

Tuesday, 8 July 2025

Te Hui o Te Kaunihera ā-Rohe o Heretaunga
Hastings District Council
Council Meeting

Kaupapataka

Attachment Volume 2

Te Rā Hui:
Meeting date: **Tuesday, 8 July 2025**

Te Wā:
Time: **9:00 AM**

Te Wāhi:
Venue: **Council Chamber
Ground Floor
Civic Administration Building
Lyndon Road East
Hastings**

**Watch Council meetings
streamed live on our website
www.hastingsdc.govt.nz**

HASTINGS DISTRICT COUNCIL
207 Lyndon Road East, Hastings 4122 | Private Bag 9002, Hastings 4156
Phone **06 871 5000** | www.hastingsdc.govt.nz
TE KAUNIHERA Ā-ROHE O HERETAUNGA

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
5.	LOCAL WATER DONE WELL CONSULTATION AND HEARING OF SUBMISSIONS	
	Attachment 5: Attachment 5 - LWDW - All submissions	3

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1	Paige	Win	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2	Shanon	Tait	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
3	micheal	garvey	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4	Teina	Grant	Town	Frimley	Don't know	Yes	Yes		
5	Rachel	Whitworth	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
6	Naomi	Fergusson	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
7	William	Kelsey	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
8	Vicki	Broome	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
9	Charlotte	Langridge	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
10	H	Brittin	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		The most cost effective option with a balanced level of commercial approach. Its important to ensure the CCO is required to have a service orientated focus. This includes ensuring excellent water quality, continuity of supply (minimizing unplanned interruptions), a responsive customer service in terms of being able to easily report issues (phones/emails/webforms/ap), the actual on the ground resolution of the issue, and good public communication throughout.
11	Joyce	Calder	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Option 1, A Regional CCO is the most sensible option. I would like to see all 5 local councils amalgamate, the current system is broken.
12	Ben	Severinsen	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
13	Arn Desmond Alan Lea	Stone	Town	MAREWA, 4110, NAPIER	Yes	Yes	Yes		
14	John	Sutherland	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
15	Laura	Bell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
16	Iain	Maxwell	Rural	Eskdale	No	Yes	Yes		
17	Karina	Fothergill	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		
18	Alexandra	Martin	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
19	Erica	Guido	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	HDC has invested a lot in water infrastructure since the issue with Havelock North, not to mention the fancy water tank in the middle of town - I cannot comprehend how it does anyone think it's a great idea to "team up" with Napier council for example, when it's a well-known fact that their water infrastructure is not in best shape for years. The long-term cost saving mentioned in option 1 won't happen when everything else outside of our city start to fell apart, and we as Hastings' homeowners have to pay our share of the bill. It's a big no for me.
20	Elliott	Prichard	Rural	Maraekakaho	No	No	Yes		
21	Nuwan	Kuruwita	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Should have been 3 waters

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
22	Angela	Hair	Rural	Tukituki	No	No	Yes		I agree to Option 1 Regional approach as this may help the Councils to be strong enough to stand against government overreach - like forcing Hastings District Council to put a known neurotoxin like HFSA into the drinking water. The government has Napier and Wairoa next on the list. Only Central Hawkes Bay have resisted the government's carrot and stick approach. The right for everyone to choose what they put into their body is fundamental to good health and politicians, councils, doctors and dentists have a responsibility to First Do No Harm.
23	Bruce	Nimon	Rural	Crownthorpe	No	No	Yes		
24	Julian	Congalton	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
25	Erika	Teariki	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
26	Tamara	Wilson	Rural	Omahu	Yes	No	Yes		
27	KATHLEEN	RODGERS	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		Makes more economical sense for the City and the optimally the Rate Payer.
28	Jennifer	Wooten	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
29	Angie	Hodgson	Town	Taradale Napier	Yes	Yes	Yes		
30	Josh	Lynch	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
31	Daniël	Rutten	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
32	Kate	Murphy	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
33	Kelly	Whitewood	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
34	George	Topham	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	We can't afford to keep borrowing Council seems to keep over committing themselves with silly decisions
35	Nathan	D	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	Yes		As I'm not connected to any council service my preference is to have the least amount of cost transferred through council rates etc. I honestly believe in having the federal govt contribute to local services within reason especially if the outlay is large in dollar terms and services provided. Local councils should not be expected to pay for large water projects when the expected benefit also goes to the general public at large. I also believe in user pays ...installing a water meter at every premises to help pay for the infrastructure. I have grown up in a different country (australia) with a different mind set about precious water resources. cheers Nathan
36	Sally	Cawston	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
37	Yvette	Dennis	Rural	Eskdale	No	Yes	Yes		
38	Deni	Ewart	Town	Whakatu	Yes	Yes	Yes		
39	Larry	Maxwell	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
40	Shane	Libeau	Town	Akina	No	Yes	Yes		
41	Margaret	Meads	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		11
42	kelvyn	stevens	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Ownership should remain with the public by the combined regional councils. Management of water resources should be by contract, by someone with the proven expertise and ability to do so. This probably won't be any of the local councils.
43	Jane	Hirschman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
44	Lisa	Batenburg	Rural	Longlands	No	No	Yes		
45	Donna	O'Connor	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
46	Darryl	Mason	Rural	Whirinaki	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Implementing option one would incur significantly higher financial and social costs than allocated. If the assets are removed from the council's control, how can the council expect to secure loans without its current largest asset? In my opinion, council should prioritise its core services, such as water and road maintenance, rather than 'nice to have' items. If option one were to proceed, I anticipate future councillors voting for costly 'nice to have' items because the focus on essential services would be diverted to the CCO.
47	Nicole	McCarthy	Rural	NAPIER	No	Yes	Yes		
48	Lance	Botherway	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
49	Elizabeth	Potts	Town	Frimley, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes, I do agree with the Council's preferred option - Option 1
50	Marc	Rocard	Rural	Crownthorpe	No	No	Yes		Best long term option for everyone.
51	rob	grant	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		This option seems the best way forward as it involves other councils in the area. my question is what happens if one of THE Other councils falls into debt with water are we obliged to help them out etc
52	Allan	Herkt	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
53	Vincent	Veale	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Only way is Option 1 hopefully the start to rethink amalgamation of our councils.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
54	Neville	Rae	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Are individual households to have water meters connected to measure individual households water usage. I am not happy to pay a general cost for water usage when neighbours use water sprinklers on their lawns and have a four person household. Surely a fairer system would be a "user pays" model using meters to give a more accurate reading of our water usage?
55	Mark	Shepherd-Walwyn	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
56	Toni	Goodlass	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
57	John	Mills	Town	Napier, Hawkes Bay	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Nowhere in the country has any Local Government agency yet proven that they have saved money or done things as well or cheaper through amalgamations or the formation of CCO's.</p> <p>CCO's just form another tier of Local Govt that enables the new body to increase costs so that the HDC can claim its them putting up the charges and not the HDC.</p> <p>Furthermore, if the new CCO is formed I would be very surprised if HDC reduces its rates significantly as a result of the new CCO.</p> <p>A Local CCO for water services is just another way of HDC avoiding having to make good decisions and you will not save money in the long term through the formation of a CCO</p> <p>HDC councilor's need to man up and start making decisions in the interests of Hastings residents by doing things properly and not based on how cheap they can do things.</p> <p>Contracting out of Council service provisions to external contractors has not proven to provide high quality services to date. Just look at the reduced standards of our parks and roading these days compared to how these services were provided before contracting out of these services occurred.</p>
58	Philip	Wilcock	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Council should stop creating new entities to borrow funds through. They should manage their existing debt better. They're already on an unrealistic spending spree that will see the council bankrupt within a few years, I'm not helping them do it again. They should get back to basics. And learn basic business. After all if you have a ceo, why do you need a mayor? There's a saving straight away!</p>
59	Peter	Stockwell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
60	John	Reilly	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Keep the costs low as possible.
61	Ian	Smith	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		no-brainer
62	Rachael	Stanbra	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
63	Katrin	Lee	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		
64	Coral	Atkins	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
65	Aaron	Franklin	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>the benefits of joining the regional model outweigh the negatives. With any option, residents will still pay for the work, but at least with the regional model, there will be economy of scale. Cost for residents has to be a major driver, as there are many in Hastings on restricted incomes.</p> <p>The regional option also supports better resilience in the face of the disaster threats the district will continue to face.</p> <p>Excellent to see the involvement of Mana Whenua in the process, ideally they would be directly involved in the management of the COO, which supports observing Te Tiriti o Waitangi. That would also help with the concern below.</p> <p>Only concern is what protection is there against future privatisation of the COO? The UK shows how privatised water is a disaster for residents.</p>
66	Steve	Thompson	Town	Havelock	Yes	Yes	Yes		
67	Aleesha	Giles	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
68	Robert	Haar	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
69	David	Davidson	Town	Parkvale	No	Yes	Yes		
70	Barbara	Stokes	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
71	Linda	McLean	Town	havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
72	Tony	Harting	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		We will need water meters to determine individual usage, ie, I live alone & don't use much water, against a family unit using a larger amount. User pays would be fair for all
73	Cheyenne	Hale	Rural	SH5	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>You have raised the rates extremely high, to cover the cost of the council negligence before,during and after Cyclone Gabrielle. This is a proven fact.</p> <p>Now you want to make people pay for water! Can you guarantee its safe water, remembering the havelock north poo in the water outbreak.</p> <p>I vote no confidence in your council.</p> <p>This is a money making exercise, to take from the people who are already struggling to pay for the councils screw ups and negligence. And the current government recommendations are bullocks, again it's a money making exercise.</p> <p>As Tangata Whenua, I don't agree.</p> <p>Your council provides nothing to our property.</p>
74	david	m scott	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	Yes		I would like to know the cost of Option 1 excluding Wairoa and CHB as I suspect that it would make the costs to both Napier and Hastings per rate payer much lower. They cannot have their independence and come along to option 1 for a reduced cost ride. Thier independence has a cost and this is one of them. I would also like to know how firm the costs are in all of the proposal.
75	Lianne	Ashfield	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
76	DENIS	KAYE	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
77	Rick	Cranswick	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	We must take advantage of scale and provide similar services to all in our region. This should be the beginning of moving all councils together. Millions will be saved and can go into better services and keeping rates increases as low as necessary.
78	Murray	Sivewright	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		with all the aquifer supplied water reducing and with all councils combining their requirements a greater and fairer overall control and delivery can be obtained
79	Tim	Aitken	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
80	Christine	Symes	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		
81	Herbert	Leijen	Rural	Raukawa	No	No	No		<p>I REFUSE to pay more in (water) rates for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I have constructed and mapped the water system on our rural property, which has been going successfully since 1989. - When constructing this water scheme, I was hardly able to afford anything. Back then there was no council to assist us, just costly sewerage rules. - When maintenance needs to be done it is fully on the residents connected to this water scheme. Not ever has any council member ever assisted in any of those costs or labour. - Please do not take this lightly, any charge as a result of water costs will be met with court action from us. We are in a strong position to fight. <p>Summary; consider carefully raising the rates for rural properties to cover town water problems. I can guarantee costly court action.</p> <p>Herbert Leijen</p>
82	Daniel	Akkerman	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Please remove fluoride from our drinking water, it's not necessary and is harmful

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
83	Paul	Sampson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>As a previous District Engineer (Rotorua) it is important that th Asset Management remains in a Council unit not in the private sector. The Entity needs to be large enough to have full detailed modelling of all networks and dedicated staff related to the Models that need to be regularly update. Asset management plans need to include actual asset knowledge not reliant on graphical assessments.</p> <p>This modelling is need to base any changes in land use and changes of zoning etc.</p> <p>My experience at Rotorua District Council that the assets covered were insufficient to keep a full time modeler so went looking for assets from other TLA to enable our modeler to be full engaged.</p> <p>For that reason I fully support a larger CCO .</p> <p>It will be important that the controlling Board members are technically competent as well as having board experience working in the Asset area as well as business.</p> <p>A secondary issue of importance is the Direction to the Entity (Statement of Intent)in that it must have clear directions and also KPI.s that cover all aspects and is monitored regularly..</p> <p>This Document is just as critical as the establishment of the entity. as has been exemplified by the Wellington Water example,</p> <p>I would not expect the CCO to be a significant provider of services itself .so within the CCO needs to be competent Contract writers and management of Contractors. Contract lengths need careful consideration to ensure the control of the assets doesn't default to the Contractor. Also long term contracts will reduce the completion for any future contracts. A smart Buyer is required within the CCO.</p> <p>..</p>
84	Catherine	McGrath	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
85	Richard	Punter	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Put briefly, Options 1 and 2 lead directly to privatisation which leads directly to private equity ownership which leads to failure to maintain and enhance, service failures without penalty, massive dividend payments offshore, massive water charge increases, bankruptcy, and renationalisation. The UK water "industry" is a poster child for this. See Thames Water as an example. These are essential services and must not under any circumstances be allowed to fall into the hands of private ownership. What we see in the UK right now is the absolute failure of central and local government to deal effectively with these people once they are in control, and your options 1 and 2 as already stated lead directly to that disastrous situation.
86	Philip	Carson	Rural	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		I agree with the council that a combined Hawke's Bay water services entity is the best option for the long term which can focus on delivering the services to the stds required and the most cost effectively long term
87	Susan	Edwards	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
88	Graham	Brooker	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
89	Brian	Meade	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		CCO is preferred because it removes the Council from arbitrary decision making about services made to me. An elected committee of experienced persons would be better placed to cost & administer all 3 services. The overall maintenance programming and investment for future expansion will be in the hands of a smaller group making it a more simple option. Perhaps the most important factor for me personally is that the Govt of the day will have no input and the assets I already co-own with our local rate-payers are safe from those who would back a 3-waters type system.
90	Jo	Eames	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	Yes		I trust the Council to make good decisions based on their data and information.
91	Marie	Canales	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
92	Nicholas	Schnell	Rural	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
93	Bronwen	Kerr	Town	Havelock North - Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
94	Andrew	Pattison	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
95	Raquel	Jordan	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
96	Ma	Da	Rural	4182	Yes	Yes	Yes		Council with other council is sensible. Water is a regional resource.
97	Vanessa	Brown	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
98	Stu	Butden	Rural	Mangateretere	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	My concern is that non-urban ratepayers will start getting a water bill and it will be called "compliance" or "administration" and in the same way that the regional council is charging non-urban ratepayers for public transport services they can't use, the new water structure will charge non-urban ratepayers for water or wastewater services they have nothing to do with.
99	William	Walker	Rural	Pukahu	No	No	Yes		Obviously we, like an important minority of other ratepayers, am not affected by your decisions -- except financially!! The best answer is Number one but my question is -- why should I, like the rest of the minority, be paying for this service or its improvement?
100	Michael	Wong	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
101	Stas	Senkov	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
102	Andrew	Biggs	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Whatever is the most cost effective, environmentally sustainable and long term solution
103	Loret	Reeves	Town	Mayfair Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
104	Paul	O'Regan	Rural	Havelock North RD12	No	No	Yes		We get have our own water and waste treatment. We don't want to get billed for nothing. Govt decided to buck pass the costs down to users; we are covering our costs; only rate bill those you service.
105	Wendy	Spencer	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
106	Sarah	Harper	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
107	Justin	Lindsay	Town	st leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		The cheapest option with ZERO iwi involvement.
108	Peter	Robinson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
109	Nicky	James	Town	Heretaunga	Yes	Yes	Yes		
110	AARON	CASH	Town	Mahora Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
111	Patrick	Sayers	Rural	Puketapu-Rissington area	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Hastings councilors are already paid to make sure services are provided. It is part of their job!</p> <p>No extra costs should be derived by employing another group of councilors and another level of bureaucracy.</p> <p>Please. Just knuckle down and do the job which you were elected to do!!!</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
112	Walter	Breustedt	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I suggest</p> <p>1) to introduce user pays in the next years with a free 250 l /pers and day. It may be installed in steps beginning with new subdivisions and with household with pools.</p> <p>2) publish yearly the average consumption / pers.</p> <p>3) find and repair water leakages in the public network</p> <p>4) publish annually the losses in the network</p>
113	Karyn	Wills	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>3 Waters was by far the best option and it is ridiculous that people were so blinded by rhetoric and racism that they couldn't see that.</p>
114	Dave	Robertson	Rural	Bridge Pa	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Local water run locally and owned by council rather than yet another organisation with more bureaucracy and no accountability. Hastings district council needs to get on with delivery of water for residents and stop creating more entities that have nothing to do with outcomes for residents. Start fixing problems and stop creating new ones. Even this process is a waste of time as it sounds like the councils made up its mind to start another organisation already and waste more money on "feedback" it won't even listen to anyway. This doesn't impact me as much as other residents, as I live rurally, but the council shouldn't be borrowing more money to put new parks in etc when we still need to sort cyclone Gabrielle.</p>
115	Dan	Still	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Together we are stronger</p> <p>No chlorine No Fluoride</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
116	Paul	McCarthy	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		I don't agree with any option as in my experience from previous council surveys you end up doing what you want. These "tick the box" exercises only serve to make you feel good/justified in proceeding along the lines you were always intending to with the attitude "we know best". History would support that is not always the case. The last survey I completed went massively against your intention and then you came up with some poor excuse as to why that was and went down the road you were always planned.
117	Carl	Clements	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
118	Heather	Sharp	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Definitely support shared services. If not a shared CCO keeping in house is a better option as will be cheaper to run than a CCO and less administrative burden. It would still be ring fenced for reporting so I don't see any benefit in having as a non shared services CCO.
119	phillip	howe	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	Yes		
120	Gary	Schofield	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		It just makes sense. Greater economies of scale. Greater buying power. Scotland has over 5million people with 1 public water company! Reduced political influence, & meddling esp. if technical requirements are defined and regulated like power and fibre networks. For a holistic view of water it should include all stormwater/flood management ie regional council's operational functions too.
121	Raymond	Harris	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
122	Adrian	Branescu	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		It's logic, that if the Council will actually hire professionals not some "recommended " good hart people.
123	Margaret	Cranwell	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
124	Jonathan	Gee	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Everything is working fine. The water is fine to drink, storm water flows adequately from the property and the sewage system works. Is this some cockeyed scheme by the government to appear to be doing something when they are not? Are the bods in Wellington bored out of their heads from wasting our money and have now invented some plan to make us all believe we have a government that looks after us?
125	Meg	Wilding	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
126	Angus	Charteris	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
127	Kees	Vleeshouwer	Town	Whakatu	Don't know	Don't know	No		<p>Why should rate payers yet again fork out for this council again?</p> <p>None of the options are preferred and by what's written on your pamphlet you've already made your choice to join all 4 councils together!</p> <p>Instead of stop wasting money on stupid upgrades to the regions decor you want us to now pay more money into something we already pay for now!</p> <p>Take pay cuts at the council, get rid of all unnecessary costs and staff to reduce running costs!</p> <p>You are put there to represent us but you do not represent us the rate payers</p> <p>Your time is up and you all need to go!</p> <p>We do not live under a dictatorship so stop acting like we do</p>
128	Joanna	Bate	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		
129	Helen	Helmores	Town	Waimarama	No	Yes	Yes		
130	David	Allen	Town	Suburb	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
131	Ross	Boswell	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
132	Susan	Padfield	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		I think option1 is preferable but it is dependent on the other Councils agreeing.If this does not occur, Hastings has already done a lot of work on water, then option 2
133	aramanu	ropiha	Rural	mangateretere	No	No	Yes		E hara taku toa i te toa takitahi, engari i te toa takitini. My strength is not due to me alone, it is the strength of many. Collectively we are better off than going alone. Its not about the money, the rates, the graphs. It is whanaungatanga. We are doing okay, we are getting better. Let no external influence set us back or against one another.
134	rodger	burn	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
135	Tony	Connolly	Rural	Havelock north	No	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	My choice would be to mandate ALL new builds to have rainwater collection for private usage, helping with reduced demand for town water and better management of storm water (by collecting a lot of it). Thanks for listening, Tony
136	Andrew	Moller	Rural	Waipatu	No	No	Yes		I'm in Apatu Rd, and don't know why I'm not on mains yet because there is mains at the intersection
137	Simon	Cheer	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
138	Cyril	Brajeul	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
139	rohan	luttrell	Rural	Waimarama	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	we have our own water supply at waimarama which functions well with no sewage. You are creating another bureaucratic structure and removing assets and control to another organisation.More layers of bureaucracy sounds like 3 waters mark2.Needs a referendum

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
140	Kirsten	Birrell	Rural	Longlands	No	No	Yes		
141	Errol	Mitchell	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
142	Sheila	Cairns	Town	Flaxmere, Hastings.	Yes	Yes	Yes		
143	Pandora	Russell	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
144	Alan	French	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Concern that when water rates removed from council rates council will be encouraged to use savings for other projects rather than lowering general rates I.e. with rate payers getting both increased water rates and general rates rather than lowering the general rates.</p> <p>While having more parks etc is fine the legal changes required should not be an excuse for the council to go on a spending spree given the huge increase in general rates over future years especially during an economic downturn.</p>
145	Madison	Stone	Town	Stortford Lodge	Yes	Yes	Yes		
146	CHARLES	WILKIE	Town	RAUREKA	Yes	Yes	Yes		
147	Sue	Fox-Warren	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>We in HDC in Havelock N, fixed ours during the water crisis during the storm with the lack of maintenance prior being rectified, so as our rates have gone up hugely, please keep them maintained the cheapest way. So as long as diligence by the Hastings District Council members who know what happened and actively repaired and solved the problem with the backwash, are always consulted, plus that info is documented and passed along, then I think Gen water for the plains aquifer should be under a broader base. It is more cost effective.</p> <p>Do maintain real experts though.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
148	Mary	Mayes	Rural	Napier	No	Yes	Yes		The water quality has gone down hill with brown to black water at times. The council needs to constantly check water quality regularly in the Whirinaki Rd area. During these poor quality times, I collect water and sit it on the bench for a day. The solids, which look like dark metals, sink to the bottom. This is not acceptable.
149	Tom	Page	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
150	Stacey	McLaughlin	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
151	Janette	Liley	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
152	hayden	mckee	Town	havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		It is critical that all the HB Councils work together on option 1 for greater economy of scale.
153	Elizabeth	Read	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
154	Nathan	WALTER	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>This relates to our rental pity - we live rurally and so only impact to us will be a reduced rates bill</p> <p>Our tenants will pick up the cost if changed</p> <p>We support efficient water use via installing water meters in town</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
155	Laura	Hocquard	Town	St Leonards Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Definitely better for the councils to work together.</p> <p>Concerning that a new organization is going to be lumped with \$200 million or so of debt - I hope this is not just a way for council to offload its debt.</p> <p>Especially if that number is just Hastings councils debt- how on earth is the organization supposed to manage if this level of debt comes from the other councils as well?</p> <p>Perhaps the debt should be transferred over a period of time (years) instead so that the new organization does not collapse before it has even begun.</p> <p>Only other feedback is that the need to value and conserve water, to see it as a limited resource, is information that needs to continue to be shared far and wide, and constantly, not just in the height of summer.</p>
156	Rizwaana	Latiff	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
157	philip	randles	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	The infrastructure of CHB and Napier is run down and has lacked any investment. Hastings has already invested
158	John	Cannon	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
159	Karamea	Te Whaiti Ward	Town	Mahora Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		I like the idea of everyone (the four councils) in the wider area (HB) working together and building stronger relationships with each other.
160	Chris	Verburg	Rural	Waimarama	No	No	Yes		Will the rates for our rural property come down, as we are totally self sufficient in water sewer and storm water systems?

