. 2. a water park similar to those in Flaxmere and Cornwall Park 3. an all weather basketball court	I would support a strategy (2.3.3) to encourage boundary fencing and border planting that enhances passive surveillance and park landscaping (ref page 25)	I support the intent to declare the Raureka Parks as reserves under the Reserves Act 1977 but vehemently oppose the intent to exclude the north west end of Ebbett Park (Lot 7) from this. This lot was part of the original parcel of land gifted by Eliza Ebbett in 1924. (Policy 5.6.2) This policy indicates the intent of policy 5.6.2 which is to sell Lot 7. I own a property that borders the park at the north west end and the park was a key factor in my decision to purchase the property in 2004. I enjoy the aspect of the park from my property immensely. I also enjoy seeing others in the community using the park as a thoroughfare, walking their dogs, children riding their bikes, playing games on the courts and in the open grassed area adjacent to the courts. Selling off this part of the park and reducing the size of the park would have significant impact on all these activities. The park has history and is a huge asset to this community. Selling off part of the park undermines/disregards the intent of the park and the community who enjoy and use this asset every day. This is also likely to negatively impact the value of properties that border this part of the park. (Policy 5.8.3)	Policy 5.8.5 indicates the intent to open up the southern end of the park. I would like the same consideration to be given to the north west end of the park which is described as "landlocked". This would allow easier access from Florence Street and support objectivo 211.2	ODIDUTIVE / 11 /
POLICY	3.0 Ebbett Park	1.3	2.6	2.3	വ പ സ സ	5.7	
INMIL	Kath Purchas	Andrea Rooderkerk	Andrea Rooderkerk	Andrea Rooderkerk	Andrea Rooderkerk	Andrea Rooderkerk	
-	20	21	21	21	21	21	

Item 2

Attachment 2

Draft Raureka Parks RMP Summary of Submissions

H
Powerco supports the general intent of the two draft RMP's (Raureka Parks and Cornwall Park) and section 5.5 in both plans around network utilities, and in particular policy 5.5.3e, which outlines all utility structures that involve pipes, cables, lines or similar shall be placed underground, except where this is not practicable. This is supported by Powerco who may need to install gas assets through a reserve when no other route is practicable.
Petition: WE THE UNDERSIGNED OBJECT TO ANY PART OF EBBETT PARK BEING SOLD - This would be directly against the wishes of Mr and Mrs Ebbett who stated "shall forever hereafter hold the land as a Borough Reserve to be used as Public Park, Children's Playground and Public Recreation Ground." We, members of the public herein mentioned consisting of home owners surrounding Ebbett park and users of the Park, strongly object to the proposal by Hastings District Council to create a fee simple title from the land at the Western end of the park with the view to sell such a a portion of Ebbett Park in the future. Ebbett Park was donated by Eliza Maud Ebbett on 24th June 1927 for the purpose of a Borough Reserve and as such we demand that all of Ebbett Park be Vested as a Reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977
supporting Kath Purchas' submission to see water suppled to each of the parks for human and animals to drink
supporting Kath Purchas' submission to see trees and shrus planted to provide food an shelter for fledgling birds and other native fauna sucha as lizards and insects.
Do not believe that the western end of the Park should be treated any differently from the rest of the park and do not agree with many of the stataments made in the Plan relating to the Park. And want to ensure that " the Council has no intentions of selling the western portion of the Park (Lot 7).
Enjoys the woodland atmosphere as she walks her daughter dog there everyday.
Concerned about destruction and removal of the tree in that area that will affect the bird life (Natural values at Ebbett Park) if northwest end sold/developed
Concerned about this proposed disposal of part of ebbett Park because it will lead to inevitable destruction and removal of the tree in that area that will affect the bird life
Could this area be developed into a community facility (former tennis court area) such as a pre-school' or low cost housing
Could toilets for public use be provided at a suitable site in Ebbett Park
We require Council to vest the whole of Lot 7 (in fact the whole of the Park) as a Reserve pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 to meet the wishes and intentions of Mr & Mrs. Ebbett
Require Counci to vest the whole of Lot 7 (in fact the whole of the Park) as a reserve pursuant ot Reserves Act 1977 to meet the wishes and intens of Mr and Mrs Ebbett. Delete sections 5.8.3 and 5.8.5 in Section 5.8 Sale fo Park Land.
Owns and resides on property in NW corner. concern with term 'Landlocked' as "it has adequate access on to Olophant Road."
Supports ALL of all three parks vested under the Reserves Act for reasons that Ebbett Park as a whole was gifted to Hasting byt the Ebbett family "permanently and that Hastings City has a low provision of park and reserve land
Would not like to see the size of the park reduced or the access points changed.