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
161	Allen	Scott	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
162	Elanor Jean	MacDonald	Town	Te Awanga	No	Yes	Yes		
163	Jacky	Carbin	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		As the council continues to allow housing developments to spread over the Plains and flat land of the district, more and more stormwater is directed to the drains. This water is then screened and pumped out to sea. Perhaps what we need is, rather than pump water from the river back into the aquifer, why not put the screened water back on the land? There are orchards, paddocks cropping land all getting irrigated by ground water that could be irrigated by the storm water which is no longer getting to the aquifer. In combination with this, all new builds should have tanks which collect at least some of the stormwater which could then be used in the laundry, to flush the toilet, etc. If people cannot voluntarily respect our environment, I think we need to use regulations to do so.
164	Diane	Pye	Rural	hastings	No	No	Yes		As both of my properties are not connected to town supply for water or waste there should be not increase in my rates at all.
165	Caleb	Burbury	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Least worst option. Council will do what it wants anyway and consultation is just box ticking. Saying anything further is futile.
166	Rebecca	Norman	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
167	Margaret	Symons	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Rural services for rural people</p> <p>We are the Hawkes Bay economic backbone and powerhouse.</p> <p>We don't need general councillors who are city based adding us to their concerns. Leave them planning yet another central city upgrade, tourist venture or facility that costs lots of money and will never make a profit.</p> <p>Give us a specialist rural team.</p> <p>Safety and flood security is the priority. Ensure that that the rural team has the equipment and skills to protect Hawkes Bay when there is another flood. Have a preferred private company to work.</p> <p>Prioritise better stopbanks, cleared waterways, shingle removal, outlets for stream water and gates that can be opened in emergencies when a flood is imminent.</p> <p>In times of high rainfall, stringently monitor the run off from the Mission subdivision so that from the Puketitiri Road/ Wharerangi area the Tutaekuri can cope with the water flowing into it.</p> <p>Have a dedicated and physically active pest control team. They will be working towards the 2025 pest free NZ. Use Northland as an example. In Russell (which is surrounded by bush), a team of two separate working individuals check every trap around the town. This summer there were no rats in the traps around the town. Their trapping was effective. The strategy creates work. Our rural area is overrun with rats. We buy different varieties of bait and they don't work. Only ratter dogs and baited traps work.</p> <p>We pay for our own septic, wells and rubbish removal. Our rates should support an efficient and SMALL council who ensure that we are safe from floods and slips.</p> <p>The recreation area maintenance such as mowing and rubbish should be paid for by the city as it is citypeople who use our parks, rivers and cycleways.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
168	william	mokau	Town	hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Remove fluoride from drinking water, and reduce chlorine levels in drinking water. Or remove all poisonous chemicals from drinking water all together.
169	Alexander	Sutton	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>Op1: may share the costs however burdens you with others eg. Waipukurau/Waipawa problems & ongoing.</p> <p>Advantages in the future only on paper. Don't we all know that with what has turned out with Cyclone Cabrilla.</p> <p>Opt2: Councils insistence reference with opt 1 written here has eroded advantages in option 2.. Written in opt 2</p> <p>water debt is said to drop \$278mil . That has to be taken as a plus for Option 2 . More costs each year with opt 2 is written as a could be, and therefore only superstition. A more independent structure is my choice as in option 2.</p> <p>Option 3: If this option is unlikely to meet govt new requirements you are on the wrong path. Especially if the financial strain is much higher. You mention extra \$380m.</p> <p>Last month I installed a drinking rain water tank. With incoming water meters, conveniently not mentioned in this report, I support opt 2... The main reason being not to burden Hastings with other area costs. Which are extenuated with option 1...At the end of the day its user pays in my book, roading water whatever. Option 1 is too much propping up of other users services.</p> <p>A C Sutton</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
170	John	Wilson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>HDC have invested a huge amount of rates into a first class water acquisition and supply network, the other areas have not. This means even more of our rates will be absorbed now by those areas. HDC has shown focus on this aspect, especially after the health debacle and have been very successful in the results, my acknowledgement of that success.</p> <p>But this also means that the council already has sufficient skilled people to continue the successful development and maintenance of our assets so there is no case for a stand alone group to run the ongoing operation, that would be a massive waste of money unless it was offset by the reduction of existing council staff to compensate. Either way there should be no net increase in cost so council continued operation of the assets will not increase as per the picture graph if handled in a proper business manner</p>
171	Phil	Ross	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>The only condition is for the new regional CCO to be able to prioritise what is to be done based on need and without political interference. e.g. smaller councils are not disadvantaged, one shareholding council dominates etc.</p> <p>Also that the new entity and councils take a long term view i.e. a horizon of 10- 30 years so we can preserve our environment, capital for the future not just for the now.</p>
172	Kelly	Phelps	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
173	Paul	Langton	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
174	Gary	Johnson	Town	StLeonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		I would like to see local operated and a collective with neighbouring areas rather than duplication of services
175	Nigel	Dawes	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
176	Jean	Wilkey	Town	Parkvale, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
177	Bruce	Abbott	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
178	Brian	Hawkins	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
179	Bruce	Driver	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
180	MJ	van der Walt	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
181	Richard	Waterer	Town	Havelock Nth	Yes	Yes	Yes		I believe it would be beneficial to ratepayers if all the Hawke's Bay councils acted in concert in order to arrive at a situation at least similar to the original 3 Waters scheme./
182	Scott	Groombridge	Rural	Maraekakaho	No	No	Yes		
183	Heather	McCallum	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
184	Caroline	Wallace	Town	Frimley, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		More services should be shared/amalgamated with Napier (and possible CHB and Wairoa where appropriate) to cease duplication of effort, resources, but most importantly costs.
185	Monique	Webster	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
186	Remco	Mensert	Town	Havelock-North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Under NO circumstances shall any representative from a non-chosen organization, like any iwi, be allowed to be appointed by any council just because of their origin or race! No co-governance! Board members shall be chosen by democratically elected individuals, e.g. councilors, and there will be NO Maori only target! Water is owned by everyone and Maori shall have NO special rights or say about all this. Ever!</p> <p>Please do respect the will of the people who have chosen/voted many times before against co-governance. You are aware that democracy is key and race based special rights and powers have no place here.</p>
187	Graeme	Hewett	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
188	Ruth	Holmes	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
189	Andy	Dowley	Rural	RD10	No	No	Yes		
190	Vaughan	Cooper	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		The lower cost is the best approach matched with a single organisation for the area so that expertise and skills are not spread too thinly. There are only so many skilled people available and the one organisation also means less overhead management/managers.
191	Peter	Cottier	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>1 I do not see why you see a need to specifically consult with Maori on the issue; their interests are the same as everyone elses.</p> <p>2 Obviously there are significant costs to ratepayer with all of the options - \$25,000 or more over 10 years. I am concerned to see that HDC see a debt reduction in options 1 and 2 presents an opportunity to borrow yet more money for other activities which would further add to the increased financial load on ratepayers. Your rates with increases of 19% last year and 15% this year are becoming unmanageable already without the possible double whammy your proposal entails.</p> <p>3 It would be essential for HDC to maintain some control over the water CCO. A major concern with the last government's Three Waters proposal was the isolation of the decision makers from the bearers of the consequences of their decisions.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
192	Theresa	Byrne	Rural	Eskdale	No	Yes	Yes		
193	Terence	Franklin	Town	Raurek	Don't know	Yes	Yes		As long as our water belongs to all the community & not individual races.
194	Rusty	Ramser	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
195	Fiona	Carpenter	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
196	Nikki	Lloyd	Town	Napier	Yes	Yes	Yes		
197	Tracey	Wogan	Town	Napier	Yes	Yes	Yes		
198	Alistair	Wall	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
199	David	Cranwell	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
200	Josh	Weavers	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
201	Melanie	Lang	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	Yes		
202	Pam	Ogilvie	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Shame 3 waters was canned
203	Jill	Norman	Town	mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>I don't want to be under a group regional CCO - keep it simple and under Hastings council-delivered - to heck with what the govt wants - they promise this & that and give us S**t.</p> <p>I believe this little (whatever you call it) submission above is very biased & leads people into the 1s option where they will save money (and everyone wants to do that) but we all know how 'govts' can change their tune in a nano-second. So I will go with the 3rd option any day of the week.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
204	Linda	Heffernan	Town	Hastings central	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>The Councils preferred option sounds sensible.</p> <p>I wonder where the creation of a new dam for water storage falls under this proposal.</p> <p>My concern is that a separate entity will create slower more problematic decision-making . We don't need delays due to another layer of bureaucracy.</p> <p>It would be helpful to know that the CCO would be accountable and efficient to us, unlike what happened with the local water service in Wellington.</p>
205	Vicki	den Heyer	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
206	Steven	Smith	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
207	Louise	Hales	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
208	pete	gimblett	Rural	Eskdale	No	No	Yes		
209	Johan	Nel	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
210	Jill	Drew	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	We again seem to be being asked (this time) to again remove our water assets from full council control. The devil is on the detail I would imagine you are being intentionally reticent to hide the fact that this is another way way to bring in Labour's 3 water design with council governance on the agenda.
211	Roberta	Budvietas	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
212	Evan	Drew	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Personally I am happy to pay the extra \$380.00 . After a record rate rise it would appear somewhat disingenuous for this Green/Labour council. Also am somewhat amused that you are now trying to sell us a rationalized version of labours 3 waters . Hmmm I wonder what the new board might look like if we let you clowns get away this.
213	Deirdre	Nieuwland	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		I want local control to remain, as I believe strongly in water fluoridation. So would not want Napier to have a say in removing it from our water.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
214	Cynthia	Patterson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
215	Emma	De Pree	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
216	Graham	Riach	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		
217	Susan	Palmer	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
218	Michael	Haupt	Rural	Pakipaki	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
219	DOROTHY ROSEMARY	SHENTON	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
220	mark	payne	Town	hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
221	Rosemarie	East	Town	Havelock North 4130	Yes	Yes	Yes		
222	David	Todd	Rural	Mangateretere	No	No	Yes		<p>In the absence of any information about council's other spending commitments, ability to borrow and service debt Option 1 makes the most sense, as presented.</p> <p>It also begs the questions about amalgamation full stop. If it makes sense to combine resources on water into the future then surely the logic suggests other significant council operations would also be better served by a collective approach? I know there is a LASS set up. Would this be a possible vehicle for the water to be administered through?</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
223	T	S	Rural	RD 1	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	While I don't live in town, I co-own three rentals located in Camberley, Raureka and St Leonards.
224	Jaswinder	Singh	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		It's a god gift , no one can be charged for that. Stop charging for the water
225	John	Sait	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
226	Nigel	Hounsme	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		It makes more sense to have a body responsible for a wider area than just Hastings.
227	Cameron	Edwards	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		
228	Mark	Eagle	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
229	John	Bullot	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Less overhead costs, more focused
230	Danny	Williams	Rural	Maraekakaho	No	No	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
231	Clare	Bartley	Town	Mahora, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
232	Emma	Crawford	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
233	Blair	logan	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
234	Kim	Peacock	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
235	Jonathan	Phillips	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
236	DEAN	ASTILL	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		
237	Russell	Schaare	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
238	Sarah	Bowler	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		
239	Gael	Roebuck	Rural	Endsleigh Road	No	Yes	Yes		
240	Darryl	Hibberd	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>We need to keep prices down</p> <p>It's hard now that rates are going up more and more</p> <p>And now we have to pay a water bill</p> <p>It's hard for me as only have one income and on a tight budget any more money I have to take it from someone else</p>
241	ian	woodley	Town	Hawke's Bay - Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Combining resources across the region makes sense. Option 1 is the logical choice.
242	Rachael	Bartle	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		
243	Stacey	Bancroft	Town	Mayfair, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
244	Alexandra	Pegg	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
245	Sam	Hartree	Rural	Napier	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Im not sure how HDC thinks spending millions to create an new organization and taking on millions more debt to upgrade failing infrastructure that should have been up kept is the more cost effective option. We already have a regional council and we would add another council to the mix so we would have to deal with 3 sets of rates and another layer of bureaucracy when trying to build new houses and put new connections in which will ultimately cost more.
246	HYLTON	JONES	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
247	Steve	Razos	Town	Napier	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Smoke and mirrors, in order to get your preferred option. You say one thing but those of us with life experience know you will do as you please and the costs will be the same anyway. I'd prefer an independent organization handling this as the level of corruption at Hastings council is obvious and none of you are trustworthy.
248	Shaun	Penprase	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
249	Rose	Artemiev	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>Evidence of how meaningful community stewardship at ward-level and authentic partnership with manawhenua will be achieved is important to make this decision. Without it, I cannot support the Council's preferred option.</p> <p>While I am open to Option 1 (a regional CCO), information on how the Directors will ensure that local community have meaningful say in setting strategic objectives for water in their ward needs to be provided before I support this option. This includes opportunities for each ward to actively set (on a periodic basis and within legislation), the level of service provided or extra services or management approaches that their ward needs to feel that water management reflects their own unique aspirations. This includes ensuring kaitiakitanga responsibilities are met to satisfaction of local manawhenua within each ward.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
250	Marcus	Smith	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>I am submitting in opposition to Option 1, and my preference is based on longstanding concerns about governance and collaboration between the Hastings District Council (HDC) and the Hawke's Bay Regional Council (HBRC).</p> <p>Over many years, I have observed poor coordination and accountability between these two councils, particularly in relation to water management. The mismanagement of the Havelock North streams and dams is a key example that has eroded my confidence in the current model and fuels my concern about future regional entities.</p> <p>While the idea of creating a new regional Council-Controlled Organisation (CCO) may seem like a pathway to improved efficiency, I fear it will replicate the failures of the bureaucratic disconnect and operational failures we've seen between HDC and HBRC. Creating yet another layer of governance risks diffusing responsibility further, which could make it even harder for the community to have a say or hold anyone accountable when things go wrong.</p> <p>Instead, I would prefer a solution that strengthens local oversight, improves council collaboration, and ensures transparency and accountability in water management, without adding more distance between decision-makers and the communities they serve.</p> <p>Final point: I feel the way the information has been presented carries significant bias toward the option you would like us to choose, namely, Option 1. This is not an ideal or balanced way to present such an important decision to the public.</p>
251	Kate	Peterson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
252	David	Whyte	Rural	Maraekakaho	No	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
253	David	Graham	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		The Watercare Model in Auckland has in recent times seen the Watercare Balance sheet separated from the Council, which has allowed it to operate financially independent of the Councils debt ceiling. This has allowed the CCO greater scope to borrow to implement new projects. I think that this has to happen for the proposed Option 1 as well if required new infrastructure is to be built in a timely fashion.
254	Graham	Marchant	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		I strongly believe that water use should be metered, whatever scenario is favoured. The current system encourages waste and inefficiency, and hence leads to inequity of access across communities. This must change.
255	Hannah	Poole	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Option 1 seems like the most cost effective option and the most future proofed. However, should the other councils not agree to the plan that option 2 should be adopted.
256	Grant	Edmond	Rural	Bridge Pa	No	No	Yes		
257	Peter	Richardson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
258	Andrew	Taylor	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
259	Abbie	Pawson	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		The way all information is written shows no positive outcomes for other options it's a very one sided argument, yes council has its preferred option but I feel lack of information pros/cons is not good
260	John	O'Sullivan	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Makes logical sense for a regional CCO to be established, as this prevents duplication of costs particularly for the smaller local bodies such as CHB and Wairoa. Also, it would make logical sense for this CCO organisation to be located in Heretaunga Hastings as this is the most central location for the wider Heretaunga plains. I will speak to this, only if required.
261	Karen	Smith	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		I picked this option because it is the cheapest option but also keeps water assets owned by the public. I do not want our water to go into private hands.
262	Warren	Perry	Rural	Clive	Yes	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
263	Kevin	Longman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
264	Barbara	CRESTANI	Rural	Havelock North - Hawkes Bay	No	Yes	Yes		
265	Viv	Smith	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
266	Warwick	Thomson	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		<p>Water will be paid for separately; OK. And, it will cost considerably more, we understand. We already pay for water in our rates and get a water bill based on the volume that goes through our meter.</p> <p>The documentation needs to be clearer about what the projected TOTAL NET PAYMENTS increase will be to households, for HDC, HBRC and the Water COO combined. All entities are projecting increases over the coming years. A few model examples could be presented. Ratepayers need for credible numbers that can be planned against is really important and surely a duty of local government to provide.</p> <p>Running the region's water economy needs also to ask the question: are commercial off-takers paying enough for their water services?</p>
267	Gary	Lockyer	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
268	Shona	McCutcheon	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
269	Christine	Buist	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
270	Andrea	Taaffe	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
271	Stephen	Goodman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
272	Adele	Fitzgerald	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
273	Jean	Holt	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>1. As I walk the streets of Havelock North, never a week goes by when I don't see evidence of water soaked verges or water flowing down gutters. This suggests that the underground infrastructure is beyond its economic life. This is a serious waste of a finite resource. No doubt the plan is to install individual meters to each property in due course, which I don't disagree with. However, the cost of this will no doubt run into \$millions. Before spending money on individual meters, the priority for expenditure should be to update the underground infrastructure to mitigate water wastage.</p> <p>2. Option 1 will substantially increase household paperwork as three organisations - HDC, HBRC and the new water authority will all be invoicing separately. It would be great if the two regional organisations took a 'one bill' approach and used technology in the background to apportion the funds collected between HBRC and the new water authority,</p>
274	Maryanne	HEADS	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Are you going to monitor each household to see what their water consumption is and then charge them If this is being considered I am not in favour of this.
275	Moir	Gillespie	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
276	Jim	Stewart	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
277	Robert	Mellor	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>The least worst option as all three show we will still have shocking high increases in water rates.</p> <p>We need to give homeowners the ability to mitigate their bills so when are we going to see water meters on all premises?</p> <p>I am sure they will mitigate consumption and potentially reduce the amount of investment needed in new treatment facilities. Then have been proven to work in other countries, so why not here?</p> <p>The profligate pay and the single occupancy homes get relief.</p> <p>Please do it now!</p>
278	Matthew	Goodin	Town	Haumoana	No	Yes	Yes		
279	Jane	Downey	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
280	Scott	Campbell	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
281	Barry	Atkinson	Rural	Bridge Pa	No	No	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
282	Antjedine	Borchers	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
283	Trevor	Howes	Rural	Waimarama	No	Yes	Yes		<p>Thank you,</p> <p>This proposal is the simplest, smartest and best long-term option with some ryders.</p> <p>I think as part of the change and to continuing to keep individual rates in check water metres need to be common. Currently I pay an inordinate bill for water Vs my usage as a single owner/occupier for a water that's so full of chemical my washing smells and I need to filter for drinking.</p> <p>With growing building I believe smart and simple septic systems need to increase to decrease the amount of sewage to be treated and released into the environment and a simple home based grey water and roof water storage be devised/encouraged/supported and enacted.</p> <p>The biggest key is the governance selection. It must be the very best, smartest and knowledge/service based not race nor region based.</p> <p>Cheers,</p> <p>Trevor Howes.</p>
284	Christine	Gray	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
285	Helen	McLintock	Rural	RD 12	Yes	Yes	Yes		
286	Leonard	Poon	Town	Frimley, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Makes sense for the local councils to pool their resources - reduction of resource duplication alone will make administration more efficient.
287	sam	holloway	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
288	Julie	Sturch	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
289	Chris	King	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	No		Free water but you won't take notice you will only do what you want as ego will get in the way . Price will creap up like others. Is their any future for the younger generation

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
290	Ross	Derbidge	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>Firstly I think there should be a referendum combined with the upcoming council elections because councils have shown to be a bit irresponsible with ratepayers money.</p> <p>I see no point in getting involved with other entities and the associated costs, we have a perfectly good aquifer with enough water for our needs for many years.</p> <p>I do not see any reason for Maori entities to be involved with this process it just makes it more expensive and involves years of legal expenses</p>
291	Simon	McHardy	Town	5 Plumtree Lane, Clive	Yes	No	Yes		
292	Izabela	Jones	Rural	Hastings	No	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
293	Steffi	Bourke	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
294	Louise	Booth	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		It is not clear from your summary whether as residents we would now have to pay for water. That is the bigger question from me. How much does it cost me. It may cost me more with option 1 but I don't know
295	Rachel	Garnham	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
296	Chris	Bain	Town	Hastings - Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>That the board:</p> <p>is selected for its business acumen and technical expertise</p> <p>is left alone to carry out its functions providing it consistently meets predetermined performance standards</p> <p>is completely neutral i.e. it has no political presence or representation by any of the 4 councils it serves</p> <p>must ensure that there is no material cross subsidisation of costs - capital and resources employed specifically for one area/council to be allocated fully to the ratepayers served by that council</p>
297	Jonathan	Hensman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
298	James	Graham	Rural	Te Hauke - Poukawa	No	No	Yes		
299	Bernardine	Fugle	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		I have to go with the cheapest option - no option!

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
300	Kim	Henderson	Rural	Tuki Tuki	No	No	Yes		<p>Please consider that each region/city as in Hastings, CHB, Napier, and Wairoa have equal number of representatives from each region. Expenditure benefits all regions.</p> <p>No Māori wards involved. Just qualified experienced logical people with water expertise.</p> <p>Involve New Zealand companies to provide infrastructure requirements.</p> <p>Rainwater harvesting is a significant benefit with minimal costs related.</p>
301	Maree	Houghton	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
302	grant	petherick	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
303	Bruce	YANKO	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Costs of setting up a new organization and transferring assets will be substantial.</p> <p>The last thing we need is more bureaucracy in local government. It can lead to disagreements between parties and nothing getting done for a long time.</p> <p>It will make the councils balance sheet look better but, in my opinion, won't solve the problem, just shift it to another organization and we lose ownership of assets and control of costs and charges to ratepayers.</p> <p>I cannot understand why our water has not been metered and costs charged according to ratepayer usage which is a much fairer option than those proposed. There is an initial cost of installing water meters but from then on, the charges to ratepayers will be in proportion to usage rather than shared equally.</p> <p>If one of the other options 1 or 2 are chosen, I hope that meters are installed so that water charges to ratepayers are based on actual usage which is a much fairer way of allocating our water rates.</p>
304	Steve	Anderson	Town	Havelock North, New Zealand	No	Don't know	Yes		
305	Peter	Libby	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
306	Rachael	Bradley	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Where is option 4 - using an existing entity, HBRC?</p> <p>Creating yet another entity for a public service creates even higher expenses than already being wasted by the councils.</p>
307	Daniel	Haddock	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
308	Kristie	Martin	Town	Mahora, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
309	claire	O'CONNOR-BRYANT	Rural	eskdale	No	Yes	Yes		the water quality in my area is so bad all households have to have installed lime filtration systems at over \$4000 each. The water quality has worsened since cyclone gabrielle and stopped a new dishwasher at my house working correctly after only 6 weeks of usage, all dishes are coated in limescale yet i pay \$700 per year for water and Im on a metered supply. I also had to pay \$11k for installation. Its evident HDC hasnt been managing this supply correctly and the water is not safe for purpose so letting other councils manage it will be a better solution.
310	Robert	Lind	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
311	Michael	Fulford	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
312	Mike	Towns	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
313	Naama	Sela	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
314	Simon	Shaw	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Sounds like Council may have already made up its collective mind but.... Joining other councils exposes ratepayers to unsolved problems and unforeseen events in other areas (eg CHB needing a huge amount of work on water). Much better to keep the organisation local. For goodness sake put water meters in so that properties can pay for the water they actually use and leaks can be detected much more readily.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
315	Terry	OConnor	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	No		<p>Combining all councils including Regional Council is the only thing that makes sense as the water is either taken from groundwater or rivers and streams which are under the auspices of the Regional Council. Storm water problems are also mainly related to rivers and streams under the control of the Regional Council. Forming a seperate water CCO is also a problem as it puts the people paying at arm length from those running things and they will almost certainly put up their charges way above those in the model. Also note that lwi are given a privileged position - they are treated differently to the other ratepayers who fund the bulk of the three waters.</p> <p>Council should be looking to create a fail safe resilient system and to stop the uncontrolled growth that is overloading all three water systems. The drinking/tase water pipes that were put in 80 or 100 years ago are not up to catering for increased growth, nor are the waste and stormwater systems which are now designed for catastofic failure -ie the waste and storm water default is to overflow into streams etc while fresh water is rationed</p> <p>or cut of. We need a fail safe system which can only be provided by each property having their own rainwater tanks and modern filter and UV system. Waste should use composting toilets. With both these systems in place the system should cope with the stormwater as long as the Regional Council puts in retention dams and recreates many of the swamps we have drained over the last 150 or so years. Technology has changed significantly so stand along systems make sense and we can get away from the reticulated systems that put us at risk due to the failure to do regular maintenance and also are fail safe in the major earthquakes we are overdue for.</p> <p>If you really want to get value for money give us one council for the whole area so we don't have so many councillors or council officers w ho often are doing overlapping jobs or even the same job.</p>
316	Richard	Kale	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
317	Elaine	Prakash	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
318	Janet	Harris	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
319	Roland	McKay	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
320	Vindhai	Sekar	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
321	Garrick	Webb	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
322	Neville	Bradshaw	Rural	Bay View	No	No	Yes		As we are not connected to any council supplies we wont be effected by your new systems and charges. I support council proposal only if cheaper for affected residents.
323	Linda	Rosvall	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
324	ROGER	NELSON	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
325	Petro	Nel	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
326	Anthony	Corp	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		As long as it is kept out of a RACE based system, we are New Zealanders and all things are equally shared!!!!
327	Ian & Dorothy	Nilsson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
328	Jill	Callaghan	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
329	Diana	Jennings	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
330	Geoff	Duthie	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		I would hope that Council avoid any collaboration with Iwi or mana whenua.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
331	Ronald	Stead	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
332	Peter	Halstead	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Needs to be a full disclosure of each councils needs for there water infrastructure to be at top level.
333	Christine	Kershaw	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		I go along with it being the most cost-effective and future-proofed option.
334	Paul	Waite	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
335	Nicky	Manning	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
336	ben	van hooijdonk	Rural	Havelock north	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
337	Sandi	Peet	Rural	Waiohiki	No	No	Yes		
338	Stuart	Tustin	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
339	Jo	Browne	Rural	Eskdale	No	No	Yes		
340	Michael Kamal	Singh	Town	Mayfair	Don't know	Don't know	Yes		Like we have a different choice? We all will end up paying for water. Tbh, humanity doomed us, so I guess it is only natural this to happen. We don't want to look after our planet, then we die with the consequences.
341	Gail	Hussey	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Not only do we need a regional approach to water we need one council. Napier and Hastings need to amalgamate
342	Runiti	Paea	Town	Auckland	Yes	Yes	Yes		Option one makes the most sense and will benefit the community in the long run...let's fix the existing pipes or check how damaged they are.....
343	Alida	Donk	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
344	David	Trow	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Remember the Hastings District Council is there for the good of the residents of Hastings. Councilors need to park their perpersonal egos and do what is good for us, the rate paying residents of Hastings.
345	Ian	Hill	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		The most obvious and effective way is Option One
346	Juliet	Price	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
347	Sue	Urquhart	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/22/05/25/8.47am
348	C H	Scott	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/22/05/25/8.49am
349	Helma	van den Berg	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/22/05/25/8.52am There is huge advantage & efficiency in the larger East Coast CCO. We all experienced Cyclone Gabrielle & this is the obvious next step to future proof our waters.
350	Elham	Salari	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
351	David	Mackenzie	Rural	Sherenden	No	No	Yes		
352	Bill	Thomson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
353	Natalie	Power	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
354	Eric	Hou	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
355	Phillip	MacDonald	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Given the amount we already pay for water rates surely this can be done without additional cost to ratepayers.</p> <p>Check out the Governments Regulatory Regulations Bill and look for where costs can be reduced/cut - ratepayers are not a bottomless pit for funding Councillors whims and feel good plans and schemes.</p> <p>NO CO GOVERNANCE IN ANY ASPECTS OF THIS NEW WATER SYSTEM!!!!</p>
356	Julie-Anne	MacPhee	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I only agree with this if this option is going to be indeed, more cost-effective. The rates in this region, especially for people in Havelock North are already beyond affordable. There should be no additional cost to rate payers - meaning we should see the district rates come down if another bill is to appear.</p>
357	Martin	Peet	Rural	Waiohiki	No	No	Yes		
358	Neil	Sutherland	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Option 3 would give greater control of what is a complex facility.</p> <p>Transparency will get out of control with too many different entities.</p> <p>I believe a local dedicated water service department will give best results.</p> <p>WGTM is a great example of out of control.</p>
359	Karen	Beirne	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>While having a joint CCO is the preferred option my reservation with this approach is that Councils will need to be very aware that charges made by the CCO are in addition to rates charged by Council and regional rates. It will be important to manage this carefully going forward so that each entity does not just increase their borrowings, but that increases are coordinated and considered across all the entities with the consumer/rate payer at the forefront of decision making</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
360	Junior	Tuakana	Town	suburb	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
361	Sylvie	Morton	Town	St Leonard's	Yes	Yes	Yes		
362	Arild	Roberts	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
363	Zoe	Pottinger Roberts	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
364	Adam	Lang	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
365	Yvonne	Maxwell	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
366	Ian	Turnbull	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Our water services are superior to the other 3 councils, who would take precedence in initial upgrades. Napier, with its deferred upgrades, must be rubbing it mitts with glee.</p> <p>Another set of board members is a needless expense. Surely Council's recent upgrades has given staff sufficient experience to continue required improvements.</p> <p>We ratepayers, especially retired & on minimal incomes, have been unfairly hit with massive rate increases. Mine increased from \$2836 in 2017 to current 2024 \$5216. Council should be practicing zero budgeting & restrict increases to the rate of inflation plus a Gabrielle charge.</p> <p>It is plain to see why Council wants Option 1 - to continue borrowing until we are broke. Sticking to Option 3 will put the brakes on.</p> <p>Stupid spending on the likes of paying students to attend meetings shows the calibre of some of your Councillors - DUMB!!!!!!!!!!!!</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
367	Philip	Morton	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
368	Penny	AndersEn	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Kia ora,</p> <p>It is always important for community to be included in decisions such as these so thank you.</p> <p>I feel that collaboration and transparency are both equally important when it comes to these 'key' infrastructure matters as they affect us all!</p> <p>Nga mihi</p>
369	Trevor	Doig	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
370	Erin	Alfeche	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
371	Judith	McKinnon	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
372	Marius	van Niekerk	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
373	Hamish	Whitworth	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
374	Bianca	Palmers	Town	Northwood, Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
375	Blair	James	Town	Auckland	Don't know	Don't know	Yes		consolidation makes sense in this instance provided there is enough scope for us members of the public to determine who sits on the board or controlling panel of this consolidated authority to ensure our interests are best served and protected.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
376	Jon	Kerry-Tyerman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
377	Te Ara	Bergstrom	Town	flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
378	Morag	Black	Town	Havelock Nth	Yes	Yes	Yes		
379	Adriaan	Willem	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
380	Catherine	Taylor	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
381	Adrian	Jenkins	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
382	Gloria	Astridge	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
383	Graham	Riach	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
384	Brigid	Hutchins	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
385	Peter	Gray	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
386	Rosemary	Gill	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
387	Rhonda	Blank	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
388	Roger	Mole	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
389	Donna	Blank	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
390	P C	Kesby	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
391	David	Price	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am
392	Phillipa	Story	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am I think that services like waste management, water, power grid etc should be co-ordinated at a regional level
393	P & J	McCelland	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am Our only concern is if the other councils don't want to join the Regional CCO Napier hasn't got a good track record for wanting to work with the other Hawkes Bay Councils
394	Richard	Jarman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am\ This council needs to stay in it's lane & stop funding periphery money wasting projects & schemes. Water needs to remain local!
395	Margaret	Wood	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am Get right the first time - amalgamate resources
396	Brian	Hobson	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am We do not want to pay more money than we already do on RATES!!
397	Ross	Emglish	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/23/5/25/8.30am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
398	Sylvia	Prins	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>The Council has for years stupefied the inhabitants of Hastings and Havelock North by adding Fluoride in the water.</p> <p>Despite all the protest you did not listen and carried on mass medicating us.</p> <p>In 2015 NZ Miracle water business was opening Elwood Road by mayor Lawrence Yule. That water is export to Asia and the Middle East. The water comes from the same aquifer as Our drinking water. How much did we profit did we got out of that deal?? We will end up with less when the aquifer is very low.</p> <p>How many consultants do you have employed in the water works?</p> <p>Is that not waste of money if you ask the inhabitants of Havelock North and Hastings for advice.</p>
399	Allison	Stevenson	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
400	Geoff	Mentzer	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
401	Janet	Heinz	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
402	Graham	Palmer	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
403	Isabella	Wakefield	Town	Mayfair, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I would strongly suggest that the future use of home storage water facilities be at least considered. To continue as at present, coupled with the increasing population, will put a tremendous strain on the aquifer or worse. It might be inadequate for supply.</p> <p>Water tanks, where practical, could be utilised and this water supply used for cleaning and watering gardens. Currently so much of this precious commodity is wasted and washed down drains.</p> <p>I am aware that many would deride this suggestion but I can only urge you to at least consider it carefully. We must be mindful of future generations as well as of ourselves.Perhaps those with tanks could be given a reduction in costs. Even a small one would incite some to greater efforts.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity to express my thoughts. I wish you well in your endeavours.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Isabella J Wakefield</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
404	Debbie	Simmiss	Rural	havelock north	No	Yes	Yes		<p>there was a point made that money could be borrowed for other things other than just water related, if Option one was the preferred option.</p> <p>I do not like the idea of a council being able to borrow money for other things other than what this option is related to, so that aspect of this option is a worry.</p> <p>It is hard enough with the rates going up by 80% because the council put the land values up, obviously to increase the rates take, to cover debt for things that maybe should not have been carried out.</p> <p>I think all councilors need to be mindful about their pet projects that they think should be done, and if this option is about water, then all expenditure should be towards that and no other things and not increasing the debts.</p> <p>is the new CCO board selected from the four councils or is this a standalone board of qualified elected people who can relate to the industry, instead of having people on the board who have no idea about the requirements to do with water reticulation, wastewater, and stormwater, thus avoiding the expense of consultants all the time, and increasing council debt.</p>
405	Paul	McCartney	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		While there may be some short-term pain under this option setting and agreeing priorities across the region, long term it is the best option
406	Matt	Broderick	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>The decision appears from the explanation, a no brainer and is what I expect elected Councillors to consider and decide as part of their remit.</p> <p>I do not consider separate consultation with Mana Whenua is required. Since they are already ratepayers and voters, they have their say in these matters through this mechanism the same as everyone else. The unnecessary segregation of rights based on genetics adds additional bureaucracy and therefore costs to this already escalating facility. Remove them and save us all some money.</p>
407	Susan & Greg	Brown	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
408	Linda	Hogan	Rural	Pakowhai	No	No	No		
409	Shiu Theng	Kan	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
410	Dean	Prebble	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		clearly the most cost effective and ensures one united team is working on water
411	Nick	Stewart	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		I prefer option 1 - but I there would need to be some details on what the water charges are within in each area given that some councils have already invested heavily into water infrastructure - others not so To socialise the substantial costs onto the those that have invested, rather than those that have not, is unacceptable.
412	Wallace	Wade	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
413	Susan	Phillips	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
414	Kate	Vautier	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
415	Bruce	Arnold	Town	Hangings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
416	Vivienne	Waterer	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
417	Jay	McGettigan	Town	Marewa	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Please catch up to the rest of the world.</p> <p>One controlling body per region for all water AND waste water.</p> <p>Start metering water so the user pays for what they use.</p> <p>Water is one of the most crucial resources, we shouldn't be spending all that money to treat it for us to have as much as we need and waste it when ever we want.</p> <p>FYI, the state of our water quality is atrocious now, again make us pay for it and let's have some of the best water and drinking standards in the world.</p> <p>This is coming from a certified plumber who has moved back from Australia where they have the same proposed system.</p>
418	Michael	Krekeler	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>The risk of shared council governance is that decisions are not succinct and local politics influence decision making. The new process should have clear performance criteria and asset management systems to compare with other, similar regions to ensure best value for money in a sustainable progress.</p>
419	Jason	Mairs	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>It will never be less expensive to invest in water delivery and disposal systems than it is today, Delay = higher cost. We need to be part of a team approach to delivering key services to the community. No one likes paying more, but its reality. The priority is value for money. I would like to see water rates payable by the occupier of properties ie renters paying too. We all get to benefit from services and all users should pay not only homeowners. Thank You.</p>
420	Nicola	MCPHERSON	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Option 1 is well thought out & sustainable</p>
421	Nico	Verburg	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
422	P	Bock	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		I think it will be fair if each household should have a meter and pay their share. A family of 10 will use different amount from a pensioner who lives alone. I also think that there will be people who like to waste water and some others who are clever with saving. We should reward good behaviour.
423	Martyn	Rolls	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
424	Lorraine	Midgley	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
425	alastair	morrison	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Much work has been done over a long time around the preferred option. It provides the critical mass and borrowing power to get traction on overdue water infrastructure. Public confidence in the system will hinge on appointing the engineering and economic skill base and experience needed at the governance level to be successful. Councils should have alongside them in the appointment process someone from outside the region with professional mana to provide an independent view. Setting the goals for the entity and holding it to account is the councils' responsibility. How it sets up a system to do that will be critical and may mean drawing on professional expertise in a formal advisory capacity to support elected and executive members.
426	Val	Mitchell	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
427	Warren	Keat	Town	mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		it is the cheapest option to ratepayers overall. even if it is just shared with napier council, it would probably still be cheaper overall
428	Wendy	Jablonski	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		While we have to prepare for the future, we must also be aware of increasing costs to rate payers. Increasing rates by large amounts per year is not sustainable for families trying to pay bills and retirees who also are trying to pay bills.
429	Anthony	Jablonski	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		The cost to rate payers has to be the focus moving forward considering rapid rate rises since Gabriel.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
430	Angela	Hunt	Rural	Whakatu, Hastings	Yes	No	Yes		
431	Christine	Romanes	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
432	Chris	Stretton	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
433	Megan	McBride	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Option 1 definitely looks to be the best long term approach. It would be a positive move for all relevant councils to work collaboratively to achieve safe drinking water and better environmental outcomes for both wastewater and storm-water across our whole region.</p> <p>I hope mana whenua will be fully included in any approach regarding the provision of efficient, sustainable, and accountable water services. Healthy waterways are essential to the healthy lives of people, fauna and flora. We are all kaitiaki of our environment and owe it to future generations to be the best kaitiaki we can be.</p> <p>And, we need to keep in all infrastructure and delivery of our three waters in Public Ownership - forever.</p> <p>Thank you Hastings District council for the opportunity to participate in this community consultation process.</p>
434	Steven	Gorst	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I reckon this option is the best one for all the communities across Hawke's Bay, not just because it's cost-effective but having a bigger team from across the region means more opinions, more accurate decision making, be able to all work together to get the job done.</p> <p>Also sounds like it will create more jobs too.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
435	Justin	Hinchco	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	No		My preference would be for it to stay how it is. We accept your restrictions for 3 months of the year and you do what you do with what you already receive. If you change to a new model and expect us to abide by restrictions without a credit then the constituents deserve compensation which I don't think any of the councils can afford but as you want to got to user pays then you shouldn't be able to restrict supply - considering you all would rather spend our money on vanity projects than proper robust infrastructure.
436	Blair	Kyle	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	HDC water infrastructure is far better than Napier or CHB water infrastructure bigger is not always better why should I be paying for Napier's and CHB water infrastructure councils are known for not been very financially responsible with ratepayers money and cost overruns and over use of consultants if this was a regional delivered service the cost overruns would be huge and those in charge would be able too escape overruns by saying it was other councils infrastructure that needed more funding The main QUESTION THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED IS GETTING BACK TO THE BASICS AND STOP WASTING MONEY ON OPERA HOUSES AND ART SCULPTURES RATEPAYERS ARE NOT A BOTTOM LESS MONEY POT ITS TIME THIS COUNCIL STARTED DELIVERING WHAT IT WAS ELECTED TO DO OR STAND DOWN
437	Christophe r	Smith	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
438	Robin	Wilson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
439	Stephen	Wilson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
440	Tony	Hopton	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		
441	Bruce	Conaghan	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Optimally there would be a period where the asset improvements are accelerated as appears to be indicated for the first five years of the cost to ratepayer and residents but the cost should be constant (other than allowing for inflation) thereafter.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
442	Emily	Childs	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
443	Brogan	Corlett	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
444	David	Allen	Rural	Clive	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
445	Wendy	Wallace	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
446	Peter	McLean	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
447	Beverley	Maney	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
448	Alison	McMinn	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am Council needs to be transparent with costs. Please explain why the cost will rise by \$400 per year (\$1400 to \$1800) in Year One then \$200 per year thereafter? Why such a big increase from 24/25 year to 25/26 year
449	Murray	Smith	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
450	Marlene	Wallace	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
451	Brin	Haward	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
452	Jeffrey	Stephenson	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
453	Michael	Sharpe	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
454	J	Webby	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
455	Nigel	Janes	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
456	Mark	Yeatts	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
457	Gary	Beale	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
458	James	Webster	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
459	Michael	Newman	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
460	Gabriel	Byrmand	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am
461	Rubina	Hepi	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am Take out the rocks and gravel in our major rivers e.g. TukiTuki so it can hold more water within its banks. Shouldve gone with 3 waters.
462	Kay	Robinson	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am Housing New Zealand property. Hoping this is right as only rent the place. Hastings it should stay on rates as we pay enough for our power account that's extra money bill - we still need water of course

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
463	Tira	Denton	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/VM/26/5/25/8.30am I think 1 is best option because some rivers and aquifers start in Central HB and travel through to Hastings e.g. Tukituki
464	Steven	Nichols	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
465	Lynda	Jones	Town	Havelock North, New Zealand	Yes	Yes	Yes		
466	Doug	Davis	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
467	Ann Elizabeth Bennett	Redstone	Town	Haumoana, Hastings	No	Yes	Yes		Having a Regional CCCO will mean better purchasing power which will help to keep water rates down. A Regional CCCO also means operations will be locally driven and I think that's vital in case of issues such as leaks or broken pipes. That will also mean easier co-ordination of services to new developments.
468	Trevor	Mitchell	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hastings Council, supported by the rate payers, has been proactive in the upgrading of utilities for many years. The other HB councils have done little to nothing. The other councils chose not to amalgamate with Hastings when the opportunity arose. The Regional Council unified model doesn't work as demonstrated with their emergency response to Cyclone Gabrielle. In Option 1, the other council underinvestment will take up all the resources of a new single entity and Hastings will be left out. The argument the "Standards may change" isn't a valid reason to go to Option 1. Hastings Council has great people, keep using them.
469	Kirstin	Tait	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
470	Jo	Helson	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
471	Derek	Quilliam	Town	Clive	Yes	No	Yes		
472	Catherine	Blank	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		I want Hastings to be NON-Fluoridated like Napier - If not - would be an unfair merger!
473	Jill	Greig	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
474	David	Craven	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rates are going up sustainably plus the water is going to go up sustainably. My benefit is not going up, where is the money going to come from
475	No	Name	Town	Frimley	Yes	Don't know	Yes		
476	Brendan	Potgieter	Town	Mahora	Don't know	Don't know	Yes		
477	Monty	Arnott	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
478	Rebecca	Lessels	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	How can five different councils agree to changes/issues - each area has unique conditions.... It's not all about borrowing money - the rates have increased dramatically And I heard it from a council representative that they needed to spend money before the end of the financial year- so why do we not transfer the surpluses on to the next year Please please please have individuals on the governing board that quality with experience - not the colour or tribe or affiliation they have
479	Brett	Holleron	Town	Maraetai Auckland 2018	Yes	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
480	John	TREVOR	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		We Support this Decision... Thanks
481	Sandra	Jessop	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		I do think that it's important that small rural communities are not left behind in this process and they have access to a potable water supply and also have access to water for fire fighting. I hope this option is the successful one as it is way past time that the 5 councils work together and also look seriously at amalgamation. Imagine what a power force a regional tourism organisation would be.
482	Samantha	Whitton	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
483	Carla	Crosbie	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
484	Mathew	Arcus	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
485	Annika	Funnell	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28/05/2025/8:30AM- Please remove fluoride from the water. I do not consent to being medicated through my water supply. My child and I are sensitive to fluoride causing skin issues. It is expensive to filter out, costing us \$100 per month. While the fluoride free tap in town is helpful it does not help those who have issues with topical application. Option One is the lesser of three evils as rates are already astronomical! The cost of living is already high, paying higher rates and then water costs on top of that is unsustainable.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
486	Bruce	Kellett	Town	Camberly	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28/05/2025/8:41AM Why does the cost peak in 34/35. Will the water bottling plant in Elwood Road be charged for water. Will people in council pensioner flats have to pay water rates on top of their rent which goes up by at least 5% per year already.
487	Peter Frederick	Ashley	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
488	Sally	Southon	Town	Camberley Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
489	Ross & Maureen	Culver	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/JA/28/05/2025/8:52AM You have not got our Water Supply sorted from 50 years ago. I do not believe you can sort this GOVT SCAM.
490	Jaeden	Minogue-Russell	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28/05/2025/8:57AM Hawkes Bay Together.
491	Beryl	Oliver	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/8:59AM Don't want the debt from other councils. Our water services have been updated, why should we pay for other councils to upgrade theres.
492	Sheila	Cameron	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:04AM A regional organization seems the most sensible way to go. Water is a universal human right. However I am concerned about water rates being introduced for people who cannot afford them.
493	Brian	Wilkinson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
494	Valetta	Rigby	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:10 AM

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
495	Stephen	Armon	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:12 AM While financial arguments always favor centralization, in practice they often do not produce better outcomes. Witness polytechs, school lunches, vaccine roll- out etc. I trust the HDC more to provide the best water services for Hastings area than I trust a new body representing all current HB councils to do the best for everybody.
496	Nigel Barrie	Church	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:29 AM
497	Diane	Smith	Town	Raureka Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:32 AM
498	Haura	Wakefield	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/9:34AM Not Really
499	S	Rosvall	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
500	Denise	Ohlen	Rural	Waiohiki	No	No	Yes		
501	Andrew	Leoperance	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
502	Eunice M	August-Smith	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/JA/9:48 AM/ 28-05-2025 Hastings takes care of Hastings
503	Heather	Gibbs	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
504	WJ & RP	Lovejoy	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
505	Thomas	Boyle	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
506	Mrs J.M	Calder	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
507	Jenny	No lastname	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
508	Roger	Fannin	Town	Raureka	Yes	Don't know	Yes		I broadly support the intended Option 1 which I consider to be the best outcome financially for Hastings city. However my support is only on the basis that the independent board consists of democratically elected representatives of the community it serves. I do not support the appointment of unelected board members on the basis of their race.
509	Cameron & Nicole	Rose	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/10:17 AM The councils need ensure performance of the board of the CCO are achieved.
510	Alistair & Erena	Fussell	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		
511	Jan	Sinclair	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
512	Jeremy	Verdein	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
513	Anne	Montgomery	Town	Did not provide	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:26 AM Just seems like the council are getting rid of a problem that has been poorly managed in the past. Landing the rate payers will get another wages bill for a multitude of people.
514	Lorraine	Petrowski	Town	Flaxmere Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/10:30 AM Option 1 seems to be a much better idea. Thanks for all the hard work you guys do.
515	No	Name	Town	Camberley	Yes	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:34 AM/ Name not legible