Item 2

Attachment 2

Draft Raureka Parks RMP Summary of Submissions

Item 2

Attachment 2

of Submissions
Summary (
RMP
Parks
Raureka
Draft

	ď	F	D	>	Z	S	EE				ltem 2
KECOMIMENDATION	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	No Change	No Change		
KEMEDY	9	7	00	σι	10	11	13	supports	supports		
COMMENTS COMMents RCT are especially interested in the historical and	cultural significance of Ebbett Park and encourage the HDC to follow through on this. This is covered in 4.0 Social and Cultural values.	Comments RCT agree with the policies aroud providing suitable well sited furniture, The provision of maintained drinking fountains is also essential for health and well being.	The provision of a proper car parking area could prevent the misuse of Ebbett Park by vehicles. Bollards and signage and being able to police the area is quite difficult with the number of entrances and the width of these. Bollards are usually a great deterrent and this would still allow for park maintenance crews to access the park. • RCT would like the HDC to prioritise a new car park at Ebbett Park adjacent to the Arahura Hall accessed from Gordon Road. This would significantly help visitors to gain access to the park and also enable the increased use of Arahura.	 Comments RCT especially commend 3.1.6 – Ensure native Indigenous NZ are used species are used within reserve plantings. These trees and shrubs are more adapted to the NZ environment and climate and will grow in a more moderate manner than some of the exotic species. It would be great if there were some specimen native trees which could eventually be clearly labelled. We agree with policies 3.1.5 to 3.1.9 Comments RCT agree with policy 3.4.2 and would like to see this as a focus of HDC landscape design for Ebbett Park and Whenua Takoha. 	 Comments RCT would like to see more of an emphasis on the cultural values of Ebbett Park in particular. There is a rich history associated with this park as outlined in the strategy document which needs to be addressed in more detail. We would love to see some carvings at the entrance to Ebbett Park in recognition of what was originally there. It would make a significant difference to tangata whenua to have pou or a carved entrance way and it is likely that the park would become more respected. We agree with 4.1.2, 4.2.2, 4.3.2, 4.3.3 and policies 4.4.2 to 4.5.5 	encourages Council to vest all the Raureka Parks under the Reserves Act so that they are not subject to housing pressure in the future.	It is important that provision is made for both walking and cycling in Ebbett park. We understand it can be a bit of a thoroughfare or short cut for some cyclists. Some parks manage around NZ manage this very well and this could be further investigated.	Comments It is essential that toilet facilities are provided for at Ebbett Park along with the maintenance and access as stated.	Firstly, our trust wish to congratulate the Hastings District Council for this very comprehensive document which covers the Parks of Raureka. Our Community group The Raureka Community Trust (RCT) has really appreciated being part of the pre-consultation and that some of our key strategic visions our own vision have been incorporated into the Reserve Management Plan. We appreciate a number of the following Goals and Key actions Recreation and Leisure Development and Leisure Development and Facilities Social and Cultural Values Natural values We do not have any real issues with the plan but we do wish to reiterate our commitment and our interest in Ebbett Park and Whenua Takoha.	8 of 9	Attachment 2
POLICY	2.8	2.9	1.7 & 2.1 & 2.10	3.0 & 3.4	4. 0	5.6 & 5.8	1.5 Ebbett Park	2.7	Whole Plan		
NAME	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust	Raureka Community Trust		
-	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32		

MP Su	Parks RMP Su
	Parks RI

-	NAME	POLICY	COMMENTS	REMEDY	RECOMMENDATION	REC NO.
32	Raureka Community Trust	2.3	It is important that the council consults and negotiates with the landowners surrounding Ebbett Park for the provision of fencing appropriate to the character and use of the park.as in 2.37 and 2.38	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	2.4	It is essential that provision is made for appropriate lighting at Ebbett Park and to improve what is currently there. If a new car park is provided for this will help lighting around the Arahura Hall. It is likely that if the RCT negotiate with the Girl Guiding Assoc that the building would be used in the evening, so it is essential that adequate lighting is in place.	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	2.11	 Comments RCT see it as essential that all ages and abilities are able to access Ebbett Park and encourage the HDC to purchase additional land for future development. 	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	2.12	 Comments RCT agree with the policies 2.12.2, 2.12.3, 2.12.5 (pathway improvements at Ebbett Park) 	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	5.2	 Comments RCT believes that sustainable practices must be incorporated into all aspects of the development of the Raureka Parks. 	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	5.30	 Comments RCT agree with the provisions in the policies 5.3.3- 5.3.5 	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	5.12	 Comments RCT believe that community engagement and communication is a primary tool in the success of any strategy. We agree with policies 5.12.3 to 5.12.9 and 5.13.2 	supports	No Change	
32	Raureka Community Trust	Action Plan	RCT are heartened to see that the action plan prioritises most aspects in the short term (1-3 years) for Ebbett Park and Whenua Takoha and we look forward to working constructively and collaboratively to assist HDC to achieve these goals.	supports	No Change	
33	Ebbett Family	2.8,4	Supports plaque at entrance as a priority acknowledging the gift from the late Ebbetts and placed on a vandal proof post!	6	Allow	Ø
33	Ebbett Family	2.10	A vehicle entrance that is lockable	8	Allow	>
33	Ebbett Family	3.1	Tree removal of the bigger and older trees could be removed and replaced and replaced by smaller and more attractive specimens (provides suggestions).	6	Allow	٨
33	Ebbett Family	2.1 & 4.1 Ebbett Park	Suggest improved entrance on Gordon Road (Deveopment and Heritage Significance)	10	Allow	z
33	Ebbett Family	5.6 & 5.8	Commends Council on the Management Plan however suggest that the gift from the late Eliza and George Ebbett be adhered to " as close as possible for this day and age"	11	Allow	CC
33	Ebbett Family	2.3	Boundary fencing more amenable to connecting with Park	supports	No Change	

Item 2

Attachment 2