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
516	Barbara & Michael	Wordsworth	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:36 AM
517	Beverly	Fullerton-Smith	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:37 AM Please note I would like the level of chlorine to be reduced in the domestic water supply. When are water services, infrastructure likely to be improved in Knight Street area.
518	Gillian	Kommeren	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/10:40 AM
519	Paul	Newrick	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/10:41 AM Thank you for the opportunity to comment
520	Henare	Okeefe	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Don't know	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/10:53 AM (no answer given for drinking water) Councils working together, share the costs. Households pay \$400 less by 2034
521	Hoskin	no other name given	Town	Frimley 4120	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:57 AM
522	N S	Clements	Town	Parkvale	No	Yes	Yes		hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 10:58 AM
523	Bill	last name not legible	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	hardcopy/JA/28-05-2025/ 11:00 AM Option 1 involves the establishments of a huge bureaucracy- which by its very nature will be both costly & inefficient- Wellington & Auckland. Keep it local.
524	Helen	Grainer	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
525	Chris	Johnson	Town	Havelock North 4130	Yes	Yes	Yes		Option one appears the most logical and cost effective type of organization. Good outline of options HDC
526	felicity	melville	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
527	Moir	Lindsay	Rural	Hastings	No	Yes	Yes		
528	Tom	Wilson	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
529	emma	burnip	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
530	Michael	Whelan	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
531	Emily	Pedersen	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
532	Richard	Smith	Rural	Eskdale	No	No	Yes		
533	Paul	Dippie	Rural	Rural Residential	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>I know full well that you will not get rid of a single position in HDC with a new authority. So we will end up paying twice. Water and waste water is your core activity, stop doing all the other wasteful crap (\$100m and counting....), and concentrate on the core. Roading and water. I'm an engineer myself, and it's not rocket science. Employ a few engineers for a start..</p> <p>Also, how can we not see this as a stalking horse for racial ownership of water? With a stroke of some unaccountable judges pen, the whole lot would finish up as a re-heated 3 waters.</p> <p>Carry on down this track, and the pitch forks and tumbrils will be out, mark my words.</p>
534	Philippa	Reston	Rural	TWYFORD	No	No	Yes		Doesn't really effect me as I don't have any services at my property
535	Alex	Ridley-Savage	Rural	Tutira	No	No	Yes		<p>Keep costs low and combined infrastructure makes sense.</p> <p>Contractors should have to price jobs at a fair and reasonable market rate and not be doubling or tripling their prices for council.</p>
536	Bridget	McClure	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	I don't believe the councils would work well together and I would prefer Hastings to look after its own through a CCO

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
537	Bevan	Jenkins	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
538	Steve	Field	Rural	Sherenden	No	No	Yes		I would also like to say that we need to stop these Chinese water companies taking our water overseas. Especially at the low cost we charge them. We should not be exporting water or allowing our water to be taken like this.
539	Dayna	Joblin	Rural	Haumoana	No	Yes	Yes		
540	Jean	Te Ngaio	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
541	No	Name	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
542	Cameron	Hislop	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
543	R	Finnimore	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
544	S.B	Stables	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am I am hoping my water rate \$700 per year will be deducted from my rates - as you have said
545	Margaret Joyce	Paton	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am The most economic option is required
546	Rose	Stobie	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
547	Ken	Hutchinson	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
548	Deborah	Walsh	Town	Hastings central	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am Easy to understand information thank you
549	Rachel Atarangi	Gillies	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
550	Lorraine	Wilton-Jones	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
551	Pauline	Thompson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
552	Neil and Caroline	Fergus	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
553	Noel and Pauline	McMillan	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
554	Kerry	Olsen	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
555	Rob	Macphail	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Agree with council's choice of option 1
556	Melanie	Oughton	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
557	Morgan	.	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
558	Ingrid	Coles	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
559	Richard	Beck	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
560	Janet	Wheeler	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am
561	Arnold Alfred	Lincoln	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am With the rise in rates why arnt the Chinese and other Water Bottling plants helping to pay?
562	Marilyn D	White	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 29/05/25 8:12am Increase in Regional and Hastings rates. Means our Regional rates increase more and Hastings rates wont. Is the water from wells that is being sold overseas mainly China. Are they going to pay also.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
563	Peter	Cowley	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		live rurally but own a commercial premises in Hastings. Option 1 makes so much sense for the region.
564	Jack	Faxon Jr	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I like the option I've joined the councils to make a super council of sorts.</p> <p>This seems financially responsible and working together. We can probably get better results.</p> <p>However, I would also recommend to stop allowing companies to bottle our water and send it overseas or even here in New Zealand</p> <p>Our water is our most precious resource and we treat it like it doesn't mean anything to us. We sell it to line people's pockets. This has got to be the dumbest thing I've ever heard in my entire life. I'm only 43 but I've come a lot across a lot of dumb stuff and dumb people.</p> <p>Stop selling our water resources for profit we need to consider this for not only my children but my children's children's children.</p>
565	STUART	EVETTS	Rural	camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
566	Sandra	Rosenau	Rural	Poukawa	No	No	Yes		Whichever option will be chosen, future rate hikes to fund council water services/infrastructure MUST NOT affect/be passed on to properties that are "off grid" when it comes to the three waters. We have invested enough of our own money to be self-sufficient, and any additional rates would not be fair.
567	Vanessa	Smith	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
568	Richard	Butler	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		
569	Ian	McDonald	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
570	Royce	Lincoln	Rural	Longlands	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/RD/30.05.25/8.40am
571	Ken	Keys	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/RD/30.05.25/8.43am It is long overdue. It is impressively well researched and far-sighted - Especially with our appalling history of neglect with our drinking water system.
572	William	Ladyman	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
573	Jason	Anderson	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
574	Blanch	Blank	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.19pm
575	Susan	Ashton	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.20pm
576	Phil	Jones	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.22pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
577	Brendon	Taylor	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>I do not believe that creating a CCO (either regional or in Hastings) is a cheaper option than the current Council delivered service.</p> <p>1. Why create a new entity and employ a whole lot more bureaucrats to sit around and clip the ticket (all grossly overpaid), when the current system is working well.</p> <p>2. This will result in yet another invoice to be issued and another account to be paid by householders.</p> <p>3. Why should Hastings residents be lumbered with all the issues and costs associated with Wairoa, Napier and Central Hawkes Bay?</p> <p>4. If the Hastings District Council manage this correctly, I see no reason why they cannot reduce costs rather than just accepting at this stage that it is going to cost more. All works should be tendered out to create competition for best pricing.</p> <p>5. I can see this whole thing spiralling out of control, and it must stop now!. We already have the addition of the Hawkes Bay Regional Council charging rates and increasing them every year, now the suggestion for a new regional water CCO, and the next will be a new entity for rubbish/recycling and on it goes.</p> <p>6. I notice that the HDC CEO remuneration is over \$450K per annum, and he needs to ensure that all costs are kept to a minimum for the benefit of all Hastings households.</p> <p>7. Surely since the 2016 Havelock North water crisis, the Hastings Council has put many measures in place including regular water testing, so why is it necessary to create another new organisation to carry out the same procedures?</p> <p>8. Hastings is blessed with an abundant supply of good quality water and it must remain well managed by the Hastings District Council only.</p>
578	Thomas	Evers-Swindell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.32pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
579	Chris	Thompson	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.39pm
580	Donald	Clark	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25 / 2.40pm
581	Annette	Couper	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.41pm
582	Karen	Gates	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.42pm
583	Shona	Owen	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 2.44pm
584	Andrew	Common	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>The council should be aware that earlier attempts to form such CCO's (Three Waters) were met with opposition due to the prospect of diminished ratepayer control and 'co-governance by lwi. Opposition to both of these matters was made abundantly clear at the last General Election.</p> <p>Given the above, it is concerning that the consultation documents fail to clearly identify how governance and decision making of any new CCO will be made. Given the language of the consultation document, it can be assumed that the intention is to have appointed roles, including those specific to lwi. By having such a proposed governance structure, where governors are appointed rather than elected, ratepayers influence over its assets risks being diminished. It should therefore be beholden on the council and its representatives give comfort to this matter before any change can be agreed.</p>
585	Robyn	Ward	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.29pm
586	Jenny	Setford	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.31pm
587	Earl	Hartsonge	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.32pm
588	J	Howell	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.33pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
589	Martin	Mallow	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.39pm
590	Helen	Griffith	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.40pm
591	McCombe	Keys	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.41pm I think the joint option makes sense. However, I think HDC often does right, by our community so I hope HDC can still have a strong voice in the joint organisation.
592	Russell	Craft	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.42pm Having work for Council HBCC/ HDC and gone through the requirement to form LATS as required by Government before. I see this as just another way to reform as tax grabbing exercise to get GST out of ratepayers, more cost to us.
593	Richard	Bicknell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.47pm By 2028, we only need one council to service the Napier/Hastings district. One council office, not 3 and 1300 staff. Become more cost efficient.
594	V.J.	Scarborough	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.49pm
595	Erle	Bunny	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.50pm
596	Amelia	Cameron	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.51pm
597	Diana	Kelly	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.52pm
598	Peter	Mackie	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.53pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
599	C.G	Brown	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.54pm
600	Anne-Marie	Sharp	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.55pm
601	Wendy	Cook	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.55pm
602	Susan	Doughtt	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.56pm
603	Peter	Hickman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.58pm agree that option 1 should minimize the ever increasing rates. It is achievable and I expect to see the benefits.
604	Rebecca	Arnet	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 3.59pm Semms like the only choice from the options
605	Roger	Hannaway	Rural	Longlands	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/29/05/25/VM/4pm
606	Robyn	Luscombe	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.00pm Economies of scale make sense provided the cco does not become to unwieldy adding more cost. Needs to be responsive to needs of each district.
607	William	Gray	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.02pm Without overall council amalgamation we do not see another local government structure in this region nor do we need to enable more debt risks either for council or CCO

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
608	Tony	Dench	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.04pm Have you considered Wairoa combining with Gisborne as it is close than to Napier.
609	B	Basher	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/29/05/25/VM/4pm I like that people of different interests help us to make choices
610	J	Jones	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.04pm I can see more costs to the ratepayer. Please, please, consider the burden on families-squeezing more from us is not the answer! Do as Napier is doing and cut your council staffing waste.
611	Koreen Jean	Nichol	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.08pm Creating more bureaucracy inevitably costs more in the long run. You have cleverly hidden the fact that non-elected people will have complete control of option 1 and thereby open the field for Iwi to dominate and control all water resources and operations in Hawkes Bay (3 waters in disguise). I say NO to option 1. Ratepayers need to have a voice.
612	Deby	Mills	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.11pm
613	James	Scotland	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.12pm
614	Michael	Malone	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.13pm
615	D	Rykers	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 30.05.25/ 4.14pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
616	Glenis	Libby	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy/30/5/25/VM/4pm Hastings has spent a lot of ratepayer money getting our water services up to standard. If we amalgamate with their councils we carry their costs. This is not fair for Hastings District Council ratepayers. A bigger water organization means more bureaucracy more middle men and less accountability when there is another weather event like Cyclone Bola and Gabrielle and floods
617	Gary	Roselli	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/GB/30/05/25 4:37pm. Central Govts method of addressing water infrastructure challenges by placing water under local control has merit. I support the need for change. No matter how much we debate and disagree it is mandatory for all Councils to comply with LWDW. We need to accept that rates are going to increase. Areas of concern: • There maybe other options available with better outcomes. Is there a hybrid to option 1 that can be considered where Trustees or similar can be appointed to manage the organisation alongside the Councils or as standalone independent entity? • The timeline for submissions is unreasonable given the magnitude of the decision. • HDC has invested in drinking water and is well advanced. The other 3 Councils require major investment. Is the preferred option adequate, fair and manageable. • Farm conversions into forestry are going to create further problems in future severe weather events impacting the location of wastewater infrastructure in particular. Are we able to unite as a jointly controlled organisation and decide long term solutions?
618	Gavin	Povey	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		As long as water services being provided are not affected, then I am happy for Option 1 to go ahead (with the assumption that the costs for doing this will be less than the other two options for rate payers in Hastings as you state).

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
619	Scott	McAulay	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
620	Louise	Scott	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		The tax & ratepayers should NOT be asked or expected to pay anymore. The work should be done within your budget. No one can pay anymore. We already pay huge \$\$\$ in rates. Cut your cloth to suit your purse. Enough is enough.
621	Julie	Bairstow	Town	Mahora	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	None of the options are ideal. I'm going to be paying for water I am not even going to use. No options of meters, as too expensive to install. Not on waste water sewer line. Septic tank so have the added expense of having that emptied. I, like a lot of people, diligently conserve water and do our bit when the call goes out. Not in future, for me. If I'm paying for unused water, I am going to freely use it, regardless. I understand that we are all going to be paying for average household usage of around 300,200 litres per year. What do you consider an average household? I live alone. It's ridiculous to assume that that is an average household. So once again people like me, on their own, basically get left to foot the bill, for the shortfall required. Why don't these words get printed in the information that gets sent, so there is transparency. I physically had to visit HDC and even the person whom I was engaging with had to go away for answers to the questions. This is the reason why the Council gets such a bad wrap. Too much is NOT said, when you are telling people that you are going to take more money(that most, just don't have), to fund all these schemes. Where does it end? How much do you expect people to sacrifice and at what cost? They go on about child poverty, homelessness, the list goes on, so don't be contributors that aid in these things. Maybe the Councils need to cut back and be happy with less. Isn't that the mindset for 2025!!
622	Rod	Binns	Rural	HAWKES BAY	Yes	Yes	Yes		
623	Kevin	Ryan	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
624	Stephanie	Moore	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
625	Michael	Sim	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hopefully this leads to less cost through reduced repetition and staff numbers and can facilitate improvements to the infrastructure, such as metering, to make it more cost effective and user pays.
626	John	Austin-Smith	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>It is not difficult to manipulate numbers to support a business case - but meaningful numbers are usually supported by logic, which the recommendation for a Regional CCO appears to lack. Although it may make sense to combine the water, wastewater and stormwater capabilities of Napier and Hastings given their close proximity, potentially common water sources, treatment challenges and facilities, and discharge issues - there are no similar logics to include Central Hawke's Bay. They have totally different water sourcing issues, different wastewater treatment challenges, different discharge options, and a significantly different rating base which must impact on the different solutions they have to consider and settle on.</p> <p>Combining with Wairoa carries the same negatives, but becomes even more illogical due to distance. Wairoa could perhaps look to Gisborne as a much closer neighbour if combining with anyone makes sense for them.</p> <p>Further - and perhaps more importantly, HDC has acknowledged that it is heavily in debt and is arguably overborrowed due to the Cyclone. Given that, it would be reasonable to assume that Council feels the challenge to protect their ratepayers by managing total debt down over time to a more acceptable level. Consequently, attempting to justify a new regional water body by arguing that HDC can transfer existing significant debt into it to more readily increase borrowings for other projects is frightening at best, as the ratepayer is presumably still liable for the total debt.</p> <p>Given the above - I would be comfortable with combining HDC's water operations with Napier, but not with CHB or Wairoa as neither bring anything significant to a combined entity other than a need for financial support. If a Napier/HDC entity is not feasible, then an in house HDC delivered option with no overall increase in total debt looks the only real option, as a Hastings only CCO adds nothing to capability or cost reduction, and perhaps most importantly adds nothing to total debt management.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
627	Sandra	Millner	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
628	Sax	Dearing	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		A regional democratically elected CCO without reference to The Treaty of Waitangi is the best option.
629	Warren	Meekin	Rural	Kahuranaki	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>1. The reasons given to centralise water services are flimsy at best , lots of words like expected and should etc. please provide a few examples over the last say 20 years or just 10 years where centralising any service has resulted in savings to the ratepayers ? Back up with financial data rather than just positive words.</p> <p>2. Many will view option 1 as Three Waters in disguise , or at least a stepping stone towards it .</p> <p>3. Many of your reasons for more centralisation centre around the theme of being able to borrow more money . The ratepayers are done with this continued indebtedness . That aspect alone steers ratepayers away from. Start living within your means like ratepayers have to , we don't get to borrow on the equity of others .</p>
630	Gail	Sampson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
631	Richard	Jennings	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		What happens if three parties say Yes and the fourth says No?
632	Mike	Martin	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		It is the only sensible choice for the whole area.
633	Miriam	Jardine	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Thanks for opportunity to give feedback. All for reducing debt. Unsure why create another HB Regional Body when HB has one. Costs money to set up another board & staff with possibility of high remuneration packages etc. Board & Staff KPI measured & accountable based on managing water & catchment of water during dry seasons to ensure ALL people including farmers/horticulture sector & exports are managed during droughts & excessive flooding periods. Expenses better spent on building a dam or catchments for collecting water throughout HB. Do not agree to water meters as per Alkd measuring household water usage given socio economics in HB.HDC save money sending newsletters etc to people's letterboxes.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
634	Danny	McClure	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Just prefer a Council specific and controlled entity, happy to pay the extra, as I believe other areas within the wider region will burden the team/staff already having to deliver these services. Hastings owned and operated CCO is my preference.
635	Harvey	Mcbride	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
636	James & Anne	Smith	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		We can only trust that the other Councils are on board with the offer of a like submission to their ratepayers. We would also hope that if say water problems arise any new body would respond to ratepayer concerns. (Not happening with HDC now!)
637	Wenhua	Yang	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
638	Colin	Howie	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		1.Option 1 clearly the better one and very good news there will be no private ownership. 2 Not sure why it is necessary to have a separate water bill unless there is hidden agenda to install water meters.Let us hope THAT does not happen 3 What is the problem exactly? Water supply etc seems perfectly OK. I am in that age group now where I am not too concerned about 10,15,20 years time! 4 Just my 2 cents worth whatever value that adds.
639	Gill	Stewart	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
640	Natalie	Stewart	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
641	Mike	York	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Water is free falls from the sky we don't need company's making profit from it Start charging the water bottling companies more for all the water they send to China An that should be a big help for the water infrastructure instead of rates payers Rates are too much now they as dear as a small mortgage each week
642	Annelies	Vautier	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
643	Myra	Barber	Rural	Waimārama	No	Yes	Yes		
644	Esme	Anna	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
645	Rohan	Pearse	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		

646	Krysia	Shuker	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>My preference us for Option 3 because I can see so many disadvantages to the alternative options, especially option 1.</p> <p>If a joint regional organization is chosen, the discussion-document states that 'for now' each council will only pay for the services and assets of its own council. The phrase 'for now' indicates that council is already aware that is will change, Amalgamating services and assets are unlikely to provide genuine benefits to any council.</p> <p>For proof of this, I put forward the evidence of the amalgamation of the various Auckland councils into mega Auckland Supercity. Costs have not gone down and the more remote areas have not got access to the significant improvements that the more central areas have.</p> <p>A larger region is more unwieldy to administer; recourses harder to allocate in a proportionate manner.</p> <p>I note that the council would choose the board members for Option 1 (and 2) they would not be elected. As the Hastings Council voted in school children to participate in council decision making this point does not inspire me with confidence. It fills me with trepidation to think that the council can choose members to sit on this board of water control. I see the council as using this as an opportunity to select a board based on diversity, equity and inclusion rather than meritocracy, This will affect the efficiency and bias of the board,</p> <p>As for larger organizations being able to borrow more easily and greater amounts for investment. It would pay for the council to consider the repayments costs that would fall onto ratepayer. It is a well-known fact that the more money one has the more one will spend. Like all ratepayers households, it is necessary for the council to live within its means; choose projects wisely and spend the care.</p> <p>As for attracting staff, people make employment choices for many reasons - not just the size of an organization. Not the least reasons are remuneration and challenge. Also, getting good staff is dependent on a robust and discerning hiring process.</p> <p>In conclusion, the inference is that Option 1 will give us the most advantageous scenario,</p>
-----	--------	--------	------	---------	-----	-----	----	------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>but i do not believe that drastic restructuring of the water services will give us the savings that you promise. They never have. Only expert management and prudent choices will do that. My preference is to update the current - Option 3.</p> <p>Yours sincerely, Krysia Shuker</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
647	Hayley	Holloway	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
648	Peter	Yorke	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
649	Jennifer	Wilson	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
650	Mark	Moot	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		
651	Richard and Kaye	Tollenaar	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
652	Mary	Vollon	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
653	Barry and Dianne	Cole	Town	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		User pays the fairest way to go!!!
654	James Kat, Anne Marie	O'Farrell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hobsans Choice
655	Simon	Derbrdige	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
656	Christine	Duffill	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
657	Jeffrey Alan	Janet Scott	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
658	Patricia	Reddy	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Thank you for all your hard work over the years. Patricia
659	Jessie	Fridd	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
660	Harris	Dowson	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
661	Viv	Foster	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
662	Carolyn	Young	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Option 1 looks to be the most cost effective option
663	Stephen	Rawson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		I do not want a joint effort to be hampered by local politics and parochialism. A hybrid working group/vanguard should be formed - one that is mindful of cost and due process, but not mired down in bureaucratic wrangling nor hampered by career- or empire-building. Due to recent past issues, Havelock North and Hastings together have experience and large investments in improved water systems. That expertise should be explicitly drawn upon and used as a template for this next initiative.
664	Alan	Gibson	Town	raureka	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
665	Lionel	Herries	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/8:13AM
666	Shelley	Truuts	Town	did not provide	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/8:55AM
667	J & M	Pyatt	Rural	Whirinaki	No	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/8:57AM
668	Robert	Harmer	Town	Cambereley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/9:06AM Water is free it comes from the sky stop selling our water to companies to export overseas
669	Karen	McBride	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/9:09AM Its a no brainer about time :)
670	Aloysius	Melchers	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/9:10AM No "frills" or nice to have extra's

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
671	Jacqueline	Smiler	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	I feel if it's a Hastings issue then Hastings council should deal with own water issues. Hastings has a new water system that Hastings rate payers paid for. Hastings council should look after our own water needs
672	Julie	Crinin	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/ 10:09AM I note that Option 1 states the transferred debt would be paid for by the people using the water services and Option 2 states by water users through their water bills. Does this mean we will be having metered water bills, as in other areas, or will they be separate bill on the property owner rates bills? This needs to be clarified. And will commercial users be rewarded for reducing water draw, to encourage better practice? I.e. converting field irrigation sprayers to drip line, which is more economical, environmentally responsible and more practical, in that it uses less than a third the water that sprayers use, as sprayers are highly wasteful through evaporation.
673	Roger	Dunn	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/10:10AM

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
674	Paul	Baker	Rural	Eskdale / Whirinaki	No	Yes	Yes		<p>A solution that is safe, effective and efficient is required. This needs to be in a delivery sense for potable water, best value fiscally and acceptable socially.</p> <p>The current system not as safe or as good as it could be:</p> <p>1) for example, too much manganese and calcium in the Esk / Whirinaki water supply. (Black / brown water, at times, with calcium deposits in the kitchen jug and hot water cylinders. These hardware items need to be replaced periodically due to copper erosion.)</p> <p>2) \$700 per year is charged for water that is contaminated, undrinkable at times and limited over drier periods. It is not great value for money.</p> <p>3) Due to poorer water supply, many residents have water filters / treatment plants / ionization treatments installed at their own expense to counter water that stains, looks colored, erodes copper pipes and cylinders. (Domestic water filters will turn black at the input end with this council supplied water.)</p> <p>A larger more focused water supply organization would hopefully improve substandard water supplies. In the Esk / Whirinaki case, connecting to the Napier City water supply which extends from Bay View to near Kaimata Heights would be sensible. Hopefully the parochial intergenerational limited cooperativeness between HDC and NCC would be eliminated and the "best" solution in a given "bigger picture" would be implemented.</p> <p>I have little confidence in the current HDC water reticulation situation and have reinstated my well, pump and secondary water supply in the event that the council's water supply fails. Resilience is a key in a remote area as we realize that we are a much lower priority for water and council services generally than the urban residents of central Hastings.</p>
675	Deborah	Ho	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
676	Phill	Wishnowsky	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		While my preference is Option 1, I believe some of the details need careful consideration and amendment. I do not believe the new organisation should be a Council Controlled Organisation (CCO). While the new organisation will be an amalgamation of aspects of business from Hastings, Napier, Central Hawke's Bay and Wairoa councils, the business, reporting, accounting and administration requirements of a CCO will limit the new organisation's ability to function as an efficient business. I know because I set up, and became CEO of a statutory authority as a body corporate. When working with the Councils and Government Department authorities to delegate their authority to the new organisation, careful consideration of the implications led to each authority agreeing the best model was to not be a CCO. This proved to be the best option. Also, I convinced all the authorities to not get 'bogged down' in proportional representation or scale of interest. Just everyone 'buy in' as equals. Comparative scale of interest (e.g. value of assets transferred to new organisation) can be protected in the new organisation's Constitution, which is what we did. If we are going to set up a new organisation, we need to step back from local and parochial bias, and commit with confidence to the new entity. A fundamental concept for the new organisation to embrace is "Are there aspects of future functionality that may allow development of multi-function assets?" One consideration must be long term storage security of water. Appropriate scale of reservation of water should be new dams. The new organisation should look at joint venture developments with electricity generators. Building dams that have hydro electricity generation capability would allow electricity generation through winter months, when electricity demands are highest as well as rainfall. Dams of sufficient scale would also make extra water available for irrigation and aquifer recharging. Both concepts (hydro and irrigation) would provide opportunities for joint investment in building assets. Similar opportunities may exist around stormwater management.
677	Peata	Williams	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
678	Sarah	Rundle	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
679	Elizabeth	McKerras	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hopefully..... Economies of scale. Efficiency in planning. Better opportunity for borrowing funds from outside sources.
680	Roberta	Couchman	Town	did not specify	Don't know	Don't know	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:43PM
681	Riddiford	Family Trust	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/ 1:45PM
682	D. John	Thew	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:46PM
683	Lisa	Whibley	Town	Longlands	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:48 PM
684	CC & MM	Hair	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:49PM
685	Peter	White	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:50PM Providing this is run by ONE entity not two (IWI) this should benefit all.
686	David & Jacqueline	Murray	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:52PM Payments paid to a Chief Executive and appointed Board Members amount to how much once operating.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
687	John	Crook	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:53PM It's a no brainer. We should take the least costly option. Other options do not offer any greater benefits.
688	Yolanda	Morady	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/1:55PM Take fluoride out of our water please!
689	Mark	Annand	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/04.06.2025/2:02PM The water contamination scandal in Havelock North that preceded this issue was clearly caused by overlapping responsibilities between HDC & HBRC. Time has come for HDC to step out of the way and allow a single authority do take control.
690	Diana	Spooner	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 04.06.25 @3.14pm
691	Faith Cecelia	Clark	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 04.06.25 @3.16pm
692	margery	cobbe	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 04.06.25 @3.32pm
693	Alison	Cocke	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 04.06.25 @ 3.33pm there should be a sub for us to get water tank for our property to help with the problem.

694	Siam	Daniel	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Wufoo completed on wrong form. ref: (HDC - Whakatu West Stormwater Scheme [#3] 2 June 2025</p> <p>GB entered.</p> <p>Conditional Support for Option 1 – Regional Joint Venture Mode. Option 1 is seen as the most promising for financial sustainability, regional collaboration, and infrastructure investment. Support is not automatic, it is conditional on four key protections.</p> <p>I submit this statement in response to the Council's consultation on water services under the Government's "Local Water Done Well" programme. I acknowledge the complexity of this issue and the urgent need to futureproof our water infrastructure. Among the three options presented, I believe Option 1 – the Regional Joint Venture Model offers the greatest potential for long-term financial sustainability, regional collaboration, and resilient infrastructure investment.</p> <p>My support for this model is strictly conditional on the following four non-negotiable provisions:</p> <p>1. Māori Representation Must Be Embedded and Protected:</p> <p>Water is not just an asset, it is a taonga. Māori are not stakeholders; we are rights holders. Any governance model that excludes Māori from decision-making is both unethical and unacceptable. Without this, Option 1 fails to uphold Tiriti obligations and is a breach of good faith.</p> <p>* Any Council Controlled Organisation (CCO) formed under Option 1 must guarantee Māori representation from each participating rohe.</p> <p>* This representation must be structural, resourced, and embedded within governance, not advisory, symbolic, or superficial.</p> <p>* Māori must be co-governors, with authority to influence decisions that affect our people, our whenua, and our wai.</p>
-----	------	--------	------	----------	-----	-----	-----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

								<p>2.Fair and Transparent Cost-Sharing Between Councils:</p> <p>If fairness in cost allocation cannot be guaranteed, this model cannot be justified.</p> <p>* Heretaunga ratepayers must not carry the financial weight of a regional model that benefits multiple districts.</p> <p>* The cost of water services must be distributed equitably across all participating councils.</p> <p>* Clear cost-sharing agreements must be made public and legally binding.</p> <p>* No community should subsidize another. If we are truly in this together, then the burden, and the benefit must be shared equally.</p> <p>3.Full Transparency of CCO Costs:</p> <p>Communities are being asked to commit to a major structural change, yet very little detail has been provided about the actual financial impact. Ratepayers have a right to know exactly where their money is going, full stop.</p> <p>* We demand a complete, transparent breakdown of all setup costs, operational expenses, and long-term financial commitments involved in establishing the CCO.</p> <p>* Every dollar must be accounted for, from formation to implementation.</p> <p>* There must be no hidden figures, no vague estimates, and no corporate spin.</p> <p>4.Clear Exit Strategy if the Model Fails:</p> <p>A commitment to Option 1 without a defined exit strategy is a trap. The community must not be locked into a failing or inequitable model with no way out. If we cannot walk away when this model no longer serves us, then we are not partners , we are captives.</p> <p>* The public must be told, in writing, what conditions would allow a participating council to withdraw from the CCO.</p> <p>* These exit mechanisms must be legally enforceable, realistic, and time-bound.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>* Local needs change, our ability to adapt must be protected.</p> <p>Government Overreach: Let us be clear: the Government has a track record of centralising power, often at the expense of local communities. We cannot take "Local Water Done Well" at face value.</p> <p>While it claims to restore local control, we must ask: how real is that control when Wellington still holds the veto power?</p> <p>This is not just a technical matter of pipes and infrastructure. This is a battle for local voice, regional power, and indigenous partnership.</p> <p>We must not accept any model that strips us of the right to determine what is best for our people, our whenua, and our mokopuna.</p> <p>I conditionally support Option 1, only if all four conditions above are met in full. Anything less is a compromise of our values, our rights, and our future.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
695	June	Kynoch	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No		<p>Hastings District Council needs to maintain control.</p> <p>However as this feedback is not going to be considered for a referendum vote the whole exercise is a pointless and expensive exercise, at the cost of Ratepayers.</p> <p>The Council has already made up their minds.</p>
696	Joe	Nock	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>You have listed the primary benefits of Option 1 as leading to lower rates. I don't think this should be the primary factor, we should instead focus on what's going to give us the best water management outcomes. I'm happy to have higher rates if I get better services. I'm only supporting Option 1 because it's the council's preferred choice and you'll therefore get behind more but to be clear, I'd rather pay significantly more rates and have the best managed water in New Zealand.</p>
697	Greg	Donnison	Rural	South of Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
698	Peter	Bergstrom	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		A regional CCO appears to be the most favorable option for the HB region. We already have councils consulting and working well together, so we should know and understand one another well. However, with an entirely new CCO body to be established, there will no doubt be many major strategies, structures and rules to be put in place which do ensure all Councils are fairly represented, and there is equity in supporting each of the proposed 4 Councils involved. Persons appointed to the CCO should generally be well experienced in 3 waters management and preferably be "locals" who have already worked through the major "water" events we have had to endure during recent times. Whilst It is acceptable that there is some public consultation in setting up the regional CCO and the efficiencies expected from this action, it is of key importance that no one segment off HB's residents or Water Managment is allowed to unnecessarily delay or prevent well researched and agreed projects, because of their own self interests or beliefs. We are all aware that unnecessary delays add substantial extra costs, thus giving the CCO a reduced chance of achieving what its establishment was meant to do, to reduce the cost of water management for all of us.
699	Paul	Spoonley	Town	Havelock North	No	No	Yes		Entered over the counter AM 05/06/25 Managing debt and cost to is critical but so is the need for anticipating the future. If its critical that the regional water organisation has Mana Whenua representation. In retrospect, Three Waters was a good option.
700	Debbie	Hughes	Town	havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1101am
701	karen	hughe	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1117am
702	Emma	Overend	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1123am Please ensure the board isn't too large and over represented. We want to see the money going into the resources and delivery and not into management and board members.
703	Wayne	Paki	Town	havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1126am Our drinking water has been shocking. I had to install a system to give my household pure water. Have to fill plastic bottles is ridiculous. Bill the water charges to the council bill, dont need another. +

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
704	John & Beverley	Reeves	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1130am
705	Sheryll	Palmer	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1136am. The other councils are way behind us. Really important to do it on our own.
706	Christine	Chadwick	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	Yes		I am a rate payer and a pensioner I select option 1 not just as the preference for the council but I see the merits in keeping costs low and still receiving a good supply of quality water. Added to that I see a collaboration with the regional councils will also keep all those responsible for the controls to be accountable to each region to ensure safety and care of their citizens. Thank you.
707	Karla	Senior	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 1140am Please cease borrowing for the sake of our future generations. All water usage should be metered. Each property could have say 12 months to pay for the meters or have their water turned off. Businesses should get a much cheaper rate per litre. The first 4500 litres per month should be billed at a reduced rate. After that the price per litre should increase substantially. So people who choose to water their lawns and refill their pools all summer are free to do so and pay for the privilege. All waste water should also be metered and be billed at triple the cost of clean water. So people who choose to use a lot of water can also be the people who pay for the needed infrastructure upgrades. Rates need not increase and individuals are in control of how much they spend on water. no blaming the council and overall water wastage would decrease, an environmental win !
708	Renata	Svozilova	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
709	Alan	Wilson	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 0230pm
710	Rosemary	Simmonds	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 240pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
711	Tearle	Gayle	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 05/06/25 2:41pm
712	Finn	Leece	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 244pm Why cant we have water meters?
713	RP	Halkett	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 257pm We ;have opted for option 3 on the basis that no proper financial info provided for options 1 and 2.
714	Craig	Shaw	Town	havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 301pm Ensure chosen board members are skilled
715	Hugh	McPhail	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 5/6/25 302pm
716	Mike	Heard	Town	Clive	Yes	No	Yes		Hardcopy AM 05/06/25 2:59pm There should be reduction on any water fees to those who have their own water supply. Along with many I have my own water bore and pay my costs associated with it (pump etc)
717	Gretchen	Jarman	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	I do not want to subsidise other regions or towns when they have mismanaged their own resources. We don't want an Auckland situation. We have already subsidised the cyclone and people not being insured. This survey is heavily biased towards option one and doesn't give any positives for my preferred option 3. It only show all the negatives. You have to go through the councils prefer option to even get to the other choices. That's not democracy! That is pushing your own agenda!
718	Adriaan	Du Plessis	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		We should donthe above to the whole council. No point in servicing Eskadale that is just logistically easier and more affordable to service from Napier.
719	Teena	Moody	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		It makes the most sense. The more we can work regionally in the provision of essential services, the better.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
720	Robin	Cornes	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
721	Glenda	Cave	Rural	Whirinaki	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
722	Wendy	Clere	Rural	Eskdale	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy/ RD/ 06.06.25 @8.54am
723	John	Shepherd	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 06.06.25 @8.58am
724	Carol	O'Connor	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy SJ - 06/06/25 - 8.37am
725	Muriel	Boult	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 06/06/2025 @8.59am
726	John	Taylor	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 06/06/25 @9 am Councils working together better way to go for the future.
727	Jan	Vilpen	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy -SJ-06/06/25 - 8.58am
728	Taryn	Coulbeck	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 06/06/25 @9.03am Going forward it is important to merge the water systems across the entire Bay. This way, option 1 makes everyone accountable and is a more sustainable approach for our futures.
729	Joe	Nock	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - SJ -06/06/25 @ 9.04am Keeping rates low is not a priority for me. I would be happy to pay double my rates of improved services.
730	Kayoko	Mikawa	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - SJ - 06/06/25 @ 9.06am
731	Sheree	Thomsen	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy -SJ -06/06/25- @9.07am
732	Tracey	Day	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - SJ - 06/06/25- @ 9.09am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
733	Ben	Hutton	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
734	Gordon	Herrington	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		On balance I believe this option is best as it will centralize decisions on an equal basis for the region as opposed to each council having their opinions. The debt may well be removed from the council but the rates from the region will undoubtedly increase so the rate payers will be no better off. The main issue that faces regional control is the usage and wasted use which cannot be controlled be local councils...eg consents, urban use of irrigation for gardens and lawns (at present there are many who don't care for rules) In summary I believe another large entity will be established and the rate payer will be expected to fund it as well as local council but one body making decisions for all is the price we will have to pay for some sort of uniformity.
735	Daniel	Couchman	Rural	Maraekakaho	No	No	Yes		1) Under option 1, do all councils have to agree on new investment/strategy to make progress. What prevents one of the councils out of the four stalling investment and growth by disagreeing with particular proposal? 2) Does a CCO entity separate from a council make privatisation easier in the future? 3) I work for an industrial user of the trade waste system to Clive. not all industrial users in Hawkes Bay have an out flow direct to a treatment plant in Clive. How will the charging of industrial users of the three waters change under CCO options 1 & 2?
736	Lisa	Loveday	Rural	Whirinaki beach	No	No	Yes		As a resident that has no sewage, storm water and use my own purified rain water. I have my own expenses maintaining my systems and would like to see this reflected in rates and believe metered water would make this fairer as well as encouraging people not to waste water.
737	Ray	Oliver	Rural	Waiohiki	No	No	Yes		A Region wide entity is the only sensible path to manage such a vital, critical, and essential function as water services This entities performance shall need to be judged against industry best practise

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
738	Carolyn	Young	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No		I think option 3 is better as it seems the cheapest option
739	Margaret	Price	Rural	Haumoana	Yes	Yes	Yes		I would like to see you change the name of Regional water entity to water entity as people can get confused with thinking that it is the REgional Council who will be taking over looking after our waste warer, drinking water etc
740	R and L	Nairn	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
741	STEPHEN	WILTON-JONES	Town	HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		
742	Jennipha	Porter	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Hastings has already built brand new water infrastructure. We need to keep this in in the ownership of our district, of the Hastings people.</p> <p>The other districts need to take be responsible for their own infrastructure.</p> <p>If everything gets put into a shared model the Hastings people will lose control over our own valuable asset.</p> <p>We do not need to be dictated to by an water group that will not give Hastings people the full attention to their preferences about how we want our water assets and infrastructure run.</p> <p>.Hastings people do not want to pay for Naiper's or Central Hawkes Bay's huge costs to build new infrastructure, that is the responsibility of their residents and their councils.</p> <p>We do not want water meters in Hastings but Napier councillors wants to install them in Napier District. Having a shared water model means Hasting will have to pay loads of money eyes to have those installed in Napier and will have no choice in the same happening here so I say no.</p> <p>Hastings water done well needs to remain fully in the hands of Hastings residents as we already own it and remain under the direct control of Hastings District Council</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
743	Dallas	Sait	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		
744	Kathy	Perry	Rural	Bridge Pa	No	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Have a say about our own water because Hastings ratepayers don't have a say about any other spending. All our money has been spent on a water facility in the middle of town and a storage facility for museum items. What a waste of money. Why do they need to be on expensive land in the middle of town and how much money do these facilities generate? At least let us control something.
745	Julia	Scholl	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
746	Jim	Waikari	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	While I do not actually think that anyone who is in a position to make decisions will read this, or that the HDC cares about rate payers' opinions, please see below. I am extremely concerned about the HDC being so comfortable with and keen on borrowing even more money and even listing this as a "a good reason" to go with their preferred option. I am very unhappy with the HDC's performance and I am very concerned about more debt without continued lack of transparency and accountability to the rate payers. I actually struggle to see how the people in top positions at the HDC do not feel compelled to resign considering the poor performance of the HDC whilst getting into more debt. A few of the issues include lack of infrastructure to ensure the safety of residents and their properties in the event of extreme weather events, lack of proper support to flood affected residents, "cosmetic projects" after announcing that these will not happen after the cyclone, and flouridation despite research on its dangers and the consequent abandonment of the practice in other countries.
747	Desmond	Green	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
748	Peter	McCarten	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>While it is agreed a Regional Council Controlled Organisation offers some efficiencies I have concerns on the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the individual councils must maintain a good level of appropriate skilled and experienced staff that understand the infrastructure the council owns. I consider this will be important when Council is setting water standards/codes of practice as the implications (including costs) must be understood 2. Each council must understand the nature of the infrastructure and the risks associated and not just rely on the Council Controlled Organisation to advise them. I consider this matter will be important when the CCO is allocating expenditure (both short and long term) on infrastructure investment 3. Stormwater in particular is intimately tied to other Council operations and responsibilities, e.g. Recreation, and the integration and management of these must be considered to ensure overall cost efficiencies and management of rates and water costs
749	Daniel	Porter	Rural	Waipatu	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>I would like to see the debt remain with the council so it remains a priority for council to pay it down. I understand we might lose some economies of scale efficiencies, but how do you spread the costs fairly between those combined regions/areas? Option 1 seems like we are trying to release ourselves from a debt we created, so we can go on spending ratepayers dollars on things like before, that didn't address unseen infrastructure that is now haunting us. In all honesty, if we are unable to afford infrastructure replacements/improvements without going into greater debt, then it is obvious that we need higher rates. Ratepayers need to realise that they need to pay for these services in the here and now and not burdening our future generations.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to read my submission.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
750	Roger	Mogford	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Hastings has already made many improvements with our water management so let's keep going, while other council haven't. I hope our rate payer's money will not subsidise them. I think I can smell a rat. Are some insiders lining themselves up for nice positions on the board?</p> <p>You have already made a decision, haven't you?</p> <p>What happens if you chose option 1 and it proves to be more costly and starts to fail? Can we go back to option 3?</p> <p>We need to be able to afford rates in Hastings so come on back to basics.</p> <p>Thanks</p>
751	Cristie	Weir	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Keep it separate
752	Neil	Martin	Rural	Waimarama	No	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Option 1 is complicated from a political perspective given the vast number of stakeholders to be managed. The process for progress will be long and drawn out and the resulting cost will be just as high if not higher than the other options. Option 2 offers better value.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
753	Cara	Hart	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>It's never a good option to have services so centralised under one authority. it will take all control and any say away from the local residents and council in the future. Accountability when things are not going well will be more difficult to challenge with it going into regional hands also.</p> <p>Although option 1 seems cheaper on paper, there is bound to be cost blow outs, both with unforeseen circumstances and irresponsible spending (as seems to happen when people are spending other people money, not their own hard earned dollars).</p> <p>And should the council really be borrowing MORE money? It is difficult from the document to work out if in all options you will be borrowing and how much.</p> <p>How can staying as is cost more? It will mean less restructuring (which we know always costs unnecessary massive amounts to do). Where have the millions of dollars gone that have upgraded our current water supply, if they are still not able to meet the proposed standards? (And side note, why is chlorine still needed if we have such a modern system? And please get rid of fluoride!!!!) what are these increasingly high govt standards? Are they wanting further improvement to our water quality? (Can't have our health interest at the forefront if they still think chlorine and fluoride chemicals are the magic we need to consume), or are the standards related to financial issues: sustainability and how to pay for ongoing infrastructure.</p> <p>Thankyou, it seems there's no perfect outcome here.</p>
754	David	Chute	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - SJ - 09/06/25 @ 10.43am
755	Sally	O'Sullivan	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.46am
756	Simon	Rundle	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.47am
757	G.M.A	Codling	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.48am
758	Clair	Miller	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.49am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
759	Pail	Ward	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @10.51am
760	Chris	Mitchell	Rural	Akina	No	No	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.52am
761	MArk	Grimstone	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.53am
762	Thelma	Hall	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.54am
763	Judy	McKinnon	Rural	Tuitira	No	No	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.55am
764	Vicki	Scoular	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 10.56am
765	Mark	Taylor	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @10.59am
766	Philip	Helleur	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.08am
767	T. M	Greig	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.10am
768	Robyn	Ward	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.11am
769	Stephen	Beale	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.12am
770	Terence	Hohd	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.14am
771	Susan	Devonshire	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 11.16am
772	Stephanie	Moore	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @11.16am
773	Kim	Gundsell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @11.17am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
774	Kerry	Fox	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Clearly the best option as 1+2 would be too costly to start up at ratepayers expenses. To save money stop stupid expenditure on beautifying parks and non essential projects. Also high salaries for little or no return to ratepayers, over the rates increasing every election year as well.
775	Simon	Greatorey	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Local control is required other regions with a poor state of infrastructure should no be subsidized by Havelock ratepayers.
776	Cheryl	Jansesn	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	A better run organization with just one council. Tighten control and more efficient planning.
777	Ian	McSporran	Town	Whirinaki	No	Yes	Yes		Separate water from council own specialist company
778	John	Samways	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Please take fluoride out of our drinking water. It is more toxic than lead. Thank you.
779	Peter	Culloty	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Agree with option 1: only if all the councils are supportive of this. If not then option 2 - to allow an adjustment phase; as in the long term a regional organization may well be the outcome.
780	Karl	Lomas	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	No more increases in rates OR new water rates whatever system. No rates payer will save money under your proposals - every ratepayer will be paying a lot more to have what already exists.
781	Lynette	Sudfelt	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 1.39pm
782	Ian	Chapman	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 1.40pm
783	James	Ellingham	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/2025 @ 1.41pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
784	Pamela	McBride	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I believe Option 1 will be the best decision for our council to make. I like the idea that we will all help each other out in the 4 regions. Smaller towns just don't have enough ratepayers to raise funds for what is needed for their infrastructure and I'm all for sharing resources and each region offering a helping hand when required.</p> <p>I happened to watch the discussion with the HDC panel recently on Facebook and found it to be most informative, as is the pamphlet that came in our letterboxes. There will always be naysayers but they mostly haven't bothered to look at the facts. I see Option 1 as a positive move and would like to thank our council for all that they do.</p>
785	Nick	Ratcliffe	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		
786	Jessica	Callister	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		This seems to be the best option for all. I would like to commend HDC for their great communication and engagement around this big decision.
787	Zane	Jones	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy / JM/ 9/6 @ 11am - No text
788	Ronald	Wilkins	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JM/9/6 @ 11.30am - I agree whole heartily on this concept later down the track I hope that the council reconsiders sharing the cost with other councils
789	Grey Power Hastings	Hastings District	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy /JM / 9/6 @ 11.30am - The majority of my members after listening to radio HB interview with councilor Schollum on air (21st & 28th May 2025) and at two members meetings 2 May 25 and 5th June Havlock Nth. ron Wilkins President Grey Power
790	David	Blythe	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy -SJ - 09/06/25 @3.27pm
791	S.M	Dallas	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	hardcoyp - SJ - 09/06/25 @3.28pm
792	Anne	Cribb	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy / JM / 9/6 @ 3.20pm - no comments

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
793	Rosemary	Dixon	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		hardcopy - SJ - 09/06/25 -@3.29pm
794	Roslyn	Le Mesurier	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy / JM / 9/6 @ 3.20am - no comments
795	David	McDougall	Rural	Waimarama	No	Yes	Yes		We have a water tank and biocycle sewer system which allows us to use the grey water to irrigate. Please encourage and incentive the use of water tanks and alternative systems that use grey water for irrigation. We still pay the full water rates!! Unfair !!
796	Mark	Henderson	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
797	Hannah	Atkinson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
798	Joji	Varghese	Town	Frimley, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Only concern is that it will be difficult to maintain the lawn. Need to think of moving to some sort of synthetic lawn or something else.
799	Macaela	Flanagan	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
800	Jason	Timoti	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		How much more money do you expect us residence to pay. We are paying more in rates, now this and a cost of living crisis. Where are we meant to get this money? We can't even drink our water out of the top so why should we pay more. Bloody thieves

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
801	Geoff	Gibson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Installing water meters is a must. It will drive change to the way residents view water supplied to the property as currently there is no incentive (or disincentive) for residents to modify their current behaviours. Those residents with pools will also be captured to ensure they pay their fair share towards the use of water on their property as currently there is not a mechanism to ensure pool owners pay for the water they use.</p> <p>Separating the water bill from general rates is a good idea.</p> <p>I would definitely like to see each councils existing water assets ringfenced to understand what our starting point is value wise. Hastings has invested heavily in water infrastructure in recent years unlike other councils (Napier) notwithstanding that Wairoa and CHB have a very low ratepayer base to fund their requirements. We need to work as a region to solve our issues and not remain siloed as we currently are.</p>
802	Sarah	Carran	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		It makes sense to work together and combine resources.
803	Frances	REID	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
804	Catherine	Scott	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	We cannot keep spending and borrowing to fund it.
805	Julie-Anne	Martin	Town	Mayfair, Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
806	Christy	Ramos-Piliin	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
807	Serene	Morrell	Town	Jastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Option 2 i believe has a better stand with engagement within our takiwa and potentially utilising external organisations such as Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga as partnering up for future projects understanding resources and capabilities within our area with the knowledge of taiao focus groups to help maintain and sustain water management in the near future help solidify relationships within Heretaunga first and foremost
808	Heidi	Burdett	Town	Hastings/Havelock	Yes	Yes	Yes		
809	Michelle	Klingender	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
810	Nathan	Clark	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
811	Jacquie	Nicholson	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Given the large increases for rate payers in the coming years, how about removing chlorine and fluoride from our drinking water and save costs!

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
812	Murray	Cowan	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Email received from Murray Cowan. RD 09.09.25 @9.09am</p> <p>To whom it may concern:</p> <p>My preference is Option 3. The principle reason behind this is Hastings District Council (HDC) has spent in excess of \$100m getting our fresh water systems upgraded, has storm water largely under control (as I understand it) and that there is already a highly efficient and well maintained sewerage treatment facility and line feed network. I believe this to be the best and most cost-effective option for HDC ratepayers in the medium to long term.</p> <p>Unless the current coalition government has issued an ultimatum requiring councils to determine the outcome on Local Water Done Well prior to the Local Elections, I strongly recommend the deferral of any HDC Councillors' decision until the new Council has been established.</p> <p>I am also strongly against any 50/50 partnership or similar agreement being put in place between the Local Water Done Well board and/or management structure and local iwi. This would quite simply be undemocratic and add a layer of complexity that would not be in the interests of the broader ratepayer interests. Kind regards</p> <p>Murray Cowan 398 Napier Road Hastings 4180 murraycowan@outlook.co.nz (021) 087 13000</p>
813	Paul	Olsen	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
814	Sarah	Swinburn	Rural	Havelock north	No	Yes	Yes		<p>I say yes and no. To me it looks like another layer of bureaucracy and more wasted money at a cost to rate payers. There should be enough intelligence within each council to deal with this issue..clearly not! STOP spending on nice to haves and concentrate on the real issues. The cost to us on the Waiaroha facility in Hastings was way over the top, would have preferred money spent underground on infrastructure or it covered in solar panels to help energy costs!</p> <p>I live on 4 acres, wanted to put in water tanks to collect off the roof for garden use....why bother when I'm charged huge amounts of money per year for an excessive allocation. User pays,use the water metres!!</p>
815	Jacquelin	Supra	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
816	Kevin	Strand	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
817	Christine Glenys	Gould	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
818	John	Waterson	Town	Whirinaki	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>But I would like to say put water meters on each property</p> <p>A) You would save water</p> <p>B) Pumping system would not be under so much pressure</p> <p>c) Sewage systems would not be working so hard due to not so much water being used</p> <p>D) You would have more water saved</p> <p>E) Fix leaks promptly not in 8 week time</p>
819	Alan	Toki	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
820	Fedup	Ratepayer	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No		None of the above. Another dreamt up scheme to fleece ratepayers for more money. Propaganda lies, central govt tax increases by stealth
821	Rosemary	Price	Town	Puketapu	Yes	Yes	Yes		
822	Isobel	Holdaway	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
823	Roger	Jarmani	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No		More accountability in option 3. I do not believe the propaganda given in option 1 and 2. In option 1 and 2 overall debt will increase and rates will increase
824	Christine	Wilkins	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
825	Qi	Wang	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
826	Karen	Cooper	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
827	David and Joyce	Barry	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
828	Neville	Bradshaw	Town	Bayview/Es kdale	No	No	Yes		What ever option is chosen I hope more attention and maintenance is given to storm water infrastructure in the Bay View/ Estuary area
829	Paula	O'Boyle	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		It is my view that Option 1, a Regional CCO, is the most efficient use of rate payers' money.
830	Houkamau	Potae	Town	Akina	Don't know	Don't know	Yes		I would like to know how the board of representatives would be selected for the regional CCO and how much input the community would have in this selection.
831	Michael	Harris	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
832	Aroha	Harris	Town	Waimarama	No	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
833	Frank	O'Keeffe	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I do think that Option 1 is the best way forward as a Regional Organisation.</p> <p>Concerns are though:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The NEW Board, is there any idea how many members there would be here, I guess there would be at least 1 member [or more] from each of the 4 councils, as this would allow for representation from each area, Would this board be FULL time. Would there be a CEO or similar to oversee this Board? Would there be any other layers under this board eg Finance, Administration etc. 2. The day to day decisions made by the NEW Water Organisation with skilled board members, is this another layer of members/staff, before we get to the working staff, that actually carry out the physical work. Without knowing how each council runs at present with regards to water, there must be a few layers here. Would all staff currently working in the 4 councils dealing with all waters, be transferred to this entity, or will there be redundancies, if not quite hard to see savings here? 3. I guess this new entity would have to have their own Finance, Administration etc, would this be separate to each council or would this service be right across the new Water Group. 4. It would be interesting to see a structure plan, starting from a New Board to the staff/contactors at the coal face, to compare how it is different to the existing structure. 5. Without seeing the Financials on how it is arrived at for Hastings like the about \$400 less each year by 2034, and how the HDC debt would drop from \$489 million to \$211 million, the transferred debt to be paid for by the people using the water services [the ratepayers], huge costs? Does this also apply to the 3 remaining councils. Seems like the new Water Entity would be on the back foot already. <p>Thanks</p>
834	Uputaua	Asolua	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
835	Vonti	Setu	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
836	Robin	Stout	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	Yes		
837	Josh	josh	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 10/6/25 303pm
838	Gerald	Beach	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 10/6/25 03307 No matter what choice there needs to be a clause written to give the people/council an out clause.
839	Robert	Stout	Rural	102 Kopanga rd	No	Yes	Yes		
840	Helena	Supra	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Council are trying to get rid of the debt from the council so will be removed from the firing line when any new body hikes us all with massive water bills on top of HDC and REG rates.
841	Christine	Bannister	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy - GL - 10/6/25 352pm
842	Bevan	OConnor	Town	Haumoana	No	Yes	Yes		
843	Billie-Bob	Mogford	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>What does the words "for now "mean in option 1? We have already done the hard work for water management in Hastings so why would we want to help other councils out? Hey if option 1 goes badly will we go back to option 3? Tell the government that Hastings is doing ok. Has the government paid for water, and sewage services to all the many nice state houses being built? Or is the poor rate payer paying this? Infact, I don't think the government are paying any rates for these state homes or schools. If I am wrong, please let me know. But I don't think you will be reading this as you already made a decision, haven't you.</p> <p>Now come on you councilors look after us, we are becoming peasants in our city. Who owns the council? Us . It is too expensive to live in Hastings now. Why do you have to be the most expensive rates in NZ?</p>
844	Chantelle	Botha	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
845	PAUL	FERRANTE	Town	MAYFAIR	Yes	Yes	Yes		WHICHEVER PLAN KEEPS MY OVERALL YEARLY RATES LOW, LOW, LOW ! PLEASE CONSIDER US "ELDERLY SENIORS ON FIXED MONTHLY INCOMES". WE CANNOT ABSORB THE HDC etc. etc. CONTINUALLY RAISING OUR ANNUAL RATES. WE HAVE ONLY SO MUCH INCOME TO LIVE ON AND WE CANN'T KEEP REDUCING OUR MONTHLY DOLLAR BUDGET ALLOTMENT TO PAY FOR THESE HIGHER RATES... EVERY YEAR ! IT DOES APPEAR THAT [OPTION #1 - A REGIONAL CCO] WOULD BE BEST TO KEEP MY ANNUAL RATES LOW. SO THAT IS MY CHOICE.
846	Roger	Mogford	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hey, how come Napier is not taking part in these submissions? My friend in Taradale knows nothing about this. What a waste of rate payers' money that we don't have. You guys must be sick.
847	Abby	Morley	Rural	Te Pohue	No	Yes	Yes		
848	Jermaine	Bartlett	Rural	Paki Paki	No	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
849	Tim	Geuze	Town	Taradale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
850	Murray	West	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
851	Lewis	Price	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		
852	Mary	Paddington	Rural	Sherenden	No	No	Yes		
853	Christopher Gary	Green	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No		
854	Mary	Paddington	Rural	Sherenden	No	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
855	Tim White	Aime McDonald	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
856	Mr and Mrs	Plowman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
857	Gerald & Linda	Binks	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/11.06.2025/9:44 AM Cost sharing among all 4 councils better use staff and equipments
858	Jane	Goodacre	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
859	Mary	Mackrell	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
860	April	Macdonald	Town	Akina Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/11.06.2025/9:47
861	None	Whifield	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
862	Nicola	Mogford	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	What ever the council recommends is always wrong and just costs the ratepayer more. Hastings has already done the hard work so why should we help Napier etc. Just keep on doing what we have been doing you have already made a decision. Jobs for the boys
863	Barbara	Ferguson	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/11.06.2025/9:49AM
864	Michael	Legg	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/JA/11.06.2025/9:53AM

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
865	Jane	Goodacre	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		It is a rather "Hobson's Choice" scenario but HDC has achieved a fabulous result re water issues over past decade so it is rather ironic that Napier especially will benefit from Option 1, despite their ineffectual recent failures and perceived superiority
866	Emma	Morrison	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
867	Jackson	HAWEA	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		
868	Rachael	Brown	Rural	Akina	No	No	Yes		
869	KATHLEEN	BOYD-MORRIS	Town	FRIMLEY, HASTINGS	Yes	Yes	Yes		Anything that aligns with the Government causes great concern for me but [1] appears to be the better option of the 3 we shouldn't be paying extreme costs just to use water in the first place.
870	Rebecca	Radford	Town	Hospital Hill	Yes	Yes	Yes		
871	Chris	King	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
872	Barry	Keane	Rural	Havelock North	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Any option that does not increase bureaucracy, rates, and potential control by minority interest groups.</p> <p>Whatever is done, a top priority is to prevent flooding by clearing the existing drains to facilitate the flow of stormwater.</p> <p>Our property at 163 Brookvale Rd Havelock North was severely affected by floods during Gabriel, \$30009+ of damage simply because HDC has not cleared the drains on both sides of the road for decades. And NOTHING has been done since that flood to rectify that negligence.</p> <p>Ironically we are paying hundreds in extra rates for Gabriel recovery.</p> <p>Please do your job.</p> <p>Frustrated Ratepayer</p> <p>Barry Keane. pH 021703566</p>
873	Aroha	Hiko	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
874	Alison	Mackenzie	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
875	Stuart	Houghton	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I am in favour of the proposal because water services need to be approached on a regional level. After all, Hawkes Bay rivers, streams, lakes and aquifers cross Local Body boundaries. The water resources are regional and the answers to water scarcity, quality and use need to be regional.</p> <p>I am much happier about a regional CCO for Hawkes Bay than the previous 3 Waters Reforms that would have removed authority and accountability out of Hawkes Bay to Wellington. I am in favour of the "local" aspect of Local Water Done Well at the regional level. I would like to commend the Hawkes Bay Councils for coming together on water services.</p> <p>I am unsure about the structure to ring-fence funding and expenditure in each of the 4 Council areas. I suspect this might prolong a kind of parochialism that is concerned with constantly comparing the water services and benefits in one community with the other three. I would prefer to see a regional unity that draws in staff and knowledge from each Council's area for the benefit of the whole region. One of the positive things I have heard about Watercare in Auckland is that the single user charge across the super-city promotes unity among staff, as well as simplifying and streamlining accounting functions. My hope is that over time unity in the CCO will be developed to the point where the water services funding and delivery can be truly regional and the envisaged benefits achieved.</p> <p>I am in favour of local knowledge, local solutions and local accountability. Some might argue that a CCO lessens accountability; after all, we have all observed the issues with Auckland Transport. I think the answer to this concern lies in the hands of the Hawkes Bay Councils. We have seen how strong elected officials can reign-in CCO excess. I urge Councils to draft robust expectations for the CCO to serve the people of Hawkes Bay and provide value-for-money in water services delivery.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my submission.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
876	Gary	Read	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		All the water in HB flows through all the different councils areas whether it is river or artesian so it makes sense one body should manage it for safeguards in place to ensure fairness between rural and urban users. Waste and storm water systems also come into this category and waste treatment plants etc need to be what is best for the whole region not just individual towns or cities. One other point is water and corresponding infrastructure is not owned by councils but by ratepayers and taxpayers.
877	Mary	Eyre	Town	Tauranga	Yes	Yes	Yes		
878	Len	Reeves	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		I would go with 1 or 2 keeping it in the council! I have a question? From memory the HDC has set an amount of water Hastings can draw, if that is right can they apply to draw more? if not then why are you building all these extra houses if you can't supply any more water for them. I don't know if my ajax valve is faulty or not but in the colder days I have no problem but in the summer/hot days there is not enough water pressure and I don't have any hot water till around 8.00pm. Kind regards, Len Reeves
879	Bernie	Kelly	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		As long as it remains in council hands and not sold to private equity.
880	Anne	Watt	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		So far, sooo good!
881	Robert	Tomlinson	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Cost effective / Question: was there consideration for urban (Napier / Hastings) Council only option. If so why was it discarded or if not why not?
882	Jamie	Duignan	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	No		Leave it as it is, dig the bores deeper to get access to better naturally filtered water and remove the fluoride!!
883	Ruiha	Striling	Rural	Karamu	No	No	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
884	Alison	Francis	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		I support a CCO. The four Council's in Hawke's Bay have shown a willingness to work together to provide our water infrastructure in Hawke's Bay already. We are fortunate to have four Local Council's who want to work together on this. A CCO will attract technical specialists with the right expertise and a region wide CCO will have the ability to better manage and plan for our region's water needs.
885	Peter	Woodroffe	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hard Copy received 12/06/25 8:05am AM Please see the 4 page document which was put with the other submissions.
886	Wendy	Cashmore	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		A collaborative approach seems sensible to fully meet the regions future needs
887	Martin	Whaanga	Rural	Pukehou	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @09.04am
888	J.B	Bunworth	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 12/06/25 9:10am
889	John and Margaret	Harding	Town	Mayfair	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 12/06/25 9:11am We do not need seperate Maori representation on the board.
890	Leith	Wilson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
891	Melanie	Bishop	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		I prefer this option as it is the less expensive for rate payers
892	David	Lambert	Rural	Frimley	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @09.24am
893	.	Tunncliffe	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy 12/06/25 9:26am The council should concentrate on essential core sectors. Reduce frivolous spend. Financial plan should be fore zero debt not maximum. The CCO will start with debt it cannot pay for !

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
894	Carolyn	Dale	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy 12/06/25 9:29am AM I believe it would be best to keep our water services local, rather than spreading risk and costs to the entire HB region, and making our own decisions for what is best for Hastings.
895	Joe	Pearson	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	Yes		Hardcopy 12/06/25 9:29am AM Option 1 appears best. However must adopt the last paragraph in " why work together" ratespayers in other councils should not pay disproportionately for water to subsidy other councils. Also CEO 4 board must be accountable to ratepayers - council can appoint but must be transparent.
896	Sukhvinder	Singh Kooner	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @09.35am Please put water meter in every house as much you use as much you pay like Auckland and other city in New Zealand
897	Karen	Crysell-Jerphanion	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @09.37am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
898	Kerry	Hateley-Fletcher	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I find it quite disturbing that council is spending money on projects that should be on the back burner eg park upgrade next to art gallery. Perhaps you can plant "money" trees in it, as a large majority of ratepayers are struggling to meet the rate increases that currently exist. Perhaps stick to essential services and ask for businesses to sponsor park upgrades. I certainly don't go on a spending spree e.g having a new landscaping design, when I've got a leak in the house that needs to be attended to!</p> <p>I sincerely hope that which ever infrastructure upgrade choice is made, the water charges in the general rates, will reduce general rates charge by the same. We shouldn't have a new cost without the old cost being deleted from the current general bill.</p> <p>I have been told that an overseas company takes water from the reservoirs - free. Perhaps it's time to review their contract and ask them to contribute to the infrastructure required now.</p> <p>I also heard that council bought a property from a church for a million dollars and sold it for \$150,000.</p> <p>Poor business decision making needs to stop..</p> <p>I would suggest that those chosen to oversee the water infrastructure feel a civic duty and should not be rewarded for under performance...as so may CEO's you hear about get!</p>
899	Linda	Bridle	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
900	Doreen	Bouttell	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @12.24pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
901	Warren	Wrigley	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 12.06.25 @12.25 Why there is no mention of Hawkes Bay Regional Council on there water management web page they have to meet NPSFM 2020/2024 and there control of rural water management rivers and soil water is important.
902	Colin	Gould	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
903	Gayle	Gould	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
904	Maree	Martin	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
905	Geoff	Martin	Town	Havelock north	Yes	Yes	Yes		
906	Yann	BRETECH E	Rural	Tuki Tuki	No	No	No		Not so sure: how do we control this asset ? How the board will be elected ? For how long ? How do we control their action ? I don't want fluoride in the water: it is a neuro toxic
907	Simon	Arthur	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	

908	Gemma	Yates	Rural	This submission on behalf of Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust. We have interests in both rural and town areas	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust 1st Floor, Suite 3B 1 Wright St Ahuriri, Napier 0800 TANGOIO / 06 835 3300 Taiao@tangoio.maori.nz</p> <p>12 June 2025</p> <p>Local Water Done Well Submissions Hastings District Council Private Bag 9002 Hastings 4156</p> <p>Submission on the Local Water Done Well proposal</p> <p>Tēnā koutou</p> <p>Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust and Hapū</p> <p>Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust (MTT) represents a collective of hapū in northern Hawke's Bay, including Ngāi Taurira, Ngāti Marangātūhetaua (also known as Ngāti Tū), Ngāti Kurumōkihi, Ngāi Te Ruruku ki Tangoio, Ngāti Whakaari and Ngāi Tahu (the Hapū). The takiwā (traditional area) of the Hapū extends from north of the Waikari River to the Waitaha Stream, southwards to Keteketerau (the former outlet of the Napier inner harbour) and from Maungaharuru (range) in the west, to the coast and beyond, Tangitū (the sea) in the east.</p> <p>MTT is a post settlement governance entity established to hold and manage the Treaty settlement assets of the Hapū and to be the representative body for the Hapū. Its Deed of Settlement is dated 25 May 2013 and was given effect to by the Maungaharuru-Tangitū Hapū Claims Settlement Act 2014. MTT has approximately 7,000 registered Hapū members.</p> <p>MTT provides preliminary support for the Regional Council Controlled Organisation</p> <p>MTT supports, in principle, a Regional Council Controlled Organisation (Regional CCO) between Hastings District Council (HDC), Napier City Council, Wairoa District Council and</p>
-----	-------	-------	-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

								<p>Central Hawke's Bay District Council. We are providing preliminal support as further engagement between MTT and councils is required to determine how the Regional CCO would work and how it aligns with our values.</p> <p>We expect the Regional CCO to be the most cost-effective option for our Hapū. HDC estimates this option will save residential property owners between \$2600-\$2800 over the next ten years relative to other options. Strict oversight of the Regional CCO is necessary to ensure this remains the most cost-effective option, through efficient and transparent use of funds. We do not want to see the water services bill unnecessarily burdening Hapū members that are already impacted by the cost of living crisis.</p> <p>The Regional CCO provides the region with the best opportunity to respond to natural hazards. Our Hapū have an intimate understanding of the immediate and ongoing impacts of natural hazards. Most recently, 2,346 Hapū members were directly impacted by the devastation of Cyclone Gabrielle. Therefore, we support councils to have greater financial capacity to respond to emergency events resulting from debt transferred to the Regional CCO. However, we expect councils to continue engaging with MTT regarding investment in the takiwā.</p> <p>MTT involvement in decision-making</p> <p>Further engagement is needed between MTT and councils to determine how the Regional CCO would work. This includes (but is not limited to):</p> <p>1) What governance looks like for the Regional CCO and how councils' make space for Hapū involvement in decision-making. This includes discussion about Hapū involvement in the Stakeholder Council and input into the statement of expectations.</p> <p>2) What funding and allocation models are used and how we ensure all Hapū members have access to safe, secure and reliable drinking, storm and wastewater services.</p> <p>3) How MTT values can be embedded throughout the Regional CCO's operations. This includes:</p> <p>He Kāinga Taurikura (A Treasured Environment): Caring for and protecting the environment; Kaitiakitanga (Guardianship) building the understanding, connectedness, and involvement of MTT hapū with the environment;</p> <p>Kia Niwha (Strong People): Building the capability (ability and knowledge) and capacity</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>(resources and energy) of MTT hapū to achieve their potential; and</p> <p>Kia Rawaka (Strong Hapū Economy): Building our hapū economy to provide the resources we need to plan and action MTT hapū dreams and goals over the relevant time.</p> <p>We look forward to engaging with you on this kaupapa and finding a pathway forward that works for our Hapū and wider communities.</p> <p>Nāku noa nā,</p> <p>Adele Small Kaiwhakahaere Matua CEO Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
909	Linda	Gedye	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As water is a precious, essential and a free resource, that is a basic human right to have access too, I feel it is important for it to stay very local. Hastings water for Hastings residents, run by Hastings residents that are voted into place by the locals and have chosen to live in Hastings. Decisions can be made quicker by people who are committed to the local community and live within that community, know the system inside out and what it needs to continue to run smoothly, and the challenges. They have local knowledge and commitment that cannot be fully understood by those in Wairoa, Central Hawkes Bay or even Napier, these districts all have their own set of challenges that they are more familiar with. Having a separate entity as in option 1, gives too much control of an essential service and resource to people that may not necessarily have the local interests or understanding at heart, because they haven't chosen to live there, and too much power over that resource. As a local Hastings resident, I would be happy to pay the extra \$400 per year predicted with option 2, if it meant Hastings council remains in control. Financially it might not be the most efficient option, but decision making would definitely be easier and would have our best interests at heart, especially with such an essential resource as water.
910	Geraldine	Travers	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		The best option was the original 3 Waters. The CCO is the only sensible fall back position.
911	John	Benson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	we already have two Councils, why do we need another Organization ? Hastings District Council has done a great job so far in upgrading our water services, so long may it continue. I do not believe the argument of money or staffing is a good enough reason for change. Regards, John.
912	Anita	Downey	Rural	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Seems the most cost effective but no changes should happen until all homes have water meters installed.This is the only fair way of spreading the costs. All water costs should be removed from rates and a separate bill for water based on the meter readings billed quarterly.
913	Tania	Linley-Richardson	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		Anything that is going to save ratepayers, even in the long term, is a win.
914	Athalie	Dreadon	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
915	Stephanie	Tyro	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
916	Glynis	Moleta	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>This vote should be deferred until after the upcoming local body elections.</p> <p>With many current councillors set to retire or be voted out, proceeding with this decision now undermines democratic accountability. Ratepayers deserve the opportunity to express their views through the ballot box and elect representatives who align with their positions on this issue.</p> <p>To ensure public trust and a legitimate mandate, the decision-making process must be postponed until the new council is in place. Deferring the vote respects the democratic process and gives the community a voice.</p>
917	Marie	Fleming	Town	Clive	Yes	No	Yes		
918	John	Matthews	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		
919	Brendon	Lane	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Very much support the consolidation of the water services as per Option 1. It will also give the opportunity for whenua Māori to have a say in the service provision. I would also support the new CCO installing water meters.
920	Bryan	Wham	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 832am
921	John	Williams	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 835am
922	Graeme	Robertson	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 836am
923	Mike	Kindbloch	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 838am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
924	Brian	Brown	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 839am
925	Brenton	Le Prou	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @8.38am Keep it local why inherit other peoples problem and stop spending money on non essential projects! you could start with replacing driveway entrances that was dug up for services to be put in! Southland road block between hemi and southland place.
926	Toni	Thomson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @8.47am
927	Allan	Hall	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @0850am
928	Mr	Overcharged	Rural	blank	No	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @0851am: Once upon time the rural area had one council HB County. Now 2 Council 2 Rates plus it set your way. There be 3 rates bills, empire builder, new office block.
929	Robin	Shirkey	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 922am
930	M	Clayton	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 946am
931	Patricia	Duncan	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 947am
932	Terry	Fowler	Town	customerservice@hdc.govt.nz	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 949am
933	W	Taylor	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 951am
934	Murray	Duncan	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 953am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
935	Prue	Duncan	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 954am
936	Murray	CROZIER	Rural	Whirinaki	No	Yes	Yes		
937	robin	allen	Town	frimley	Yes	Yes	No		Hardcopy GL 13/6/25 1206pm

938	Marei	Apatu	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		<p>I support option 1. I belong to a local hapū, my whanau have lived and farmed my property in the Karamu/ Waipatu for many generations, I have no Council service connections but very much in support of a local regional authority grouping make up, successive governments meddled with and created grand idea policies and interventions that have created huge cost the us the tax and rate payers and led to nothing other than a waste of time and valuable resources.</p> <p>My preference are for the following reasons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A whole of region approach, tuakana teina, an equilibrium for the larger authorities i.e. Hastings and Napier rate payer base to support in collaboration with the smaller rate payer base authorities Central HB and Wairoa. To ensure no smaller authority rate base is left to stand alone. - The Hastings DC have made considerable advances and investment to upgrade network services following the contamination outbreak 2019, the greatest ever community impact in the world, we dont want this to ever happen again to any of our communities. - We the hapu of Ngati Hawea, Hori Hinemoa gave support to the waste management diversification system bio filter trickling system construction in the 1990's to serve and provide the urban base of Hastings Havelock North and Flaxwere. The waste pipe network extends over the fertile plans of Heretaunga going past our maori communities to East Clive. It is my wish that one day all the rural communities will be able to connect to this waste network to remove the hundreds of septic tanks off the plains. <p>This is a potential groundwater disaster in the making in the long term, lets not repeat a disaster in the making to occur again</p> <p>The beautiful facility Te Ha o Waiaroha is a testament to the community and our Council to put real value at its utmost, water is a taonga from our Atua, however we need to ensure contamination i.e. nitrogen and other contaminants are not within the source. We should be proud as a community to have taken a matauranga based framework over managing waste and drinking water</p> <p>In the late 1800's there was a thriving aquaculture economy serving our maori people and communities, this unfortunately was overtaken with drainage schemes to turn the plains into intensive land use management activities. Our waterways are now contaminated drains i.e. Karamu</p> <p>My hope and vison for Te Karamu is a return back to the prestine vibrant waterway it once was and can be returned back to that state.</p> <p>I am happy to make a verbal submission to support this option</p>
-----	-------	-------	-------	----------	----	----	-----	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									Nga mihi Marei Apatu

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
939	Simon	Francis	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		No further comment regarding the above, except to say that something must be done, and I'm glad you are doing it. Also, please please please get Hastings on water meters.
940	Barbara	Montgomerie	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	
941	Dr. Nicolas	Jones	Town	Hawkes Bay	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>We have a letter as part of this submission</p> <p>It was suggested by HDC staff to send the letter to customerservice@hdc.govt.nz</p> <p>I have just completed doing this - hopefully you have the document</p> <p>Warmest Thanks</p>
942	Barry	Law	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		
943	Barry	Law	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		

944	John	Caccioppoli	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>1. Support for the Preferred Model</p> <p>I support Hastings District Council's preferred option of forming a regional Council-Controlled Organisation (CCO) to manage the delivery of drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater services. This regional approach is a positive step forward, offering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved long-term planning and service delivery, • Stronger financial capability and borrowing power, • Operational efficiencies through scale, • Consistent service standards across the region. <p>To build public confidence and ensure equitable outcomes, however, the new entity must adopt robust mechanisms that guarantee fairness, transparency, and comprehensive water governance.</p> <p>2. Recommendations to Ensure Fairness and Effective Water Management</p> <p>a. Metering and Usage-Based Charging</p> <p>Charging a flat water rate for all properties is outdated and inherently unfair. It penalises low-use households and multi-unit dwellings while failing to incentivise conservation.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Install universal water metering. • Charge one base rate per property, with excess use billed according to metered consumption. • Promote water conservation through user education and clear access to consumption data.
-----	------	-------------	------	-------	-----	-----	-----	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

141

								<p>b. Support Growth Fairly – Review Export vs. Local Use</p> <p>While water shortages constrain new housing and development, large-scale water bottling for export is permitted. This creates a strong sense of inequity.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prioritise local housing, community needs, and regional development in water allocation decisions.• Re-evaluate high-volume water takes for commercial export that do not directly benefit the region.• Ensure water allocation aligns with sustainable, long-term regional priorities. <p>c. Equitable Rating of Supplementary Dwellings</p> <p>Applying full water rates to supplementary or minor dwellings (e.g. granny flats, sleepouts, secondary units) on a single property is inequitable and out of step with their actual water demand.</p> <p>These dwellings often house family members, elderly relatives, or tenants with low occupancy levels, and do not place the same burden on infrastructure as standalone dwellings.</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Move away from a blanket approach to charging supplementary dwellings.• Introduce metered or proportionate charges that reflect actual water consumption rather than applying an arbitrary full dwelling rate.• Consider equity-based adjustments, particularly for dwellings that share infrastructure and have demonstrably low usage. <p>This reform is essential to avoid disproportionately penalising homeowners who provide additional accommodation in line with intensification and housing supply goals.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

								<p>d. Permanent Ring-Fencing of Water Revenue Within Each Council</p> <p>To ensure accountability, fairness, and public trust, all water-related revenue and costs must be permanently separated from general council finances.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Permanently ring-fence water revenue and expenditure within each participating council area, and make this a foundational principle of the new entity's design.• Allow only shared administrative and overhead costs to be pooled across councils, with clear accountability.• Publish annual reporting on water revenue and spending at the council level so ratepayers can see where their money goes. <p>This permanent ring-fencing will protect local interests, prevent cross-subsidisation, and maintain clear accountability to each community.</p> <p>e. Comprehensive Water Management – Include Allocation</p> <p>Currently, water allocation is handled by Hawke's Bay Regional Council (HBRC), while the new entity will oversee service delivery. This separation can result in inefficiencies and a disconnect between planning and operations.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transfer water allocation and permit management to the new water entity to ensure full integration of water planning and service delivery.• This unified model will enable smarter resource use, better alignment with growth and infrastructure needs, and more responsive local service. <p>3. Conclusion</p> <p>The proposed regional water organisation represents an important opportunity to deliver</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>safe, efficient, and sustainable water services. I support the preferred model, but success depends on embedding critical safeguards, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Permanent ring-fencing of water finances within each council,• Usage-based charging,• Fair, proportionate charges for supplementary dwellings,• Integrated water allocation under the new entity. <p>These changes will help create a transparent, efficient, and community-responsive water system for the future.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
945	Julie	Harris	Town	Saint Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	The last thing we need right now is another layer of bureaucracy, how can creating a new entity be cheaper, staff, another flash building, more consultation, etc, etc. The ratepayers will end up paying for another bureaucratic nightmare and these never come cheap.
946	Tamsin	Mednis	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>"Under a regional model, will Hastings residents be paying for maintenance of water infrastructure and new infrastructure in other districts?</p> <p>No. While the overall costs (things like finance, staff and IT) will be shared, people in Hastings will only pay for water infrastructure in Hastings. Each area's water money will be kept separate, at least to start with, and that cannot change without the agreement of all the councils with services being managed under the CCO."</p> <p>Upon the understanding that Hastings council has already been preparing for water reform for some years, I strongly prefer that each area's water money be kept separate in the long term. It is imperative, that Hastings constituents don't end up paying for other areas whom may have let their infrastructure etc degrade. Hastings Ratepayers have paid several times over for various aspects of the cyclone recovery and we must ensure that ALL ratepayers are considered in decisions, not just those who yell the loudest.</p>
947	Derek	Nowell-Usticke	Rural	rd9	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	i think it is a grave mistake to vote on this BEFORE the 2025 local body election. (need to request a deferment from Central Government). With so many of the current councillors retiring it is quite frankly IRRESPONSIBLE.
948	Ken	Tunniccifi	Town	Market Street	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	I consider present service very adequate. Suspect changes would include more cost of rate payers money and higher charges

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
949	Nikki	Gardner	Town	mayfair	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.37pm
950	G	Burdon	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.38pm
951	R.E	Ware	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.39pm
952	Jill	Webster	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.40pm
953	Mike	Butler	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.41pm I can't agree to a CCO because there are no details on the composition + rules for a CCO. There is insufficient information in the brochure to make an informed decision on anything other than status and only headline figures for the 3 options. Nothing on underlying cost assumptions while evidence shows council spending and debt already exceed forecast.
954	Heather	Davis	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy RD 13.06.25 @4.44pm I hope all councils will work in concert as we do not want the problems of the past to occur

955	Tawhana	Chadwick	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Te Tiriti o Waitangi</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Te Tiriti o Waitangi put into writing out the expectations of the relationship between hapū and the crown. As the representatives of crown, local government is beholden to make effect to the text of Te Tiriti for the betterment of us all. - Therefore we expect the council gives effect to Te Tiriti by practicing responsible kāwanatanga and by opening space for Tino Rangatiratanga to thrive. - Te Tiriti also promises to hapū that they retain complete authority over their whenua (land), kāinga (properties and occupied spaces, institutions and homes) and taonga (all things tangible and intangible that are important to us). Water is a taonga and therefore council is obligated to ensure that hapū retain authority in this space as well - I recommend that council embed Te Tiriti o Waitangi into the constitutional makeup of water management for the Te Matau a Māui region. <p>Mana Whenua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For Tino Rangatiratanga to thrive the council must include hapū in all levels of decision making processes and be given ample of spaces and ability to affect change. - I recommend that a Te Tiriti o Waitangi framework is developed in coordination with mana whenua that all local legislation, or policy created around the management of water must adhere to, whether within the council, the CCO or other organisations in which council (or the CCO) may contract. - Further i recommend that hapū (mana whenua) be given governance positions within the CCO with full rights to influence those space (i.e., having voting rights within kāwanatanga spaces)
-----	---------	----------	------	-------	-----	-----	-----	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

147

								<p>- Finally i recommend that hapū be given veto powers that affect their Tino Rangatiratanga. Such as mechanisms to protect their whenua, kāinga and taonga from exploitation, such as not having to pay for water for their marae.</p> <p>Community</p> <p>- Currently the right of self determination requires significant wealth to access said right. Many in our community are stuck in poverty due to exploitation, through colonisation for Māori and other indigenous peoples and from historical class oppression initially in Europe which continued here in Aotearoa for many of our European poor families. This leads to insecure housing and lessens the access to water and other essentials of life.</p> <p>- Majority of Māori and Pacific, black and some Asian communities experience insecure housing or are relying on whānau and community to be housed and to have access to water</p> <p>- Rates are charged to landlords. Landlords offload the costs to tenants. but most of the aforementioned ethnic and racial groups are predominantly tenants.</p> <p>- I recommend that a fund be created to support tenants in paying their landlords rates, also to support owners who live in their homes. I oppose giving landlords support to pay their rates unless they live in their own homes and don't own multiple homes that they rent out.</p> <p>Privatisation</p> <p>- The legacy of the neo-liberal reforms of the 80s and 90s weakened the ability of government both central and local to support our community, especially those who are most in need of support.</p> <p>- The central government's obsession with state owned assets being financially</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>sustainable and their equal obsession of taxing the poor while giving benefits to the rich and corporations has meant that the working class on a continual basis has had to bail out state owned assets, and formally state owned assets.</p> <p>- I recommend that the council write into the constitution and policy of the CCO anti-privatisation mechanisms.</p> <p>- I recommend that these anti-privatisation mechanisms protect from privatisation even if the CCO requires a bail out, and that no justification is enough to privatise.</p> <p>Bail outs and unforeseen Costs</p> <p>- The cost of Cyclone Gabriel fell on working class people through the rates process and the central govt. tax system which working class people prop up.</p> <p>- Last year Water Wise in Auckland had to be bailed out to the tune of \$250 million.</p> <p>- I recommend that the council push for a MOU (or similar) with central government for them to wear the costs of a CCO that requires to be bailed out.</p> <p>- I recommend that the council collectivise with councils across Aotearoa to garner support for this MOU</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
956	Linda	Simons	Town	St. Leonards	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	We have spent millions already and paying for this in our rates. I do not want to pay for the upgrading of another councils water, when they have not attempted to start it themselves. I do not wish the council to borrow more money, unless absolutely necessary, we owe enough already!
957	Ann	Thompson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		To be fairer to single households as opposed to multiple occupant households, I would prefer water to be metered on a user pays system.
958	Margaret	Broad	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Napier would not amalgamate with Hastings some years ago because HDC had so much debt. This was because we were spending on utility/water maintenance. Yet Napier was having major water problems due to lack of maintenance. So I/we do not want to subsidise them!!!
959	Bruce	Liddell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Councils core business and legal obligations are to manage the infrastructure and that's where it belongs. Also rate payers have more input rather than regional controlled.
960	Nathan	Wall	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rate payers a sick of always get shafted! Enoughs enough time to work smarter and councils to cut wasted spending. If rate payers are the ones always coughing up we should have support from auditing and ensure any out sourced contracts are tendered and audited to the extreme. Times are stretched for finances so stop wasting money and sort it out!!!
961	Jordache	Jones	Town	Waipukurau	Yes	Yes	Yes		
962	S	C	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
963	Paula	Finch	Town	At Leonard's	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
964	Marei	Apatu	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>This submission is presented on behalf of the Community Growth and Development unit of Te Taiwhenua o Heretaunga (TToH)</p> <p>We support Council's preferred option 1 and the reasons outlined</p> <p>Our organisation mandate represents the voice and position of the 14 marae hapu of Heretaunga, community representatives proactive toward the active protection of all things (nga mea katoa) our values and aspirations for overall community wellbeing in regards to water in its various forms and association with community drinking water, stormwater drainage and human waste management.</p> <p>TToH collaboration with the Hastings DC over the many years reflects an enduring partnership taking cultural advice and input into planning key civil engineering projects, BTF waste management plant Clive, Te Ha o Waiaroha high tech community water treatment plant and the Cultural assessment for the HDC stormwater network</p> <p>We wish to speak to our submission</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
965	david	scott	Rural	Havelock North	No	No	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>No savings will be realised by the Hasting's District Councils choice of option 1. The reason being, Wairoa and CHB, in their 10 year water costs per connections figures, will increase to \$6400 and \$7400 respectively. which are unsustainable for communities of their size and demographic. This will mean the Regional CCO (Option 1) will have to make Napier and Hastings users subsidise Wairoa's and CHB's waters costs, or as the Major Water Services document interestingly puts it enter into Price Harmonisation. This will increase the cost to Hastings and Napier water users eliminating all projected savings.</p> <p>Also under Option 1, borrowing cost will increase significantly due to higher financial gearing, for which lenders will require higher interest rates. Lenders will also require all shareholders in the Option 1, to provide Joint and Several Guarantees for all lending, including that made to Wairoa and CHB. This would make HDC liable for all debts of the the Option 1 organisation especially Wairoa and CHB. Both Councils are less financially stable than the other 2.</p>
966	Elizabeth	Carr	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I agree to Option 1 subject to the following concerns:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The ongoing impact on households of significant rates increases experienced over the last couple of years. These Government imposed regulations will further impact rates & for some ratepayers make them unaffordable. 2. The possibility of a future Government changing this legislation & the impact on ratepayers. 3. The estimated costs of each option. Have they been robustly checked so that the Option you recommend is the best one & no unexpected costs arise? 4. What are the costs of the proposed board that will govern Option 1? Is this a better option than Council staff?

967	Mark	Kupa	Rural	Hastings	No	No	No	<p>I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed Regional Council-Controlled Organization (CCO) structure for managing water services. While the intention to enhance the Council's borrowing capacity and facilitate shared resources is noted, this proposal poses significant financial risks to ratepayers through increased debt and another layer of bureaucratic inefficiencies.</p> <p>Financial Risks and Bureaucratic Inefficiencies</p> <p>Handing over the responsibility of water services to unelected officials could undermine local control and community engagement. This shift may result in higher costs for services that our capable water services team can manage effectively. The current structure allows for direct accountability and responsiveness to the community's needs, which is crucial for maintaining trust and ensuring that services are delivered efficiently.</p> <p>Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Community Engagement</p> <p>The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi emphasize partnership, protection, and participation. It is essential that any changes to water service management uphold these principles by ensuring that Tangata Whenua are actively involved in decision-making processes. The proposed Regional CCO structure risks creating a barrier between the community and our assets, diminishing the ability of Tangata Whenua to participate meaningfully in governance.</p> <p>Current Reporting Obligations</p> <p>Currently, the Council meets its reporting obligations to the Government on water service delivery, allowing them to assess our needs for potential funding. This established process ensures that our water services are monitored and supported without the need for drastic structural changes. Before making any changes, a thorough cost analysis is essential to understand the financial impact on ratepayers.</p> <p>Need for Thorough Cost Analysis</p> <p>Given the uncertainties surrounding the proposed Regional CCO structure, it is prudent to maintain our local governance structure, which fosters community involvement and prioritizes ratepayer needs. The Council should conduct a comprehensive impact and</p>
-----	------	------	-------	----------	----	----	----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<p>hardship cost analysis to assess affordability and ensure that any decisions made are in the best interest of the community.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Instead of rushing into potentially problematic changes, the Council should continue its established reporting process while exploring more viable solutions to serve the community better and protect our resources. Maintaining local governance ensures transparency, accountability, and the ability to address the unique needs of our community. We urge the Council to consider the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi and the importance of community engagement in their decision-making process.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
968	Lesley	Redgrave	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Really the Council has been foisted on its own petard on this matter, because of it's out of control spending on matters unrelated to basic services, creating distrust and suspicion. Additionally ratepayers experience of the formation and rampant over-reach, (plus galloping rates increases) of the Regional Council, leaves absolutely no trust in any amalgamation proposal or the idea it would save ratepayers in the end.) Option 1 and 2 take away any ability for ratepayers to hold Council members to account and hands control to unelected officials.</p> <p>Additionally it is deeply concerning that the Hastings Council is looking at borrowing as a form of long term management when if surveyed, it would be found that ratepayers dearly want their representatives to cut the fat in it's expenditure and provide basic services and live within it's income. To continue to plan and try to get debt off it's books is, I believe, misleading and therefore cannot be supported.</p> <p>The Council already have the expertise to undertake the necessary changes, there does not need to be yet another entity, with all it's associated costs..Projected savings on Option 1 and 2 are just that..projections. Sadly there is little trust in projection, especially from this existing Council. Things may very well change with a newly elected Council..</p> <p>I have no problem with my opinion being published but I do not give permission for my contact details to be published thankyou thankyou</p>
969	Gerard	Pain	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>We have to trust you are right about this being the best option.</p> <p>The fact that all you Councils are on the same page is a good start.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
970	Kevin	Brown	Rural	Puketapu	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>The primary purpose of Local Government is to meet the current and future needs of communities for good-quality local infrastructure.</p> <p>Ratepayers (not the general voting public) elect representatives to achieve this in a cost effective manner.</p> <p>An inhouse option is the most cost effective to achieve this if you have good management operated by a skilled engineering team</p> <p>HDC previously had such a team before the disastrous experiment with a failed LATE . Councillors – as ratepayers representatives, transferred the primary purpose for Council's existence to a third party with only a very high level oversight of the LATE outcomes.</p> <p>The Wellington Water woes are an example of this negative impact on ratepayers</p> <p>More recently has been the inter council civil defence arrangements – of which we had a very personal experience in Cyclone Gabrielle.</p> <p>Rates affordability is also a major concern. There is definitely a ceiling that households can afford. Legislative change for the increased costs of delivery of the 3 waters means there is less rate funding available from households for Councils other services – a good reason to keep control over all of Council's service delivery.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
971	James	Walker	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>Option 2 is a much simpler model for Hastings residents. While the cost of option 2 may be somewhat higher it does provide more certainty over future costs based on our recent major investments in water infrastructure (an are therefore now heavily in debt.</p> <p>In contrast, option 1 has future uncertainties for Hastings residents. The regional model is more administratively more complex and does not give adequate certainty that Hastings residents will not be drawn into broader administrative issues and costs associated with the potential for Hastings to be drawn into the future costs for water services to other regional communities which are generally not currently burdened with the same per capita debt.</p>
972	Kelly	Lacey	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		
973	Michael Bruce	Olsen	Town	Haumoana	No	Yes	Yes		<p>Kia ora! With the larger organisation's greater expertise & access to finance, I strongly advocate for us to gradually go down the Denmark route for drinking water. They've invested strategically in infrastructure & prioritising pure water as a necessity for life & health - putting in backflow preventers, protecting the water supply (including from groundwater contamination) & also no longer adding chlorine, to whit:</p> <p>- it's becoming increasingly clear how vital our gut microbiota is to seemingly every aspect of human health, let alone what issues folks have with chlorine allergies/sensitivities. Put simply, it's not good for us.</p> <p>- also worth noting is the destructive effect chlorine can have on assets over time - public & private.</p> <p>www.hastingsdc.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Water/denmark-best-chlorine.pdf</p> <p>www.wsp.com/en-gl/insights/safeguarding-groundwater-resources-a-view-from-denmark</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
974	Ann Marie	Chapman	Town	Haumoana	No	Yes	Yes		<p>Kia ora! With the larger organisation's greater expertise & access to finance, I strongly advocate for us to gradually go down the Denmark route for drinking water. They've invested strategically in infrastructure & prioritising pure water as a necessity for life & health - putting in backflow preventers, protecting the water supply (including from groundwater contamination) & also no longer adding chlorine, to whit:</p> <p>- it's becoming increasingly clear how vital our gut microbiota is to seemingly every aspect of human health, let alone what issues folks have with chlorine allergies/sensitivities. Put simply, it's not good for us.</p> <p>- also worth noting is the destructive effect chlorine can have on assets over time - public & private.</p> <p>www.hastingsdc.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Water/denmark-best-chlorine.pdf</p> <p>www.wsp.com/en-gl/insights/safeguarding-groundwater-resources-a-view-from-denmark</p>
975	Graeme	Telford	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>We don't need more bureaucracy, that just means more costs that will continue to escalate. Repackaging the debt does not improve our overall debt situation. Wherever you place the debt, it is still debt, we still have to pay for it.</p> <p>It is time for the Council to rethink its approach, concentrate on core services (such as water and other infrastructure) and leave the "nice to have" things (such as pretty building facades and pretty paving) until we really can afford them.</p>
976	M H	Chadwick	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I have to trust the research is thougher.</p> <p>All things considered it is important to work for the whole area of Hawkes Bay.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
977	Noel	Brinson	Town	Frimley	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	All water differs significantly between regions, Hastings ratepayers have and are paying dearly now for new infrastructure. A new water authority should be voted in by Hastings constituents and water levies should always pay for water services only, (all levies should be spent on the service they are paid on) anything short of this is just fleecing people's money. HDC needs to stop the wasteful spending and get real with ratepayers money and we would have plenty for the important stuff, people are hurting. Hastings constituents need to retain control of who is responsible in the management of all natural resources as expected in a fair and democratic society and stop the racial input concessions, this is water, a natural resource for all and race or religion have no place in the administration of water or any other vital requirement for life and good health. All employees associated with this new entity should be employed for their qualifications and capabilities and good science should be the core of all decision making.
978	Nicholas	Bell	Rural	Hastings	No	No	Yes		
979	Pamela	Thomson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		The explanation given for Option One definitely makes sense. I like your reasoning why we should choose Option One.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
980	Coral	Lukies	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>1. Already replaced water pipes</p> <p>2. already built water tanks</p> <p>3. Ratepayers already paying debt for these works.</p> <p>4. Council experience in these matters can be shared with other councils</p> <p>5. Creating another organisation with all the associated setup costs and ongoing admin costs is too much of a burden of those landowners who currently pay rates</p> <p>6. Ruling by committee which is what the 3 waters organisation implies, nothing will get done in a expedient manner</p> <p>7. As far as metering each household without installing a physical meter is theft. Single people households are going to subsidise multiple people households (especially those with children) and well as the ongoing water leakage already occurring from the council pipes.m</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
981	David	Mackintosh	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>As I am being asked to choose I select Option 3.</p> <p>The HDC preferred Option 1 is the worst of the three and will result in unaffordable increases for ratepayers.</p> <p>I do not believe the claim that it will result in economies of scale. Where is the evidence to support this claim?The council areas are geographically separate in Hawkes Bay with quite particular localised issues and systems. Hastings District Council has already spent significantly to upgrade water infrastructure, the other councils have not.</p> <p>The claim that 'the bigger the organisation, the greater the ability to access loans to fund water infrastructure upgrades at a lower cost' is an old mantra and history proves the opposite happens (higher, not 'lower cost')</p> <p>The HDC preferred option 1 will result in excessive and unaffordable rates and water expenses for ratepayers. It follows a borrow and spend culture and allows more borrowing by both HDC & uncontrolled borrowing by a CCO.</p> <p>HDC is at the upper end of limits on what it can borrow. It is at this juncture that the HDC must learn to live within its means as every rate payer has to do.</p> <p>This culture of borrowing, borrowing, borrowing to spend spend spend has to stop. The HDC has to look internally to make cost savings.</p> <p>The "social wellbeing" area would be a great place to start.</p> <p>An example of excessive spending that has been noticed by many ratepayers is what is happening currently with the trimmed back \$1 million plus cost of the concrete pad outside the Hastings Library.</p>

982	Angela	McFlynn	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>I support the Council's preferred option in principle; however, I do so with the following provisos:</p> <p>1. Governance and Structure Transparency</p> <p>There is currently insufficient detail provided about the structure and governance of the proposed new water services entity. In order to provide meaningful and informed feedback, more information is needed about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * How the entity will be structured; * How accountability and transparency will be maintained; * How decisions will be made and who will be responsible for them. <p>It is essential that any board or governing body appointed to manage the new water infrastructure entity is composed of individuals with relevant technical, financial, and operational expertise. These individuals must be independent, non-political, and free from affiliations that may represent special interests beyond the delivery of safe, efficient, and sustainable water services for the entire community.</p> <p>2. Financial Impact and Community Burden</p> <p>It is concerning that one of the benefits identified in the consultation documents is the potential for Councils to increase borrowing capacity once existing water infrastructure debt is transferred to the new entity. While this may ease Council balance sheets on paper, it does not reduce the financial burden on ratepayers—who will ultimately continue to bear the cost of this debt.</p> <p>Transferring debt does not erase it, and ratepayers must not be seen as an open cheque book for ongoing or expanded borrowing, especially for non-essential services or projects. Any structural change should result in improved financial sustainability and efficiency, not simply a reshuffling of liabilities.</p> <p>Furthermore, it is essential that both funding and any associated debt are strictly ring-fenced by community.** Communities must not be required to cross-subsidise other areas or assume responsibility for legacy debt that did not originate from their own infrastructure needs. This will ensure fairness, accountability, and ongoing community support for the entity.</p> <p>Conclusion: While I recognise the need for change and improvement in water service delivery, I urge the Council to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Provide greater transparency and detail regarding the governance of the proposed entity;
-----	--------	---------	------	--------	-----	-----	-----	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									<div>* Ensure that governance remains independent and technically competent; * Reassure ratepayers that changes will not lead to increased financial liabilities under the guise of borrowing capacity; * Ensure all funding and debt is ring-fenced by community to prevent cross-subsidisation.</div>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
983	Darrin	McCormack	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No		<p>Council (s) current and previous were given the mandate to keep our water safe which we all know has been an epic failure. To the degree I buy our drinking water which in its self is an unnecessary expense I have incurred due to the failures of past and present councils and their elected officials. I do not and will not trust regardless of the additional layer of organisational</p> <p>Bureaucracy being proposed will make an iota of a difference to the regions supplied water quality.</p>

984	Michael	Newby	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	<p>My preference is Option 2.</p> <p>This is a precursor to moving to Option 1, with Option 1 being conditional upon the Councils who wish to move to a regional CCO model, also choosing to amalgamate their Councils into a regional Council.</p> <p>The reasoning being that the Hastings District Council should first setup the Hastings CCO (Option 2) for the right reasons to best suit Hastings District. This gives clarity in the planning of the needs and delivery for Hastings District, without being encumbered or compromised by the needs and wants of other Councils.</p> <p>When the argument to move to a regional model is promoted (by the motivated Councils who choose to move in this direction) the governance, structure, efficiencies on a regional basis will either make sense or not, and will reach agreement then or not.</p> <p>The risk of moving directly to Option 1 will be dysfunctional governance and relativity issues of priorities between the respective Councils. The Councils up until now (with elected members on a 3 year basis) have shown not to be able to form a cohesive narrative on regional issues of this significance.</p> <p>Until the Councils can demonstrate true regional thinking by amalgamating Councils and governance, above their own self-interest and patch protection, a regional CCO will not make sense. Maybe this is the issue that will bring a true regional focus.</p> <p>I write this from the perspective of a professional civil/structural engineer and property developer - developing and delivering these services for more than 40 years. I have also seen and experienced Auckland CCO's - Watercare and Auckland Transport since their inceptions. I can elaborate in more detail when speaking to my submission.</p>
985	Bronwyn	Shelley	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings	

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
								council-delivered)	
986	Cecile	Hurford	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Option 1 is just a watered down version of the previous Three Waters proposal which I also did not agree with. This time the area has been reduced to just Hawke's Bay. As a Hastings District Council resident we have already heavily invested in the Waiaroha project. By joining CHB, Napier and Wairoa, all who are far behind in upgrading their systems, we will end up paying more. However, the opinions of residents counts for nothing as you have already skewed the consultation document to Option 1, so it is even pointless asking us. This is just a protest vote, which some of the people I know are also putting in.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
987	Kerry	Mackintosh	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>There is a lack of supporting evidence for the HDC preferred Option 1. It is unaffordable and will result in unaffordable rates increases being inflicted on captive ratepayers and other community stakeholders.</p> <p>I am very concerned about what has not been discussed.</p> <p>I appreciate that HDC is at the upper end of limits on what it can borrow, but it has already upgraded our water infrastructure which I understand has a 20 year Resource Consent. Central Hawkes Bay, Napier and Wairoa have done comparatively very little so bring a massive and largely unknown liability to the table.</p> <p>Each geographically separate area has quite specific local needs and constraints which will not be improved by a joint council organisation.</p> <p>Local management, knowledge and expertise is critical for local infrastructure response and resilience.</p> <p>Where is the evidence that a regional CCO will result in economies of scale?</p> <p>I am not reassured that professional directors of a CCO would add any value just another excessive and unwarranted expense.</p> <p>Furthermore all three options do not specifically require a permanent and proportionate reduction in the size of council, staff, contractors and consultants after the proposed transfer of water infrastructure assets to a standalone CCO.</p> <p>The focus of HDC needs to be not on borrowing but on tightly controlling spending regardless of whether it is 'in the budget' or not.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
988	Peter	Linnell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
989	Raj	Bhotoa	Town	Suburb	Yes	Yes	Yes		
990	Jackie	Blair	Town	Hastings 4120	Yes	Yes	No		There needs to be more actual cost breakdown before any responsible choice can be committed to. I have lack of trust from the outset anyway, due to the addition of fluoride (a proven neurotoxin) to the Hastings water supply.
991	Brett	Dever	Rural	Crownthorpe	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	I would prefer the debt to remain on the Council's books. The debt won't disappear into thin air, and it will still be the responsibility of property owners to support the servicing of that debt. Making it easier to justify borrowing even more is a net negative.
992	Jacqueline	Hartley-Smith	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No		My preference is for more knowledge of whether an outside regional body is the best option? This would mean handing over existing resources. Why is an outgoing council making this decision? Surely this is a decision for incoming Councils, rather than saddling the incoming with a decision made by retiring councillors. In summary - without knowledge of who would be managing a regional water body, this option looks dubious and merely a way for outgoing Councillors to clear their desks.
993	T F	Dever	Rural	RD9	No	No	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	I am opposed to the idea of the council shifting their debt to another organization, then saying they could borrow more money! We the homeowner will still be called upon to finance the existing debt, and then no doubt asked to pay more to service further debt. Debt is still debt regardless of where it is transferred to. And, even us rural people will end paying higher rates to service these ever-increasing burgeoning mountains of debt.
994	Lynsie	Linnell	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
995	Baljeet	Bhottoya	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Rates already high Rates plus water bill. How much would that total too?
996	Chander	Kaul	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		I would like to just ask why the water bills and yearly rates have skyrocketed overall.
997	K	McLaughlin	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	
998	Ian	Long	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	It would ensure the water assets remain owned by the ratepayers of Hastings. If we don't agree with what the council is doing, we vote the council out. Seems to me, setting up a CCO is transferring the assets to them and the ratepayers have no say and no ownership. Who are they answerable too? Why can't council set up a new bank account called Water Services and all water income goes to the account and all payments for water services are debited from that account. For the purposes of accounting, a automated journalling system would do the job.
999	Elizabeth	Todd	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1000	Cheryl	Wichman	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Please do the best for our community & keep us in the loop with any changes/updates

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1001	A	G	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>I would like the Hastings Council to be in control of the local water. I do not want some appointed board making decisions on my behalf when I have not been involved in vetting or appointing them.</p> <p>How do you know it will cost more to be separate and smaller? Bigger organisations tend to get mired in bureaucracy and inertia and are less accountable.</p> <p>I do not want water meters, particularly smart meters adding to EMF pollution.</p> <p>I would like the fluoride and chlorine removed from the water supply.</p>

100 2	Beryl	Brown	Town	Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Important aspects of implementing Option 1, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assess quality of water/wastewater/storm water (3water) assets; and remedial and development work needed to meet Local Water Done Well requirements. - Current value of 3water assets taking into account state of the assets. - Content of Statement of Expectations for CCO, with financial, cultural and quality measures. - Constitutional docs for CCO - purpose, objects, powers, responsibilities, limitations. - Recruitment plan for board of directors, maybe establishment board, and key roles in the CCO with performance expectations. - Transfer relevant staff to CCO, consider adjustments to pay/reward for equality. - Engage with Iwi regarding cultural and environmental expertise and Treaty obligations for CCO. - Internal and external communication plans for Councils and CCO to convey the planned changes, timelines and impact on: employees and councillors (internal), ratepayers and contractors (external). - Budget for CCO to meet requirements under Local Water Done Well. - CCO accounting systems, policies, risk register and regular reporting. - Culture plan to foster a positive, productive, and inclusive environment in CCO. - Compliance with relevant legislation. - Contingency planning from natural disasters to supplying non-potable water. <p>My submission is based on my experience implementing competition reforms at Ofwat (UK), advising Watercare (Auckland) on its establishment, supporting SOEs, and setting up CRIs.</p>
----------	-------	-------	------	----------	-----	-----	-----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

171

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
									I do not want to speak to my submission, but am happy to discuss it, if that would be helpful to the council.

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1003	Alan	Maxwell	Rural	Pakipaki	No	No	Yes		<p>I see the benefit of a large entity to do the best for Hawkes Bay and Hastings.</p> <p>My concern is how do you avoid creating more bureaucracy and not getting the savings being quoted?</p> <p>I wouldn't want mana whenua having an unelected position by right on any board, or any final say on what is best for Hawke's Bay and Hastings.</p>
1004	Jamie	Yule	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		<p>Support more collaboration with adjacent councils to provide entity of scale. There is a strong regional sense of collaboration anyway. Support options to reduce long term reliance of HDC ratepayers.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1005	Lizzie	Freeman	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No		<p>As part of the fluoride free movement I have spent a good idea of time studying the way our water is delivered and have been disgusted at the central government overreach and lack of councils ability to stand up to putting a known neurotoxin into our drinking water. I have very little trust in the Hastings council anymore in regards to making decisions with our drinking water.</p> <p>When meeting and talking with Steve Cave and members of his team I can see he is doing a great job, it is the top down system that is broken.</p> <p>The different options that have been proposed do not give a clear benefit for the local people. Moving to option 1 may just take away from the local people as everything just gets too big. However, the Hastings Councilors do not show an interest in what the people wish and just do what the central government force them to do, so it's hard to say which option to choose stay local or go big?</p> <p>How can you say we will save \$2600 a year in 10 years with rates and cost of living rising beyond peoples wages. It is such an unknown. The glossy leaflets and billboards make it look like you care, but in reality are our voices really being heard?</p>
1006	Paul	Lassey	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	<p>Hardcopy RD 16.06.25 @10.03am</p> <p>People who are elected by us (ratepayers) should not have any say in how our crucial services are run. The further from local control our services go the less influence we have.</p>
1007	Single income pensioner	ratepayer	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No		<p>Hardcopy RD 16.06.25 @10.09</p> <p>Ridiculous amount of this must be mayors ambitious plans. no thank you. cannot afford any option. on pension. referendum required.</p>

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1008	Bruce	Ryan	Rural	longlands	No	No	Yes		
1009	Heidi	Beech	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		I encourage progression to share skills and service (and hopefully ultimately a council merger)
1010	W and F	Dyke-Walker	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		Please get it right
1011	David	Hughes	Town	IFrimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1012	James A	Gunson	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1013	David	Bateman	Rural	Whirinaki 4182	No	Yes	Yes		The quality of water that the Council supplies us is far from satisfactory, can you please advise what plans you have to upgrade the water quality and what plans are in place for the pump station at Esk River as if we have future flooding that pump station will be effected.Please reply to "davidbateman117@gmail.com"
1014	Michelle	Price	Town	HAVELOCK NORTH	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1015	david and mekehira	Edwards	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1016	Jennifer	Hill	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 2:34pm
1017	Parekura	Simpson	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 2:34pm
1018	Te Kerehi	Thompson	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 2:34pm
1019	Hinehou	Thompson	Town	Akina	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 2:34pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1020	Kahu	Thompson	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1021	Jim & Louise	Price	Rural	Moteo	No	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm Option 1 is most logical. No point in having 4 sets of experts, technology and staff. Urban uses need to start paying towards maintaining the water systems and electricity to run pumps etc. to have water delivered to their properties. Rural ratepayers have to financially maintain their own water system and pay all the electricity to run the pumps. You soon start being careful with water usage and unnecessary waste when you are paying the power bill.
1022	Janette	Taumoli	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1023	C	Metcalfe	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1024	Rosalind	Coote	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1025	Simon	.	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hard Copy PH 16/06/25 3.38pm - My main concern is are the rates for houses going to decrease or stay the same when we have to start paying water rates
1026	Jenny	Corban	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hard Copy PH 16/06/25 3.38pm - I would like to see a mechanism by which Hastings priorities are not delayed by the fact that HDC have already been proactive in addressing water issues. They could run the risk of being seen as having a lower priority/need in the future. This would be unfair.
1027	Brenda	Collins	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1028	Barbara	Savage	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1029	William and Alison	Kersten	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm Support the reasons for Option 1 as actioned.
1030	Harrison	Whitaker - Mills	Town	Mahora	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hard Copy PH 16/06/25 3.38pm- I'm accepting of option 1, as long as the new CCO are accountable for any mismanagement, upkeep etc. I'm also fairly sure that HDC has invested/upgraded our 3 waters recently. More so than the other two councils. So it seems as though HDC is giving them a hand.
1031	Leighton Antony	Penn	Town	Camberley	Yes	Yes	No	Option 2 (Hastings CCO)	Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1032	Pam	Turner	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 3:06pm
1033	Noel	Houston	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hard Copy PH 16/06/25 3.51pm- 1)Where will the funding come from to take over the existing debt for water services? -\$278 million -Hastings debt 2)Historically, what has happened to the rates money collected for 3 water services in the past ie has this been used for water etc.
1034	Christopher John and Heather	Reid	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 16/06/25 4:08pm Lets share this precious resource throughout all our region.
1035	Christine	Gray	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy 16/06/25 AM 4:10pm PS Would Love! - to see Fluoride removed from our water.
1036	Jessica	Ebrey	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		We are a small regional population sharing the debt management and regulatory requirements across region makes sense.
1037	Pauline	Craven	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1038	Steve	McGarvey	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	If this council kept its original 3 wells in good condition the water issue several years ago needn't have happened. And now its an issue for all NZ. Form a company for water - as low a cost as creating a company. Employees stay in there desks doing the same job for the new company. Your campaigning is scare mongering.
1039	Alison	Routley	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1040	Barry and Jane	Callaghan	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy 16/06/25 4:56pm AM
1041	Harvey	Stephen	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		PH 17/06/25 10.12am
1042	Ian	McKinlay	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		PH 17/06/25 10.12am
1043	Brian	D'Ath	Town	Central Hastings	Yes	Yes	Yes		PH 17/06/25 10.15am
1044	H	Symons	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		PH 17/06/25 11.05am We are not short of water if so why are we giving away billions of litres to china and others for free these people should be helping to pay not just local rate payers with limited income councils are good at "wasting our money" how many people will be on the board? not too many
1045	Ms Elizabeth & Samuel	Anderson	Town	Havelock North	Don't know	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/18.6.25/8:57AM/JA Proposal sound hopeful.
1046	Stephen J.	Batty	Town	did not specify	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/18.06.2025/9:00 AM/ JA
1047	Antoinette	Mitchell	Town	Flaxmere	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/18.06.2025/9:01 AM/JA

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1048	Nicholas	Dobson	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		I am happy to support your proposal, Option 1, in the Draft Proposal circulated. I hope that in due course, if adopted, ratepayers have the opportunity to comment & make submissions on the management structure of the new entity as I do not want 3-Waters by stealth.
1049	Mary	Sittauer	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1050	No name	No name	Town	Frimley	Yes	Yes	Yes		Support option 1 with controls to prevent a bloated bureaucracy. Keep structure lean and focused on cost efficiency, long term solutions. I do not wish to be named in documents relating to survey

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1051	Tania	Huata	Town	Omahu	No	No	No		<p>Website submission from tania.huata@yahoo.co.nz</p> <p>I oppose the proposed Regional CCO structure to enhance the Council's borrowing capacity and facilitate shared resources, it poses significant financial risks to ratepayers through increased debt and another layer of bureaucratic inefficiencies. Handing over this responsibility to unelected officials could undermine local control and community engagement, resulting in higher costs for services that our capable water services team can manage effectively.</p> <p>Currently, the Council meets its reporting obligations to the Government on water service delivery, allowing them to assess our needs for potential funding. Before making any changes, a thorough cost analysis is essential to understand the financial impact on ratepayers. Given the uncertainties of this proposal, it is prudent to maintain our local governance structure, which fosters community involvement and prioritizes ratepayer needs. Instead of rushing into potentially problematic changes, the Council should continue its established reporting process while exploring more viable solutions to serve the community better and protect our resources.</p> <p>I wish to speak to my submission (speaking times will be scheduled and you will be notified when to come to the meeting).</p>
1052	Kenneth Allan	Wyley	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1053	M.T & H.K	Balfour	Town	St Leonards	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1054	Judith	Woodhead	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am

ID	Name	Last	Town or rural	Suburb/rural area:	Wastewater (sewer)	Drinking water	Agree with preferred option?	If not, what is your preferred option	If you would like to comment on your preference do so here:
1055	.	Taylor	Town	Hastings Central	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am Water should be free to all. It is a life essential.
1056	Eric	Borrie	Town	Clive	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am Provisio - support (1) On the condition that Napier funds its own debt. For many years of failure in proper infrastructure and good maintenance. Plenty of grandiose projects, total failure with infrastructure. Happy to comment further.
1057	S	Herring	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am Only choose 1 if this means reduction in administration duplication over all councils - otherwise just more beaurocracy.
1058	Jack	Shaw	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1059	Stephen	Webster	Town	Havelock North	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1060	P.E	Walsh	Town	Raureka	Yes	Yes	No	Option 3 (Hastings council-delivered)	Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1061	Leigh	Kilsby	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy AM 19/06/25 8:45am
1062	Phil Tuahine	Warner	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/19.06.2025/12:29PM/JA
1063	Bettina	Hesse	Town	Parkvale	Yes	Yes	Yes		Hardcopy/19.06.2025/12:32PM/JA